## Dr. Robert Epstein Twitter Storms Hillary Clinton

# August 20th, 2019

It began with President Donald Trump's tweet:

# @realdonaldtrump

Wow, Report Just Out! Google manipulated from 2.6 million to 16 million votes for Hillary Clinton in 2016 Election! This was put out by a Clinton supporter, not a Trump Supporter! Google should be sued. My victory was even bigger than thought! @JudicialWatch

11:52 AM · Aug 19, 2019

...to which the Hill pathetically responded:

# @HillaryClinton

The debunked study you're referring to was based on 21 undecided voters. For context that's about half the number of people associated with your campaign who have been indicted.

3:27 PM · Aug 19, 2019

Dr. Robert Epstein tweeted several hours later that he we preparing for battle...

### @HillaryClinton

, whom I have strongly supported for many years, told blatant lies about me today. As a result, I have been subjected to widespread condemnation by mainstream media. I'm going to fight this. Stay tuned tomorrow for my first-ever twitter storm.

2:18 AM · Aug 20, 2019

.., then it began ...

Tweet storm starting now - getting interrupted from time to time by calls from reporters... I've been caught in the middle of an exchange of tweets between 2 media titans,

@HillaryClinton & @realDonaldTrump

#Trump's tweet was slightly wrong. #Hillary's tweet was shameful. 4:10 PM · Aug 20, 2019

<u>#Trump</u> tweet, point #1: I've never said that <u>#Google</u> deliberately "manipulated" the 2016 election, but I measured substantial pro-<u>#Hillary</u> bias in <u>#Google</u>'s search results by preserving & analyzing 13,207 election-related searches & the 98,044 web pages linked to those searches.

4:14 PM · Aug 20, 2019 ·

<u>#Trump</u> tweet, point #2: The level of pro-<u>#Hillary</u> bias I found in <u>#Google</u>'s search results - absent on <u>#Bing</u> & <u>#Yahoo</u> - was enough to convince between 2.6 & 10.4 million undecided voters to vote for Hillary.

## @realDonaldTrump

said 16 million; that's wrong.

4:19 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Trump tweet, point #3: It doesn't matter whether the bias in #Google search results was deliberate or not. Once it appeared - which it did at least 6 months before the election - it began shifting opinions & votes without people's knowledge & without leaving a paper trail.

4:22 PM · Aug 20, 2019

If you want the full story about my 2016 election monitoring project, read my essay, "Taming Big Tech: The Case for Monitoring," published last year: <a href="https://hackernoon.com/taming-big-tech-5fef0df0f00d">https://hackernoon.com/taming-big-tech-5fef0df0f00d</a>

4:28 PM · Aug 20, 2019

Now, switching to @HillaryClinton

This is going to hurt me to write, because I & my whole extended family have been strong supporters of the Clintons for decades. I have a framed, signed letter from #Bill on the wall near my desk. But #Hillary should be ashamed of herself.

4:33 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary has long depended on #Google for both money & votes. Her largest donor in 2016 was Alphabet/Google. Her Chief Technology Officer during the campaign was Stephanie Hannon, a former Google exec. And then there's #EricSchmidt, longtime head of Google - the guy in the pic:

4:47 PM · Aug 20, 2019

A leaked email showed that in 2014 #Google's #EricSchmidt offered to run #Hillary's tech campaign (see pic). In 2015, Schmidt in fact funded The Groundwork, a highly secretive tech company, the sole purpose of which was to put Clinton into office.

gz.com/520652/groundwork-eric-schmidt-startup-working-for-hillary-clinton-campaign/...

4:59 PM · Aug 20, 2019 ·

About 96% of 2016 campaign donations from <u>#Google</u> employees went to <u>#Hillary</u>. And <u>#ElanKriegel</u>, Hillary's Chief Analytics Officer, credits his 2012 tech team, informally supervised by <u>#EricSchmidt</u>, for half of Obama's win margin: nearly 2.5 million votes. <u>psmag.com/social-justice/obamas-big-data-inconclusive-results-political-campaigns-72687...</u>

5:52 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: If my work has been "debunked," why was it included in a volume just published by #Oxford U.? Why have I been invited to speak about it at prestigious venues worldwide: #Stanford U., #Yale Law School (where both you & Bill went), even our #Senate (where you served)?

6:09 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: I know you're in #Google's pocket, but for the sake of our #democracy, I urge you listen to my recent Congressional testimony (c-span.org/video/?c4807816/congressionalhearing-16july2019-testimonybydrrobertepstein...) & to scan the 58 essays & conference papers I list at the end of my written testimony: judiciary.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Epstein %20Testimony.pdf...

6:20 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: If you examine my work carefully, you will find that it adheres to the very highest standards of scientific integrity. You will also conclude, I believe, that #Google poses a serious threat to the free-&-fair election & to human autonomy. See

https://aeon.co/essays/how-the-internet-flips-elections-and-alters-our-thoughts

6:41 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: #Google poses 3 serious threats to humanity:

1) an obscene level of <u>#surveillance</u> across 200+ platforms, 2) the power to determine what content 2+ billion can or can NOT see (<u>#censorship</u>) (<u>usnews.com/opinion/articles/2016-06-22/google-is-the-worlds-biggest-censor-and-its-power-must-be-regulated...</u>) & 3) invisible <u>#manipulation</u> on a massive scale.

7:06 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: Is that what you want your legacy to be? Whistleblowers & leaks from #Google have confirmed that the company blacklists, re-ranks & uses "ephemeral experiences" (which I study) to control people worldwide. Do you approve?

https://dailycaller.com/2019/07/30/epstein-google-whistleblower/

7:14 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: If Big Tech cos. support the same candidate in 2020, they can shift 15M votes her way without people knowing how the shift occurred. If you had a choice between preserving #democracy & putting your candidate in office, which would you choose?

https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2018/09/13/google-big-tech-bias-hurts-democracy-not-just-conservatives-column/1265020002/

7:19 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: Among other tools, they can use "ephemeral experiences"-a term from a #Google email that leaked to @WSJ in 2018-to shift votes without leaving a paper trail. Ephemeral experiences like those search results I found that were biased in your favor.

https://www.theepochtimes.com/10-ways-big-tech-can-shift-millions-of-votes-in-the-november-elections-without-anyone-knowing 2671195.html

7:25 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#Hillary: If I haven't yet convinced you that the tweet you sent about me yesterday was unjustified & deeply flawed, please read these recent comments on my work by #ChuckNorris. He might be a lowly #conservative, but he still kicks butt. wnd.com/2019/08/forget-russia-watch-big-tech/...

7:35 PM · Aug 20, 2019

Finally, <u>#Hillary</u>: I have always admired you as a self-sacrificing person who is trying to improve people's lives, and I have tried to emulate you in these ways - as best I can. I also know you've sometimes been punished for your good deeds. I can relate. With admiration, /re

7:37 PM · Aug 20, 2019

#### ALSO NOTE WORTHY,,,

back on the 13<sup>th</sup> of August .... Dr. Robert Epstein tweeted this...

It's not just one study. It's dozens of experiments conducted with 10s of thousands of participants in 5 national elections. Big Tech can shift 15 million votes in 2020 with no one knowing & without leaving a paper trail. See my testimony at <u>c-span.org/video/?</u> <u>c4807816/congressionalhearing-16july2019-testimonybydrrobertepstein...</u> 12:43 AM · Aug 13, 2019

AND...

Dr. Robert Epstein

@DrREpstein

Aug 15

#Google execs deny they "re-rank" search results for political purposes, especially when criticizing my research. Here are 3 documents (combined into 1 file) from the new @Project\_Veritas

leak showing how they "fringe" rank, de-rank & re-rank: <u>aibrt.org/downloads/FRINGE-RANKING--RE-RANKING-3</u> Google documents from 8-14-19 Project Veritas leak.pdf...

@VorhiesZach

just before the storm ...

Dr. Robert Epstein @DrREpstein

Aug 19

On May 10, 2007, <u>#Google</u> shareholders voted overwhelmingly to allow the company to censor the content it shows people anywhere in the world. Here's an article about the vote: <u>pcworld.com/article/131745/article.html...</u> And here's my 2016 article, "The New <u>#Censorship</u>": <u>usnews.com/opinion/articles/2016-06-22/google-is-the-worlds-biggest-censor-and-its-power-must-be-regulated...</u>