

# BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
1. <i>Allen v. Soetoro</i> (FOIA) Kenneth L. Allen	D. Ariz. (Federal Court) 4:09-cv-00373 <a href="#">Justia</a> - <a href="#">RECAP</a> <sup>1</sup>	<b>DISMISSED</b> as to Request for Obama documents	9 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: 10-15290 Appeal as to Obama docs <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
		Remaining Claims Voluntarily <b>DISMISSED</b>	9 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: 11-15094 <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b>	---	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Allen v. Soetoro</i> , 4:09-cv-00373 (D. Ariz. Jan. 29, 2010) (dismissing FOIA action seeking documents related to Obama's eligibility) <i>aff'd</i> , No. 11-15094 (9th Cir. Jul. 23, 2012);			
2. <i>Allen v. Obama et al</i> Kenneth L. Allen	Arizona <a href="#">Pima County Super. Ct.</a> C20121046	<b>DISMISSED</b> Amended Complaint Filed Feb. 24, 2012	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>No appearance on behalf of Obama; AZ Democratic Party defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Allen v. Obama et al</i> , No. C20121046 (Ariz. Pima County Super. Ct. Feb. 24, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot);			
3. <i>Allen v. Barack Obama et al</i> Kenneth L. Allen	Arizona <a href="#">Pima County Super. Ct.</a> C20121317	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>Notice of Appeal Filed – but appeal apparently abandoned thereafter</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>No appearance on behalf of Obama; AZ Democratic Party defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Allen v. Obama et al</i> , No. C20121317 (Ariz. Pima County Super. Ct., Mar. 7, 2012) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility to be on the 2012 ballot; finding that Obama is a "natural born citizen" under <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> ; and expressly rejecting argument that <i>Minor v. Happersett</i> holds otherwise);			
4. <i>American Grand Jury</i> Mack H. Ellis Donald McDougall	M.D. Tenn (Federal) 3:09mc00215 <a href="#">Justia</a> - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Am. Grand Jury</i> , No. 3:09-mc-00215 (M.D. Tenn. Nov. 6, 2009) (summarily rejecting grand jury "presentment" challenging President Obama's eligibility to serve as President as having no force under U.S. Constitution or law);			

<sup>1</sup> Note: The **Justia** has easy-to-read explanations of all the various filings. The **RECAP**, while not as reader-friendly, has links to many of the pleadings filed in the case.

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
5. <b>American Grand Jury<sup>2</sup></b> Robert C. Laity, Robert J. Campbell	W.D.N.Y. (Federal) No Number Assigned	<b>REJECTED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>American Grand Jury</i> , No Number Assigned (W.D.N.Y. Sept. 29, 2009) (letter from court staff attorney explaining that court could not accept “presentment” prepared by public, seeking to indict Obama for ineligibility to hold office and related matters);			
6. <b>Anderson v. Obama</b> Daniel G. Anderson William Colliton, M.D. Richard P. Delaney, M.D. Richard Loria, M.D. Lorenzo Marcolin, M.D. Gaetano Molinari, M.D. James Ronan, M.D. Edward Sheridan, M.D. Edward Soma, M.D. Ronald Uscinski, M.D.	U.S. Supreme Court (Issue not raised in D.Md. and 4th Cir.)	8:10-cv-00017-PJM (D. Md.) (No birther claims made)	10-1951 (4th Cir.) (No birther claims made)	No. 10-612 Petition for Rehearing (to address birther issue) DENIED	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<b>Anderson v. Obama</b> , No. 10-612, 2012 WL 1969419 (U.S. Jun. 4, 2012) (denying motion for leave to file petition for rehearing in case challenging the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act, where petition sought an order compelling (a) the U.S. Marshalls to travel to Hawaii; (b) the Hawaii Department of Health to release the “original” birth certificate of Obama to the U.S. Marshalls; (c) the U.S. Marshalls to bring the certificate to the Supreme Court; and (d) the U.S. Secret Service to examine and verify whether the document is a forgery);			

<sup>2</sup> Note: American Grand Jury has attempted to file multiple additional “presentments,” in at least the following courts: [Alabama Federal Court – Southern District](#) (Donald Gaines); [California Federal Court – Central District](#) (Armando Carranza); [Connecticut Supreme Court](#) (Unknown); [Florida Federal Court – Northern District](#) (Norman Caron); [Kansas State Court \(Wichita/18th Judicial District\)](#) (Bradley Kramer); [New Hampshire State Court \(Salem District\)](#) (Unknown); [New Hampshire Federal District Court](#) (Daniel Hunt); [Tennessee Federal Court – Western District](#) (Donald McDougall); Middle District [Texas Federal Court – Western District](#) (Lesley Lawson). To date, however, there is no indication that any of these courts have accepted the documents as filed and, therefore, they are not “pending.”

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
7. <i>Ankeny v. Daniels</i> Steve Ankeny	Indiana State Court Marion Superior Court <a href="#">49D10-0812-PL-55511</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	Indiana Appellate Court <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b> Petition for Rehearing <b>DENIED</b> Indiana Supreme Court Transfer to Ind. Sup. Ct. <b>DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Ankeny v. Governor of the State of Indiana</i> , No. 49D10-0812-PL-055511, 2009 WL 1632611 (Ind. Marion County Super. Ct. Mar. 16, 2009) (dismissing challenge to McCain’s and Obama’s eligibility), <i>aff’d</i> , 916 N.E.2d 678 (Ind. Ct. App. 2009) (holding that Obama, who was born in Hawaii, is a “natural born citizen” eligible to be president), <i>transfer denied</i> , 929 N.E.2d 789 (Ind. 2010);			
8. <i>Archibald v. DOJ</i> George H. Archibald	D.D.C. (Federal) <a href="#">1:11-cv-02028-RBW</a> PACER   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Archibald v. U.S. Dept. of Justice</i> , No. 1:11-cv-02028-RBW (D.D.C., Mar. 13, 2013) (dismissing FOIA case seeking information on FBI background check of Obama in 2008 presidential campaign, alleging that records could show he was not qualified to be president);			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
9. <i>Barnett et al v Obama et al</i> Pamela Barnett	C.D. Cal. (Federal) 8:09-cv-00082-DOC-AN Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Motion for Reconsideration DENIED</b> <b>Motion to Transfer DENIED</b> <b>Motion for Reconsideration (Aug 2012) DENIED</b>	9 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: Kreep as Atty: 09-56827 Taitz as Atty: 10-55084 <b>AFFIRMED</b> Reh’g and Reh’g En Banc <b>DENIED</b>	No. 11-1225 ( <i>Keyes et al v. Obama</i> ) <b>DENIED</b>	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defending</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Barnett v. Obama</i> , No. 8:09-cv-00082, 2009 WL 3861788 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama’s eligibility; criticizing conduct of plaintiff’s counsel in case filed on behalf of active and former military personnel, state representatives, taxpayers, relatives, and political candidates), <i>order clarified</i> , 2009 WL 8557250 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 2009), <i>aff’d sub nom. Drake v. Obama</i> , 664 F.3d 774 (9th Cir., 2011), <i>reh’g and reh’g en banc denied</i> , Nos. 09-56827, 10-55084 (Feb. 2, 2012), <i>cert denied</i> , 132 S. Ct. 2748 (U.S. Jun. 11, 2012); <i>motion for recon</i> , No. 8:09-cv-00082 (Aug 31, 2012) (denying petition for rehearing/reopening of case in district court);			
10. <i>Begay v. Obama</i> Arnold James Begay	D. Ariz. (Federal) No. 2:12-cv-01948-PGR— BSB PACER - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Begay v. Obama</i> , No. 2:12-cv-01948 (D. Ariz., Sept. 24, 2013) (dismissing complaint alleging Obama not eligible to be president).			

<sup>3</sup> Note: On November 3, 2011, Taitz filed an Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus, seeking order directing Hawaii officials to provide access to original birth certificate documents regarding Obama.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
11. <i>Berg v. Obama et al</i> Philip Berg	E.D. Pa. (Federal) 2:08-cv-04083-RBS <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Circuit: 08-4340 Emgcy Motion: <b>DENIED</b> 2 <sup>nd</sup> Emgcy Motion: <b>DENIED</b>	Application (08A391) <b>DENIED</b> <sup>4</sup> Application (08A505) <b>DENIED</b> Rule 11 Writ (08- 570) <b>DENIED</b> <sup>5</sup>	Yes
	<i>Citation</i>	Berg v. Obama, 574 F. Supp. 2d 509 (E.D. Pa. 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama’s eligibility; characterizing various plaintiff’s claims as frivolous), <i>aff’d</i> , 586 F.3d 234 (3d Cir. 2009), <i>cert. denied</i> , 555 U.S. 1126, (2009);			---
12. <i>Berg v. Obama</i> Philip Berg (False Claims Act)	D.D.C. (Federal) 1:08cv01933 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b>	DC Circuit: 09-5362 <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defending</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Berg v. Obama, No. 1:08-cv-01933 (D.D.C. June 9, 2009) (dismissing <i>qui tam</i> case claiming Obama is not a U.S. citizen), <i>recons. denied</i> , 656 F. Supp. 2d 107 (D.D.C. 2009), <i>aff’d</i> , 383 F. App’x. 7 (D.C. Cir. 2010);			---
13. <i>Berg v. Obama</i> Philip Berg	Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court 186 MD 2012	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	Yes
	<i>Citation</i>	Berg v. Obama, No. 186 MD 2012 (Pa. Commw. Ct., Mar. 16, 2012) (dismissing complaint filed to challenge Obama’s eligibility to be on 2012 ballot);			---

<sup>4</sup> 129 S.Ct. 1030, 173 L.Ed.2d 290, 77 USLW 3428.

<sup>5</sup> 129 S.Ct. 920, 173 L.Ed.2d 158, 77 USLW 3281, 77 USLW 3392, 77 USLW 3399.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
14. <b><i>Beverly v. FEC</i></b> Arnold Dewalt Beverly	E.D. Cal. (Federal) 1:08-cv-01538-AWI-GSA <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	9 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: 09-15562 <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b> <b>Reconsideration</b> <b>DENIED</b>	Writ 09-794 <b>DENIED</b>	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Beverly v. Fed. Election Comm'n</i> , 1:08-cv-01538-AWI-GSA, 2009 WL 196361 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2009) (dismissing case that included claims regarding Obama's eligibility), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 09-15562 (9th Cir. July 1, 2009), <i>cert. denied</i> , 130 S. Ct. 1732 (2010);			
15. <b><i>Bowhall v. Obama</i></b> William E. Bowhall	M.D. Ala. (Federal) 2:10cv00609 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Bowhall v. Obama</i> , No. 2:10-cv-0609, 2010 WL 4932747, (M.D. Ala. Nov. 30, 2010) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is not a "natural born citizen," as frivolous), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 10-15938-C (11th Cir. Apr. 4, 2011) (affirming order that complaint was frivolous);			
16. <b><i>Brockhausen v. Andrade</i></b> Jody A. Brockhausen	Texas State Court Williamson County, (368th Judicial District) <a href="#">08-1001-C365</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Brockhausen v. Andrade</i> , No. 08-1001-C368 (Tex. Williamson County Dist. Ct. (368th) Jan. 22, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
17. <b><i>Broe v. Reed</i></b> James Broe	Washington State Supreme Court 82473-8	Action filed in Supreme Court <b>DISMISSED</b>	N/A: Original filing in Supreme Court	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Broe v. Reed</i> , No. 82473-8 (Wash. Jan. 8, 2009) (dismissing writ of mandamus challenging Obama's eligibility);			

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
<i>The Church of Jesus Christ Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri et al v. Obama et al<sup>6</sup></i>	W.D. Mo. (Federal) 6:08cv03405 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	No. 11-3557 <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>No appearance made on behalf of Obama</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Church of Jesus Christ Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri et al v. Obama</i> , No. 6:08cv03405, 2011 WL 4916569 (W.D. Mo. Oct. 17, 2011) (dismissing case brought on, among other bases, that, as non-white, Obama is not eligible to hold office), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 09-5012 (8th Cir. Jan. 31, 2012);			
18. <i>Cohen v. Obama</i> L. Charles Cohen	D.D.C. (Federal) 1:08cv02150 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	No. 09-5012 <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b> Petition for Rehearing Denied Petition for Rehearing En Banc Denied	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Cohen v. Obama</i> , No. 1:08-cv-02150, 2008 WL 5191864 (D.D.C. Dec. 11, 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility), <i>aff'd</i> , 332 F. App'x. 640 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (per curiam), <i>reh'g and reh'g en banc denied</i> , No. 09-5012 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 25, 2009);			
19. <i>Collette v. Obama et al</i> Jerry Collette	Fla. Circuit Court (6th Cir) <a href="#">No. 512012CA2041WS</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Collette v Obama et al</i> , No. 512012CA2041WS (Fla. 6th Cir. Ct., Sept. 9, 2012 (dismissing complaint seeking to prevent Obama from appearing on 2012 ballot));			
20. <i>Connerat v. Browning</i> William Spencer Connerat III	Florida State Court State Supreme Court <a href="#">SC08-2338</a>	<i>Action filed in Supreme Court</i> <b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>N/A: Original filing in Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Connerat v. Browning</i> , 999 So. 2d 644 (Table) 2008 WL 5378138 (Fla.2008) (dismissing petition for extraordinary emergency writ of mandamus challenging Obama's eligibility);			

<sup>6</sup> Note: We previously counted this case as a "birther case." We did so based on some secondary reporting on the case, which was the only information available at the time because the docket was "sealed" and not available to the public. However, it has since become available and our review indicates that although the plaintiffs clearly sought to prevent Obama from serving as President, they did not base their opposition on any birther theories; rather, the apparent basis was the fact that Obama is not white. Therefore, we have removed this case from the "count," while keeping the RECAP/related information available for archival purposes.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
21. <b>Connerat v. Obama</b> William Spencer Connerat III	Florida State Court Pinellas County Small Claims Court No. <a href="#">09003103SC</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Connerat v. Obama, No. 09003103SC (Fla. Pinellas County Small Claims Ct. May 5, 2009) (dismissing claim brought against Obama on grounds that he is not "natural born citizen);			
22. <b>Connerat v. Obama</b> William Spencer Connerat III	Florida State Court Pinellas County Small Claims Court No. <a href="#">09005522SC</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Connerat v. Obama, No. 09005522SC (Fla. Pinellas County Small Claims Ct. Jul 28, 2009) (dismissing claim brought against Obama on grounds that he is not "natural born citizen);			
23. <b>Connerat v. Obama</b> William Spencer Connerat III	M.D. Fla. (Federal) 8:11-cv-01359 Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Connerat v. Obama, No. 8:11-cv-01359-SDM-TGW (M.D. Fla. Dec. 21, 2011) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
24. <b>Constitution Party v. Lingle</b> Alan Keys	Hawaii Supreme Court No. 29743	<i>Action filed in Supreme Court</i> <b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b>	<i>N/A:</i> <i>Original filing in</i> <i>Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Constitution Party v. Lingle, No. 29473, 2008 WL 5125984 (Haw. Dec. 5, 2008) (dismissing complaint contesting 2008 Presidential election results and rejecting contention that defendant improperly failed to require proof that candidate Barack Obama was qualified to be a candidate for President of the United States), <i>recons. denied</i> (Dec. 12, 2008);			
25. <b>Cook v. Good et al</b> Stefan Cook	M.D. Ga. (Federal) 4:2009cv00082 Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>TRO DENIED</b> <b>CASE DISMISSED</b>	11 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: 09-14698C <b>Appeal DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Cook v. Good, No. 4:09-cv-00082, 2009 WL 2163535 (M.D. Ga. July 16, 2009) (denying TRO seeking stay of military orders pending confirmation of Obama's eligibility; dismissing case), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 09-14698-CC (11th Cir. Nov. 24, 2009);			



## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
26. <b>Cook v. Simtech, et al</b> Stefan Cook	M.D. Fla. (Federal) 8:2009cv01382 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>TRO DENIED; CASE DISMISSED Reconsideration DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Cook v. Simtech</i> , No. 8:2009cv01382 (M.D. Fla., July 27, 2009), <i>recons. denied</i> , (Aug. 6, 2009) (finding motion to reconsider "frivolous and wholly without merit);			
27. <b>Corbett v. Bowen</b> Joan Corbett	California Orange County Superior Court 30-2008-00114112-CU-FR-CJC	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Case dismissed case before any defendants made an appearance</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Corbett v. Bowen</i> , No. 30-2008-00114112-CU-FR- CJC, (Cal. Orange Cty. Super. Ct. June 8, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
28. <b>Craig v. U.S. Dept. of Homeland Sec., et al</b> Steven Lee Craig	W.D. Okla. (Federal) 5:10-cv-00659-C	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Craig v. U.S. Dept. of Homeland Sec. et al</i> , No. 5:10-cv-00659-C (W.D. Okla. July 3, 2010) (dismissing case challenging various government publications defining term "natural born citizen" as unconstitutional);			
29. <b>Craig v. Holder</b> Steven Lee Craig	U.S. Board of Immigration Appeals.	<b>Relief DENIED</b>	10 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: No. <b>11-9501</b> <b>Decision AFFIRMED</b> <b>Petition for Reh'g DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Craig v. Holder</i> , No. 11-9501 (10th Cir. Mar. 17, 2011) (affirming Board of Immigration denial of request to certify that Craig is "natural born citizen" eligible for presidency), <i>reh'g denied</i> (Apr. 29, 2011);			
30. <b>Craig v. United States</b> Steven Lee Craig	W.D. Okla. (Federal) 5:09-cv-00343-F <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Leave to Amend DENIED</b>	10 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: No. 09-6082 <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b>	<b>Rule 11 Writ DENIED</b> (08-10817) <sup>7</sup>	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Craig v. United States</i> , No. 5:09-cv-00343-F (W.D. Okla. Apr. 3, 2009) (dismissing case seeking declaration regarding definition of natural born citizen as "incomprehensible and frivolous"), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 09-6082, 340 F. App'x. 471 (10th Cir. 2009), <i>cert. denied</i> , 130 S. Ct. 141 (2009);			

<sup>7</sup> 130 S.Ct. 141, 78 USLW 3181.

## BIRTER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> Birthers win: <b>0</b> Birthers lose: <b>220</b> Pending: <b>6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> Birthers win: <b>0</b> Birthers lose: <b>&gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> Birthers win: <b>0</b> Birthers lose: <b>25+</b>	
31. <i>Craig v. United States (FOIA)</i> Steven Lee Craig	W.D. Okla. (Federal) 5:10-cv-01345-C <a href="#">Justia</a> - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	10 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: <b>11-6017</b> <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Craig v. United States</i> , No. 5:10-cv-01345-C (W.D. Okla., Jan. 4, 2011) (Dismissing VOIA action brought to obtain documents related to federal definition of "natural born citizen"), <i>appeal voluntarily dismissed</i> , No. 11-6017 (10th Cir. Feb. 10, 2011);			
32. <i>Craig v. Oklahoma</i> Steven Lee Craig	Oklahoma Supreme Court No. <a href="#">MA-109808</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>(Application Denied; All Justices Concur)</b>	<i>N/A:</i> <i>Original filing in OK Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Craig v. Oklahoma</i> , No. MA-109808 (Okla. Oct. 17, 2011) (dismissing application seeking determination of definition of "natural born citizen" for purposes of presidential eligibility);			
33. <i>Daniels v. Husted (OH SOS)</i> Susan Daniels	Oh. Common Pleas Ct <a href="#">Geauga County</a> No. <a href="#">12M000653</a> <a href="#">SCRIBD Docs</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Daniels v. Husted</i> , No. 12M000653 (Oh. Commn Pleas Ct, Sept. 7, 2012) (dismissing complaint filed seeking to prevent Obama from being on ballot in Ohio 2012 general election);			
<i>Daniels</i> Susan Daniels	Oh. Common Pleas Ct <a href="#">Geauga County</a> Misc. No. #223	---	---	---	---
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re: Daniels</i> , Misc. No. 223 (Oh. Commn Pleas Ct, filed Sept. 12, 2012) (affidavit filed pursuant to <a href="#">Oh. Rev. Code. § 2935.09</a> ). <sup>8</sup>			
34. <i>Dawson v. Obama</i> Kenneth D. Dawson	E.D. Cal. (Federal) 2:08cv02754 <a href="#">Justia</a> - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Recommendation &amp; Order</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Dawson v. Obama</i> , No. 2:08cv02754, 2009 WL 532617 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 2, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			

<sup>8</sup> Not "counted" because not a pending case. However, included in scorecard for links/tracking purposes.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
35. <b>Dean v. Obama</b> Thomas Dean	New York Board of Elections No number Assigned	<b>Objection OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<i>Unknown</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Objection of Thomas Dean</i> , No Number Assigned, (N.Y Board of Elections Determination Feb. 28, 2011) (rejecting petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and finding that petition designating Obama as candidate is valid);			
36. <b>Donofrio v Wells</b> Leo Donofrio	New Jersey State Court	<i>Action filed in Appeals Court</i> No. AN-0153-08T2 <b>APPLICATION DENIED</b>	NJ Supreme Court <b>APPLICATION DENIED</b>	<b>Application DENIED</b> (08A407) <sup>9</sup>	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Donofrio v. Wells</i> , No. AM-0153-08T2 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. Oct. 30, 2008) (denying application for emergent relief challenging eligibility of McCain and Obama), <i>aff'd</i> , No. _____ (N.J. Oct. 31, 2008), <i>application for stay denied</i> , 129 S. Ct. 752 (2008);			
37. <b>Dummett et al v. Bowen</b> John Albert Dummett, r.; Markham Robinson; The Constitution Party; Gil Houston; Larry Lakamp; Milo L. Johnson; Joe Ott	California Superior Court Sacramento County No. 34-2012-80001091	<b>DISMISSED</b>	3rd App. District No. C072854 <b>DISMISSED</b> Costs taxed against appellant	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Dummett at al v. Bowen</i> , No. 34-2012-80001091(Cal. Sacramento Cty. Sup. Ct., Oct. 26, 2012) (dismissing birther case seeking to prevent placement of Obama on 2012 general election ballot) <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. C07254 (Cal. App. Ct. (3rd Div.) Apr. 4, 2013)			
<b>Ealey v. Sarah Obama</b> James E. Ealey <sup>10</sup>	S.D. Tex. (Federal) 4:08-mc-00504 <b>Justia - RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>N/A:</i> <i>Original filing in Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant;</i> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Ealey v. Sarah Obama</i> , No. 4:08-mc-00504 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 20, 2008) (dismissing case alleging that Obama's grandmother cast spells on various people in the United States);			

<sup>9</sup> 129 S.Ct. 752, 172 L.Ed.2d 724, 77 USLW 3344.

<sup>10</sup> *Note:* We had originally classified this as a birther case; however upon further review, we've determined that the case alleges no birther factual or legal theories. Therefore, we've retained it on the chart, but did not count it as a "birther case."

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Easterling v. Obama</i> Scott Easterling	US Supreme Court <i>Not Docketed</i> <sup>11</sup>	<i>Not Docketed</i>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Never docketed/accepted by Court</i>
38. <i>Epperly v. Obama</i> Gordon Warren Epperly	Alaska Division of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>REJECTED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Epperly v. Obama</i> , No Number Assigned (Alaska Div. of Elections (Gail Fenumiai, Director) Feb. 28, 2012) (dismissing petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on the 2012 ballot);			
39. <i>Epperly v. Obama</i> Gordon Warren Epperly	Alaska Superior Court No. IJU-12-694-CI	<b>REMOVED to Federal Court</b> <i>See below</i>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Epperly v. Obama</i> , No. IJU-12-694-CI (Alaska Superior Ct, filed June 25, 2012; removed to federal court July 27, 2012);			
<i>Epperly v. Obama</i> Gordon Warren Epperly	D. Alaska Federal Court No. 1:12-cv-00011-TMB Justia   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED AS FRIVOLOUS</b> <b>Motion for Reconsideration DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Epperly v. Obama et al</i> , No. 1:12-cv-00011-TMB (D. Alaska, Aug. 24, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking, among other things, declaration that Obama is not eligible to serve as President), <i>recon. denied</i> (Oct. 2, 2012);			
40. <i>Essek v. Obama</i> Daniel John Essek	E.D. Ky. (Federal) 08-379-GFVT Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Essek v. Obama</i> , No. 6:08-cv-00379 (E.D. Ky., Jan. 15, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			

<sup>11</sup> *Note*: This case was apparently filed as an original action in the Supreme Court in June 2009. However, it was never docketed. It was subsequently included as an exhibit in document #69, filed in *Barnett v. Obama* (C.D. Cal.) on September 21, 2009. We treat this case in the same manner as the various "grand jury presentments" (*see supra*, Note 5) - i.e., given that it was never officially docketed and considered by the court, it does not "count" as a case for purposes of the Scorecard. However, we include it here, with a link to the filing for reference purposes.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
41. <i>Fair v. Obama</i> Tracey Fair	Maryland Carroll County Circuit Court 06-C-12-060692	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>Pending</b> Md. Ct. Sp. App. No. 2012-1287 <sup>12</sup>		<i>Not Yet Determined</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Fair v. Obama</i> , No. 06C12060692 (Md. Carroll Cty. Cir. Ct., Aug. 27, 2012 (relying on <i>Ankeny</i> and <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> to hold that Obama is a “natural born citizen” eligible to serve as President);			
42. <i>Farrar v. Obama</i> David Farrar, Leah Lax, Cody Judy, Thomas Malaren, Laurie Roth	Georgia Office of State Administrative Hearings OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1215136-60-MALIHI	<b>Objection DENIED - Obama Eligible (ALJ)</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>Secretary of State Final Decision</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC (Adopting ALJ Decision)</b>	<i>See below</i>	<i>See below</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Farrar v. Obama</i> , No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1215136-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama’s eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a “natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec’y State Feb. 7, 2012);			
<i>Farrar et al. v. Obama, Sec’y of State</i> David Farrar, Leah Lax, Cody Robert Judy, Thomas Malaren, Laurie Roth	Georgia Fulton County Superior Ct 2012CV211398	Appeal of SOS Decision <b>DISMISSED</b>	Georgia Supreme Court No. S12D1180 <b>DENIED</b>	Farrar App. for Stay No. 12A25 <b>Denied</b> by Justice Thomas	<i>Yes in Trial Court</i> <i>No in GA Sup. Ct</i>
			Georgia Supreme Court No. S12D1584 Pending (Cody Judy only) <b>Application Dismissed</b>	<b>Cert DENIED</b> No. 12-5276	<i>Yes in Trial Court</i> <i>No in GA Sup. Ct</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Farrar v. Obama</i> , No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1215136-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama’s eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a “natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec’y State Feb. 7, 2012), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , <i>Farrar et al v. Obama</i> , No. 2012CV211398 (Ga. Fulton Cty. Super. Ct. Mar. 2, 2012), <i>recons. denied</i> (Mar. 14, 2012), <i>appeal denied</i> , No. S12D1180 (Ga. Apr. 11, 2012); <i>application dismissed</i> , <i>Cody v. Obama</i> , No. S12D1584 (Ga. Jun. 21, 2012), <i>cert denied</i> , No. 12-5276 (U.S. Sept. 24, 2012), <i>pet. for reh’g denied</i> (U.S. Jan. 7, 2013);			

<sup>12</sup> Case was set for hearing in September 2013.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
43. <i>Fitzpatrick v. Obama</i> <sup>13</sup> Walter Fitzpatrick III	North Carolina State Court <del>Tennessee State Court</del>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Fitzpatrick v. Obama</i> , No. 09R81 (N.C. Catawba Cty. Super. Ct. May 2009) (rejecting purported "indictment" issued against Obama on grounds that he was not a natural born citizen eligible to serve as President);			
44. <i>Freeman v. Obama</i> Benjamin C. Freeman	Illinois Board of Elections Objection ID: 1229  Election ID: 32 12 SOEB GP 103	<b>Challenge OVERRULED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b> (Hearing Officer Recommendation)	---	---	<i>Unknown</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Freeman v. Obama</i> , 12 SOEB GP 103 (Ill. Bd. of Elections Hearing Officer Recommendation Jan. 27, 2012) (overruling objection to Obama's placement on 2012 primary ballot; finding that Obama's long form birth certificate "clearly establishes" his eligibility for office as a "Natural Born Citizen"), <i>objection overruled</i> (Ill. Bd. of Elections, Feb. 3, 2012);			
45. <i>Freeman v. Obama</i> Benjamin C. Freeman	Ill. Bd. of Elections Objection ID: 1306 Election ID: 33	<b>Challenge OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Freeman v. Obama</i> , No. 12 SOEB GE 112 (Ill. Bd. Elections, Sept. 17, 2012) (overruling objection filed seeking to keep Obama off general election ballot in 2012 on grounds that he is not a "natural born citizen"; relying on prior decision (12 SOEB GP 103) which held that Obama's long form birth certificate sufficiently established birth in the United States)			

<sup>13</sup> Note: According to some websites, Fitzpatrick has filed a few complaints against Obama, including, most recently, a "criminal complaint" reportedly filed with the Clerk of Court, Catawba County, NC, File #09R81 (see [American Grand Jury \("AGJ"\) Article](#)); and an alleged grand jury presentment in Tennessee (see [AGJ Article](#)). To date, no official record (docket, etc.) has been located; and court personnel could not confirm the accuracy of these reports. Upon confirmation of the NC state court case, either or both of these cases, we will update the chart accordingly to note such cases as pending and/or resolved. **Jul 1, 2010 Update:** While we did not find any official docket of this case, the subsequent prosecution of Fitzpatrick makes clear that he did, in fact, attempt to file a criminal complaint against Obama, but was rejected. See, e.g., Bill Poovey/AP, "[Cases involving attempted citizen's arrest delayed](#)," as published online by the *Washington Examiner* (June 29, 2010).

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
46. <b>Galasso v. Obama</b> Patrick Galasso	New Jersey Office of Secretary of State OAL No. STE 04588-12	<b>Challenge DENIED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b> <b>Initial Decision Adopted</b>	---	---	<i>Unknown</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Galasso v Obama</i> , No. STE 04588-12 ( <a href="#">N.J. Adm. Apr. 10, 2012</a> ) (initial decision rejecting challenge to Obama's 2012 nominating position and finding that, assuming Obama was born in Hawaii, he is a "natural born citizen" eligible for the presidency per <i>Ankeny</i> and <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> ), <i>decision adopted as final (NJ Secy of State Apr. 12, 2012)</i> ;			
47. <b>Garvey v. Obama</b> Christopher Garvey	New York Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>Objection OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<i>Unknown</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Objection of Christopher Garvey</i> , No Number Assigned, (N.Y Board of Elections Determination Feb. 28, 2011) (rejecting petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and finding that petition designating Obama as candidate is valid); <i>petition for writ of mandamus denied, Garvey v. N.Y. Board of Elections</i> , No. 12-002764 (N.Y. Supreme Ct., Nassau Cty, Mar. 6, 2012);			
48. <b>Garvey v. N.Y. Bd. of Elections</b> Christopher Garvey	New York Supreme Court – Nassau County No. 12-002764	<b>Petition/Requested Order to Show Cause DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Garvey v. N.Y. Bd. of Elections</i> , No. 12-002764 (N.Y. Supreme Ct., Nassau Cty. Mar. 6, 2012) (rejecting petition for writ of mandamus and seeking show cause order, challenging New York Board of Elections rejection of plaintiff's ballot challenge);			
49. <b>Greenberg v. Brunner</b> Carol L. Greenberg	Ohio State Court Wood Cty. Com. Pleas Ct 2008CV1024	<b>DISMISSED</b> (SOS Report, p. 15; Docket) <b>Costs Assessed against Plaintiff</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Greenberg v. Brunner</i> , No. 2008CV1024 (Ohio Wood County Ct. Com. Pl. Jan. 14, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility; assessing costs against plaintiff);			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

		Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	
Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	<b>Original Tribunal</b> Case No. Docket	<b>Total Actions: 226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	<b>Total Appellate Court Rulings: &gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	<b>Total Supreme Court Rulings: 25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	<b>“Obama Defense”</b>
50. <i>Grinols et al v. Electoral College et al</i>  James Grinols; Keith Judd; Thomas MacLeran; Edward Noonan; Robert Odden	E.D. Cal. (Federal) 2:2012-cv-02997 Justia   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>Motion for TRO</b> <b>DENIED</b> <b>2<sup>nd</sup> Motion for TRO</b> <b>DENIED</b> <b>DISMISSED</b>	Petition for Writ of Mandamus (No. 13-70744) <b>DENIED</b>  Appeal (re: Order denying motion for default judgment) Filed (No. 13-15627) <b>DISMISSED</b>  Appeal (re: Order dismissing case) No. 13-16359 <b>Pending.</b>		
	<i>Citation</i>	<p><i>Grinols et al v. Electoral College et al</i>, No. 2:2012-cv-02997 (E.D. Cal., May 23, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking, among other things, declaration that Obama is not eligible for Presidency and stay of various election-related events).</p> <p><i>See also Grinols v. Electoral Coll.</i>, No. 2:12-cv-02997, 2013 WL 211135 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 16, 2013) (denying motion for TRO seeking to prevent Obama from taking office; finding that “Plaintiffs failed to show that they are likely to succeed in the courts. Plaintiffs presented their case to the wrong branch of government. Plaintiffs have failed once again and not because there are “dishonest and corrupt judges” across the country, as Plaintiffs' counsel repeated during the hearing on the request for a temporary restraining order. Instead, Plaintiffs have failed in the Courts, and will undoubtedly continue to fail in the Courts, because they ask the Court to supplant the legislative branch and disregard the fundamental doctrine of separation of powers.”); <i>Grinols v. Electoral Coll.</i>, No. 2:12-cv-02997, 2013 WL 950011 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2013) (denying motion for default judgment against Obama because Taitz failed to serve him properly).</p>			



## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
51. <b><i>Guthrie v. Obama</i></b> Paul A. Guthrie	S.D. Ind. (Federal) 1:13-cv-00080-JMS-DKL <a href="#">Justia</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
		<i>Guthrie v. Obama</i> , No. 1:13-cv-00080-JMS-DKL (S.D. Ind. Jan 19, 2013) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility to be President).			
52. <b><i>Guthrie v. USA et al</i></b>	S.D. Ind. (Federal) 1:13-cv-0234-SEB-DKL <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Guthrie v. Obama</i> , No. 1:13-cv-0234SEB-DKL (S.D. Ind. May 8, 2013) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility to be President (among other things);			
53. <b><i>Hackney v. Obama</i></b> Patrick D. Hackney	Alaska Division of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>REJECTED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Hackney v. Obama</i> , No Number Assigned (Alaska Div. of Elections (Gail Fenumiai, Director) May 29, 2012) (dismissing petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on the 2012 ballot);			
54. <b><i>Hamblin v Obama, (Obama &amp; McCain)</i></b> Clark Hamblin	D. Ariz. (Federal) 2:09cv00410 <a href="#">Justia</a> - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	9 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: 09-17014 <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Hamblin v. Obama</i> , 2:09cv00410, 2009 WL 2513986 (D. Ariz. Aug. 14, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's and McCain's eligibility), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , 09-17014 (9th Cir. Nov. 6, 2009);			
55. <b><i>Hamrick v. Fukino</i></b> Don Hamrick	D. Haw. (Federal) 1:08-cv-00544-ACK-KSC <a href="#">Justia</a> - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Hamrick v. Fukino</i> , No. 1:08-cv-00544 ACK-KSC, 2009 WL 1404535 (D. Haw. May 20, 2009) (dismissing case seeking copy of Obama's certified birth certificate to determine whether he is natural born citizen);			

## BIRTHEE SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEE STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
56. <b><i>Hendershot v. Mark Kennedy</i></b> Albert E. Hendershot	Alabama Jefferson County- Birmingham Cir. Ct. No. 01-CV-2011- 002321.00 <sup>14</sup>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Hendershot v. Kennedy</i> , No. 01-CV-2011-002321.00 (Al. Jefferson Cty. Cir. Ct. Jan. 9, 2012) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot);			
57. <b><i>Herbert v. Obama et al</i></b> Susan Herbert	M.D. Fla. (Federal) 3:08-cv-01164-HES-TEM Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b> Recommendation & Order	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Herbert v. Obama, et al</i> , No. 3:08-cv-01164 (M.D. Fla. Dec. 30, 2008) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is not natural born citizen);			
<b><i>Herbert v. The United States et al</i></b> <sup>15</sup> Susan Herbert	M.D. Fla. (Federal) 3:08-cv-00634-TJC-MCR Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Herbert v. US</i> , No. 3:08-cv-00634-TJC-MCR (M.D. Fla. July 1, 2008) (dismissing case alleging, among other things, that U.S. Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts violated the Constitution in refusing to accept plaintiff's case);			
58. <b><i>Herbert v. United States, et al</i></b> Susan Herbert	M.D. Fla. (Federal) 3:08cv01201 Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b> Recommendation & Order	11 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: 09- 10661 <b>APPEAL</b> <b>DISMISSED</b> ( <i>sua sponte</i> as frivolous and wholly without merit)	<b>Writ</b> <b>DENIED</b> (09-6777)	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Herbert v. United States, et al</i> , No. 3:08cv01201 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 20, 2009) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is not natural born citizen), appeal dismissed, No. 09-10661 (11th Cir. Aug. 3, 2009) (dismissing appeal as frivolous and wholly without merit), <i>cert. denied</i> , 130 S. Ct. 562 (2009), <i>reh'g denied</i> , 130 S.Ct. 1169 (2010);			

<sup>14</sup> Payment required to access docket.

<sup>15</sup> This case was initially listed as a birther case but upon review of the file, we have determined that it is not related to any birther claim.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
59. <b><i>Herbert v. James et al.</i></b> Susan Herbert	M.D. Fla (Federal) 3:12-cv-00469-MMH-JBT	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Herbert v. James et al</i> , No. 3:12-cv-00469-MMH-JBT (M.D. Fla. Apr. 30, 2012) (dismissing compliant with multiple claims, including Herbert's "desire to vote and challenge the natural birth clause");			
60. <b><i>Hollander v. McCain</i></b> Fred Hollander	D.N.H. (Federal) 1:08cv00099 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> 566 F.Supp.2d 63	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Hollander v. McCain</i> , 566 F. Supp. 2d 63 (D.N.H. 2008) (dismissing case challenging McCain's eligibility);			
61. <b><i>Hollister v. Soetoro</i></b> Gregory S. Hollister	D.D.C. (Federal) 1:2008cv02254 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Sanctions Imposed</b>	09-5161 (Dismissal) <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b> 09-5080 (Sanctions) <b>SANCTIONS AFFIRMED</b>	<b>Writ DENIED</b> (10-678)	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Hollister v. Soetoro</i> , 601 F. Supp. 2d 179 (D.D.C. 2009) (dismissing interpleader case challenging Obama's eligibility), <i>subsequent order</i> , 258 F.R.D. 1 (Mar. 27, 2009) (imposing sanctions for filing claim that was not "warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law," under Rule 11), <i>aff'd</i> , Nos. 09-5080 & 09-5161, 368 F. App'x. 154 (D.C. Cir. 2010), <i>cert. denied</i> , 131 S. Ct. 1017 (2011), <i>reh'g denied</i> 131 S. Ct. 1627 (2011);			

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> Birthers win: <b>0</b> Birthers lose: <b>220</b> Pending: <b>6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> Birthers win: <b>0</b> Birthers lose: <b>&gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> Birthers win: <b>0</b> Birthers lose: <b>25+</b>	
62. <i>House v. Obama et al</i> Todd L. House	Kentucky Commnw. Court (Franklin County) No. 12-CI-01048	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>House v. Obama, et al, No. 12-CI-01048 (Ky. Commonw. Ct., Oct. 1, 2012) (dismissing case seeking to prevent Obama from placement on 2012 general election ballot);</i>			
63. <i>Hunter v. US Supreme Court, et al</i> Darrel Reece Hunter	N.D. Tex. (Federal) 2:08cv00232 Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	5 <sup>th</sup> Circuit: No. 09-10246 <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>		No. 10-10009 <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>		
			No. 10-10064 <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>		
<i>Hunter v. U.S. Supreme Court, No. 2:08cv00232, 2009 WL 111683, (N.D. Tex., Jan. 16, 2009), (dismissing case alleging, among other things, that Obama is not eligible), appeal dismissed, No. 09-10246 (5th Cir. July 23, 2009), No. 10-10009 (Feb. 4, 2010), No. 10-100064 (Apr. 9, 2010);</i>					
64. <i>Jackson v. Obama</i> Michael Jackson	Illinois Board of Elections Objection ID: 1230   Election ID: 32 12 SOEB GP 104	<b>Challenge OVERRULED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b> (Hearing Officer Recommendation)	---	---	<i>Unknown</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Jackson v. Obama, 12 SOEB GP 104 (Ill. Bd. of Elections Hearing Officer Recommendation Jan. 27, 2012) (overruling objection to Obama's placement on 2012 primary ballot; finding that Obama's long form birth certificate "clearly establishes" his eligibility for office as a "Natural Born Citizen"), objection overruled (Ill. Bd. of Elections, Feb. 3, 2012);</i>			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
65. <i>Jackson v. Obama</i> Michael D. Jackson	Ill. Bd. of Elections Objection ID: 1307 Election ID: 33	<b>OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Jackson v. Obama</i> , No. 12 SOEB GE 113 (Ill. Bd. Elections, Sept. 17, 2012) (overruling objection filed seeking to keep Obama off general election ballot in 2012 on grounds that he is not a "natural born citizen"; relying on prior decision (12 SOEB GP 104) which held that Obama's long form birth certificate sufficiently established birth in the United States);			
66. <i>In re John McCain's Ineligibility to be on Presidential Primary Ballot in Pa.</i> Carmon Elliott	Pennsylvania Commw. Court 184 MD 2008	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re McCain's Ineligibility to Be on Presidential Primary Ballot in Pa.</i> , No. 184 MD 2008 (Pa. Commw. Ct. Mar. 13, 2008) (dismissing case challenging McCain's eligibility), aff'd, 944 A.2d 75 (Pa. 2008);			
67. <i>Jones v. Obama</i> Ruth Jones	C.D. Cal. (Federal) 2:10-cv-01075 Justia - <b>RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Jones v. Obama</i> , No. 2:10-cv-01075 (C.D. Cal. July 20, 2010) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
68. <i>Jordan v. Reed</i> Linda Jordan	Wash. Super. Ct. Thurston County No. 12-2-01763-5	<b>DISMISSED</b>	No. 878374 Motion for Accelerated Review <b>DENIED (9/25)</b> <b>DISMISSED</b> (as frivolous) <b>Jordon sanctioned</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Jordan v. Secretary of State Sam Reed</i> , No. 12-2-01763-5, 2012 WL 4739216 (Wash. Super. Ct. Aug. 27, 2012) (dismissing as frivolous plaintiff's complaint seeking to prevent state from including Obama on 2012 ballot), <i>appeal dismissed as frivolous</i> , No. 878374 (Dec. 5, 2012) (dismissing appeal as frivolous and awarding reasonable attorneys' fees in favor of respondent) (note that the parties thereafter settled the case without Jordan paying attorneys' fees):			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

		Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
69. <i>Judd v. State Sec'y of State of California et al</i> <sup>16</sup> Keith Russell Judd	C.D. Cal. (Federal) No. 2:2011-cv-05440 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>RELIEF DENIED</b>	---	---	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Cal. Secy of State et al</i> , No. 2:2011-cv-05440 (C.D. Cal. <a href="#">Aug. 25, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds; referring to arguments as "insubstantial or frivolous");			
70. <i>Judd v. Sec'y of State of Kentucky</i> Keith Russell Judd	E.D. Kentucky (Federal) No. 3:11-cv-00027-DCR <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>RELIEF DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Sec'y of State of Kentucky</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00027-DCR (E.D. Ky. <a href="#">Aug. 3, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds; stating that "[a] cursory review of this document indicates that it is yet another frivolous filing from the plaintiff);			
71. <i>Judd v. State Board of Elections of Virginia et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	E.D. Va. (Federal) No. 1:11-cv-00618 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>RELIEF DENIED</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. No. 12-07438 <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. State Board of Elections of Virginia et al</i> , No. 1:11-cv-00618 (E.D. Va. 2011 <a href="#">Aug. 8, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds; finding that "Plaintiff's Motion to remove President Barack Obama from the 2012 ballot and to award all delegates to plaintiff, which is predicted on allegations that Obama was not born in the United States and is not a United States citizen, will be denied as frivolous");			

<sup>16</sup> Judd has filed more than 50 election cases and, in August 2012, filed motions for relief from prior judgments in which he alleged that Obama is not a "natural born citizen" and sought to remove Obama from 2012 election ballot. We did not include all these cases in the scorecard because the vast majority of them were summarily dismissed given that Judd has been declared a vexatious litigant. For a complete listing of known *Judd* cases, however, see [below](#).

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
72. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd Orly Taitz <sup>17</sup>	C.D. Cal. No. 8:12-cv-01507-DOC-AN <b>RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd et al v. Obama et al</i> , No. 8:12-cv-01507-DOC-AN (C.D. Cal. Oct. 17, 2012) (dismissing lawsuit purportedly removed by plaintiffs from state court case, <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , No. 30-2012 00582135, to federal court);			
73. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd Orly Taitz <sup>18</sup>	C.D. Cal. No. 8:12-cv-01888-DOC-AN <b>RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>Pending</b> 9 <sup>th</sup> Circuit No. <b>12-57177</b>		<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd et al v. Obama et al</i> , No. 8:12-cv-01888-DOC-AN (C.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2012) (dismissing lawsuit stating election fraud, RICO, and various other claims seeking to prevent Obama from being on 2012 general election ballot (among other things);			
74. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	E.D. Cal. No. 2:13-cv-00537-KJM-AC <b>PACER   RECAP</b>	<b>Pending</b>			
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 2:13-cv-00537-KJM-AC (E.D. Cal., filed Mar. 18, 2013) (complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British Citizen and that Judd is a natural born citizen);			
75. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	E.D. Cal. 2:2013-cv-02180 <b>PACER   RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 2:2013-cv-02180 (E.D. Cal. April 19, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President)			

<sup>17</sup> Additional parties include David Farrar, Leah Lax, Thomas G MacLeran, Larry Rappaport, Carol Vita, Lucien Vita.

<sup>18</sup> Additional parties include David Farrar, Leah Lax, Thomas G MacLeran, Larry Rappaport, Carol Vita, Lucien Vita.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
76. <b><i>Judd v. Obama et al</i></b> Keith Russell Judd	D. Colo. No. 1:13-cv-00559 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 1:13-cv-00559-LTB (D. Colo., Apr. 11, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President), <i>app. dismissed</i> No. 13-1186 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. May 1, 2013);			
77. <b><i>Judd v. Obama et al</i></b> Keith Russell Judd	S.D. Ind. No. 1:13-cv-00351 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>			<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 1:13-cv-00351 (S.D. Ind., Nov. 22, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
78. <b><i>Judd v. Obama et al</i></b> Keith Russell Judd	S.D. Miss. No. 3:13-cv-00305 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 3:13-cv-00305(S.D. Miss. Aug. 12, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
79. <b><i>Judd v. Obama et al</i></b> Keith Russell Judd	D. Neb. No. 4:13-cv-03045 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 4:13-cv-03045 (D. Neb. May 7, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
80. <b><i>Judd v. Obama et al</i></b> Keith Russell Judd	D. Or. No. 3:13-cv-00379 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 3:13-cv-00379 (Mar. 12, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President, stating that "[e]ven if plaintiff could establish a proper jurisdictional basis for his claims and standing to bring them to this court, <i>I take judicial notice that the question of President Obama's citizenship and eligibility to serve as president has been litigated and resolved in other courts</i> ") (emphasis added).			



## BIRTHEr SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEr STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
81. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	D.S.C. No. 3:2013-cv-00636 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 3:2013-cv-00636 (D.S.C. Apr. 29, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
82. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	N.D. Tex No. 3:2013-cv-01145 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 3:2013-cv-01145 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 22, 2013) (terminating case seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President on basis of prior sanction order);			
83. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	D. Wis. No. 3:13-cv-00165 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 3:13-cv-00165 (D. Wis. Apr. 15, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President and stating, "I understand plaintiff to be arguing that despite President Obama's birth certificate indicating that he was born in Hawaii, he is a Kenyan citizen because his father was a Kenyan citizen. That is not how United States citizenship works. Under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, all persons born in the United States are citizens of this country.");			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
84. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	D. W. Va. No. 5:13-cv-00040 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 5:13-cv-00040 (D. W. Va. Oct. 22, 2013) (dismissing complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President).			
85. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	E.D. Ky. No. 3:13-cv-00004 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 3:13-cv-00004 (E.D. Ky. May 8, 2013) (dismissing action seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
86. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	D.N.H. No. 1:13-fp-00094 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 1:13-fp-00094 (D.N.H. May 21, 2013) (dismissing action seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
87. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	D. Md. No. 1:13-cv-00636 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 1:13-cv-00636 (D. Md. Mar. 29, 2013) (dismissing, as frivolous, complaint seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			
88. <i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> Keith Russell Judd	W.D. Mo.. 4:13-cv-00290 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 4:13-cv-00290 (W.D. Mo. Mar. 29, 2013) (dismissing action seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President);			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
89. <b>Judd v. Obama et al</b> Keith Russell Judd	D. Mont. No. 6:13-cv-00019 PACER   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judd v. Obama et al</i> , No. 6:13-cv-00019 (D.Mont. Mar. 18, 2013) (dismissing action seeking declaratory judgment that Obama was born a British citizen and is ineligible to be President), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 02-80025 (9th Cir., Apr. 28, 2013);			
90. <b>Judy v. McCain</b> Cody Robert Judy	D. Nev. (Federal) 2:08cv01162 Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Judy v. McCain</i> , No. 2:08cv01162 (D. Nev., Sept. 8, 2008) (dismissing case challenging McCain's eligibility);			
91. <b>Justice v. Fuddy</b> Dr. Robert V. Justice	Hawaii State Circuit Court 1CC09-1-000783	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED<sup>19</sup></b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Justice v. Fuddy</i> , No. 1CC09-1-000783 (Haw. Cir. Ct. Oct. 9, 2009) (dismissing case seeking access to records allegedly relevant to Obama's eligibility), <i>aff'd</i> 253 P.3d 665 (Haw. Ct. App. 2011), as corrected (Apr. 26, 2011);			
92. <b>Kerchner et al v. Obama et al</b> Charles F. Kerchner	D.N.J. (Federal) 1:09-cv-00253-JBS-JS Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Circuit: 09-4209 <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b> Costs taxed against Kerchner Show Cause (Sanctions) Order Issued	Writ <b>DENIED</b> (10-446)	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Kerchner v. Obama</i> , 669 F. Supp. 2d 477 (D.N.J. 2009) <i>aff'd</i> , 612 F.3d 204 (3d Cir. 2010), <i>cert. denied</i> , 131 S. Ct. 663 (2010);			

<sup>19</sup> Note: Corrections to April 7, 2011 Opinion, issued on April 26, 2011 found [here](#).

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name					
93. <b><i>Kerchner et al v. Obama et al</i></b> Charles F. Kerchner Dale A. Laudenslager	Pennsylvania State Commonwealth Court No. <a href="#">85 MD 2012</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	Yes
<i>Citation</i>	<i>Kerchner v. Obama</i> , No. 85 MD 2012 (Pa. Commw. Ct., Mar. 2, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama’s eligibility to be on 2012 ballot);				
94. <b><i>Kesler v. Obama</i></b> Edward R. Kesler	Indiana Election Commission No. <a href="#">2012-162</a>	<b>Challenge DENIED</b>	--- But see <i>Taitz v. Indiana Election Commission</i> , <a href="#">below</a>	---	Unknown
<i>Citation</i>	<i>Kesler v. Obama</i> , No. 2012-162 (Ind. Election Comm’n Feb. 24, 2012) (denying objection seeking to keep Obama off 2012 ballot on grounds that he is not a “natural born citizen”);				
95. <b><i>Keyes v. Bowen</i></b> Alan Keyes	CA State Court Sacramento Cty Sup. Ct Court <a href="#">34-2008-80000096-CUWMGDS</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Costs Assessed against Plaintiff</b>	CA Court of Appeals <a href="#">C06232</a> <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b> Costs taxed against Keyes	Writ <b>DENIED</b> (10-1351)	Yes
<i>Citation</i>	<i>Keyes v. Bowen</i> , 189 Cal. App. 4th 647 (Cal. Ct. App. 2010) (affirming dismissal of case challenging Obama’s eligibility), <i>pet. for rev. denied</i> , No. S188724 (Cal. Feb. 2, 2011), <i>cert denied</i> , 132 S. Ct. 99 (2011);				
96. <b><i>Lakin Article 138 Complaint</i></b> Terrence Lakin	US Army Administrative Law Division No Number Assigned	<b>Article 138 Complaint REJECTED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
<i>Citation</i>	<i>Lakin Complaint of Wrongs under Article 138</i> , No Number Assigned (US Army Admin. Law Div. Dec 11, 2009);				

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
97. <b>Lakin v. Lind</b> Terrence Lakin	US Army Court of Criminal Appeals No. ARMY MISC 20100778	Petition for Writ of Mandamus <b>DENIED</b>	<i>Not applicable</i> (petition filed in appeals court)	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Lakin v. Lind</i> , No. ARMY MISC 20100778 (A. Ct. Crim. App. Oct. 12, 2010) (order) (denying petition for writ of mandamus to compel discovery factual matters allegedly relating to Obama's eligibility in court martial proceedings);			
98. <b>US v. LTC Terrence L. Lakin</b> Terrence Lakin	UCMJ Investigation MCAT-JA-SC	<b>Court Martial: GUILTY</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>United States v. Lakin</i> , No. 20100995 (Mil. Dist. of Wash. DC Dec. 16, 2010) (court martial finding Lakin guilty of, among other things, failure to report to deploy; rejecting claim that his failure was based on legitimate questions as to Obama's eligibility), <i>appeal withdrawn</i> , (A. Ct. Crim. App. July 28, 2011)			
99. <b>Laity v. Obama</b> Robert Laity	N.Y. State Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>OBJECTION OVERRULED</b>	--- But see below	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Laity v. Obama</i> , No Number Assigned (N.Y. State Bd. Of Elections Feb 12, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama's presence on New York election ballot)			
100. <b>Laity v. State of New York</b> Robert Laity	New York Court of Claims No. 2012-039-319 Claim No. 120982-81370	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Laity v. State of New York</i> , No. 2012-039-319, Claim No. 120982-81370 (N.Y. Ct. of Claims July 12, 2012) (dismissing claim brought against Board of Elections for rejecting plaintiff's objections to placement of Obama on 2012 ballot)			
101. <b>Laity v. State of New York</b> Robert Laity	New York Albany County Supreme (Trial) Court No. 005003/2012	<b>Request for Mandamus and Injunction DENIED</b>	<b>APPEAL DENIED</b> NY Supr. Ct. App. Div. 3rd Dept	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Laity v. State of New York</i> , No. 005003-2012, Nov. 7, 2012 Order (N.Y. Albany County Supr. Ct.) (denying mandamus/motion for injunction in special election proceeding); Nov. 28, 2012 Order (denying motion for injunction).			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
102. <i>Lamb v. Obama et al</i> Thomas A. Lamb	Alaska Superior Court No. <a href="#">3AN-12-09961CI</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	Alaska Supreme Court Petition for Review <b>DENIED</b> No. S-14936 1/14/2013	----	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte;</i>
	<i>Citation</i>		Appeal No. <a href="#">S15155</a> <b>Pending</b> <sup>20</sup>		
103. <i>Liberty Legal Found. v. Nat'l Democratic Party of USA, et al</i> John Dummett; Leonard Volodarsky; Creg Maroney	D. Ariz. (Federal) <a href="#">2:11-cv-02089-SRB</a> Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	9th Circuit No. <a href="#">12-16729</a> <b>Voluntarily DISMISSED</b> <b>Motions for Injunction DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>		<i>Liberty Legal Found. v. Nat'l Democratic Party of the USA, et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-02089-SRB (D Ariz. Jul. 11, 2011) (dismissing complaint seeking to prevent Obama from being on 2012 ballot; warning plaintiff's attorney that knowingly continuing to bring claims that have previously been dismissed may warrant sanctions in the future");		

<sup>20</sup> Oral argument scheduled 1/15/2014.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff’s full name					
104. <b><i>Liberty Legal Found. v. Nat’l Democratic Party of USA, et al</i></b> John Dummett; Leonard Volodarsky; Creg Maroney	W.D. Tenn. Federal Court 2:12-cv-02143-STA-cgc Justia - RECAP <sup>21</sup>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Sanctions GRANTED</b>	6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Ct. of Appeals No. 12-6082 Appeal <b>DISMISSED</b> No. 12-6634 Appeal (of Sanctions only) Pending	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Liberty Legal Found., v. Nat’l Democratic Party of the USA, et al</i> , No. 2:12-cv-2143, 2012 WL 2368448 (W.D. Tenn. Jun. 21, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking to prevent Obama from being on 2012 ballot); <i>see also</i> --- F. Supp. 2d ---, 2012 WL 1252484 (W.D. Tenn. Apr. 13, 2012) (denying motion to remand because issue of “natural born citizen” interpretation is a federal issue); 2012 WL 3683492 (Aug. 24, 2012) (granting sanctions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1927: finding that “[C]ounsel for Plaintiff reasonably should have known that all Plaintiffs lacked standing to bring this suit, the Court holds that Plaintiffs’ claims were frivolous and without any arguable basis in law. As such, counsel for Plaintiff has multiplied the proceedings in this case unreasonably and vexatiously and should therefore be required to satisfy personally the attorneys’ fees reasonably incurred by Defendants because of such conduct.”), 2012 WL 6026856 (Dec. 4, 2012) (granting in part petition for attorneys’ fees); 2012 WL 6026496 (Dec. 4, 2012) (denying motion to reconsider order granting §1927 sanctions);			
105. <b><i>Lightfoot v. Bowen</i></b> Gail Lightfoot	CA Supreme Court No. 168690	Action filed in Supreme Court <b>DISMISSED</b>	N/A: Original filing in Supreme Court	<b>Application DENIED</b> (08A524)	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Lightfoot v. Bowen</i> , No. S168690 (Cal. Dec. 5, 2008) (dismissing petition for writ of mandate and stay), <i>application for stay denied</i> , 129 S. Ct. 1053 No. 08A524 (Jan. 26, 2009);			
106. <b><i>Maathai v. Obama</i></b> Wangari Muta Maathi	M.D. Tenn. Federal Court No. 3:12-mc-00048; 3:12-cv-00910 Justia   RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Maathai v. Obama</i> , No. 3:12-cv-00910 (M.D. Tenn., Sept. 4, 2012) (dismissing purported whistleblower case seeking ouster of President Obama on grounds that he does not qualify as “natural born citizen” due to alleged Kenyan birth and fact that he did not have two US citizen parents at birth);			

<sup>21</sup> Case removed from TN State Court - Chancery Court –Shelby - No. CH-11-1757 | Docket.

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
107. <i>Mackay v. Obama</i> Donald Francis Mackay, Jr.	E.D. Pa. (Federal Court) No. 2:11-cv-05458-JP Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	3rd Circuit: No. 11-3862 Voluntarily <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
			3rd Circuit: No. <b>11-3967</b> <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Mackay v. Obama</i> , No. 2:11-CV-05458-JP (E.D. Pa. Oct. 6, 2011) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility), <i>appeal voluntarily dismissed</i> , No. 11- 3862 (3rd Cir. Nov. 2, 2011), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No 11-3967 (Dec. 8, 2011);			
108. <i>Marquis v. Reed</i> Steven Marquis	WA State Court King County Superior Ct. 08-2-34955-1 SEA	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Marquis v. Reed</i> , No. 08-2-34955-1 SEA (Wash. King County Super. Ct. Oct. 27, 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
109. <i>Martin v Lingle</i> Andy Martin	Hawaii Supreme Court 29414	<i>Action filed in Supreme Court</i> <b>APPLICATION DENIED</b>	<i>N/A:</i> <i>Original filing in</i> <i>Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Martin v. Lingle</i> , No. 29414, 2008 WL 4684786 (Haw. Oct. 22, 2008) (rejecting petition seeking disclosure of Obama's birth records allegedly related to his eligibility);			
110. <i>Martin v Lingle</i> Andy Martin	Hawaii State District Court 1CC08-1-002147	<b>DISMISSED</b> (Docket)	Haw. Ct. Appeals <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b> (Docket) Haw. Sup. Ct. <b>Writ DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Martin v. Lingle</i> , No. 1CC08-1-002147 (Haw. Cir. Ct. Jan. 12, 2009) (dismissing case seeking to compel disclosure of Obama's birth records based on challenge to his eligibility), <i>recons. denied</i> , (Jan. 27, 2009), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 29643, 2009 WL 1669050 (Haw. Ct. App. June 9, 2009), <i>cert. denied</i> , No. 29643, 2009 WL 2372096 (Haw. Aug. 3, 2009);			



## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff’s full name					
111. <i>Martin v. Bennett</i> Andy Martin	Hawaii State District Court 1CC10-1-000969 <sup>22</sup>	<b>DISMISSED</b> (Docket) <sup>23</sup>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Martin v. Bennett</i> , No. 1CC10-1-000969 (Haw. Cir. Ct. Sept. 7, 2010) (dismissing case seeking to compel disclosure of Obama’s birth records based on challenge to his eligibility);			
112. <i>Martin v. Obama</i> Amanda Martin	Ill. Bd. of Elections Objection ID: 1305 Election ID: 33	<b>OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Martin v. Obama</i> , No. 12 SOEB GE 111 (Ill. Bd. Elections, Sept. 17, 2012) (overruling objection filed seeking to keep Obama off general election ballot in 2012 on grounds that he is not a “natural born citizen”; relying on prior decision in <i>Freeman</i> and <i>Jackson</i> primary challenges (12 SOEB GP 103 and 12 SOEB GP 104), which held that Obama’s long form birth certificate sufficiently established birth in the United States);			
113. <i>McInnish v. Chapman</i> Hugh McInnish	Alabama Supreme Court No. 1110664	<b>DENIED</b>	<i>Not Applicable</i> (Filed in State Supreme Court)	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>McInnish v. Chapman</i> , No. 1110665 (Ala. Mar. 27, 2012) (denying petition for writ of mandamus to require secretary of state to order Obama to produce original birth certificate);			
114. <i>McInnish v. Chapman</i> Hugh McInnish	Alabama Superior Court (Montgomery County) No. 3-CV-2012-001053	<b>DISMISSED</b>	Ala. S.Ct. No. 1120465 <b>Pending</b>		<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>McInnish v. Chapman</i> , No. 03-CV-2012-001053 (Al. Cir. Ct. Dec. 7, 2012) (granting motion to dismiss complaint challenging Obama’s eligibility to be on the ballot);			
115. <i>McLanahan v. Obama</i> Tim McLanahan	E.D. Wash. (Federal) 2:11-cv-00374-EFS Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>McLanahan v. Obama</i> , No. 2:11-CV-00374-EFS (E.D. Wash. Oct. 13, 2011) (dismissing complaint challenging, among other things, Obama’s eligibility);			

<sup>22</sup> Actual case cannot be bookmarked, but can be located via search from this link.

<sup>23</sup> Actual order cannot be bookmarked, but can be located in “case info” after searching for case from this link.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
116. <i>Meroni et al v. McHenry County Grand Jury Foreman et al.</i> Sharon A. Meroni	Illinois 22nd Judicial Dist. McHenry County, IL, Case No 09mr399	<b>DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Meroni v. McHenry Cty. Grand Jury Foreman</i> , No. 09mr339 (Ill. Cir. Ct. (22nd Dist.) Jan. 20, 2010) (dismissing case seeking to compel grand jury investigation into Obama's eligibility);			
117. <i>Meroni et al v. Obama</i> Sharon Meroni, Chris Cleveland, and Stephen Boulton	Ill. Bd. of Elections Objection ID: 1242   Election ID: 32	<b>Voluntarily Withdrawn But still OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Meroni et al v. Obama</i> , 12 SOEB GP 104 (Ill. Bd. of Elections Hearing Officer Recommendation Jan. 27, 2012) (Obama's birth certificate "clearly establishes" his eligibility for office as a "Natural Born Citizen"), <i>objection overruled</i> (Ill. State Bd. of Elections, Feb. 3, 2012);			
118. <i>Montgomery v. Obama</i> Joe Montgomery	Kansas. Bd. Elections No. _____	<b>Voluntarily Withdrawn</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Voluntarily dismissed</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Montgomery v. Obama</i> , No. _____ (Kansas Bd. Of Elections, Sept __, 2012) (ballot challenge seeking to keep Obama of 2012 general election ballot on grounds he is not "natural born citizen")			
119. <i>Morrow v. Obama</i> Susan A. Morrow	S.D. Fla. (Federal) 1:08-cv-22345 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Morrow v. Barak Humane Obama</i> , No. 1:08-cv-22345 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 9, 2009) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility);			
120. <i>Neal v. Brunner</i> David M. Neal	Ohio State Court <a href="#">Warren Cty. Com. Pleas Ct</a> <a href="#">2008CV72726</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> ( <a href="#">SOS Report</a> , p. 15; <a href="#">Docket</a> )	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Neal v. Brunner</i> , No. 2008CV72726 (Ohio Ct. Com. Pl. Warren County Nov. 17, 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
121. <b>Neely v. Obama</b> Gerald Lee Neely	E.D. Mich. (Federal) 2:08-cv-15243 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <i>Sua Sponte</i>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Neely v. Obama</i> , 2:08-cv-15243 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 4, 2009) (dismissing case challenging, among other things, Obama's eligibility);			
122. <b>Noonan et al v. Bowen &amp; Obama</b> Edward C. Noonan, Pamela Barnett, Sharon Chickering, George Miller, Tony Dolz, Neil Turner, Gary Wilmott	Superior Court of Ca. Sacramento County <a href="#">2012-80001048</a>	Writ of Mandate <b>DISMISSED</b> Costs assessed against Plaintiff	<b>Pending</b> Cal. Third Appellate Dist. No. <a href="#">C071764</a>		<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Noonan v. Bowen et al</i> , No. 2012-80001048 (Cal. Sacramento Cty. Super. Ct., July 5, 2012) (dismissing case seeking to challenge Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot);			
123. <b>Noonan et al v. Bowen</b> Edward Noonan Thomas MacLeran Keith Judd Orly Taitz	California Supreme Court No. <a href="#">S207078</a>	<b>PETITION DENIED</b>	<i>N/A – originally filed in CA Supreme Court</i>	Application for Stay No. <a href="#">12A606</a> <b>DENIED</b>	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Noonan et al v. Bowen</i> , No. S207078 (Cal. Dec. 6, 2012) (denying petition for writ of mandate/prohibition challenging 2012 election of Obama as President) ;			
124. <b>Paige v. Obama</b> H. Brooke Paige	Vermont Superior Court Washington County No. 611-8-12 Wncv	<b>Pending</b> <b>Motion for TRO DENIED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b> <b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>		<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Paige v. Obama</i> , No. 611-8-12 WNCV (Vt. Super. Ct. Nov. 14, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking to prevent Obama from being placed in 2012 general election ballot; relying in <i>Ankeny</i> (916 N.E.2d 678) to find that persons born in U.S. is "are 'natural born Citizens' for Article II, Section 1 purposes, regardless of the citizenship of their parents); <i>see also</i> Order Denying Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Sept. 21, 2012) ("[t]he common law of England, the American colonies, and later the United States, all support one interpretation only: "that persons born within the borders of the United States are 'natural born Citizens' for Article II, Section 1 purposes, regardless of the citizenship of their parents") (citing <i>Ankeny</i> ).			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff’s full name					
125. <b><i>Patriot’s Heart Media Network v. Illinois Board of Elections</i></b> Sharon Meroni	Illinois State Court, McHenry County No. 10CH000605 <a href="#">Docket</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Patriot’s Heart Media Network v. Ill. Bd. of Elections</i> , No. 10CH000605 (Ill. McHenry Cty. Chancery. Ct. Mar. 8, 2010) (dismissing case challenging Obama’s eligibility to be on ballot);			
126. <b><i>Patriot’s Heart Network v Soetoro</i></b> Sharon Meroni (Patriot's Heart Media Network, Inc.) Carl Swensson Robert Debeaux Robert D. Pinkstaff Penny Kelso	D.D.C. (Federal) 1:09-mc-00442-RCL <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Patriot’s Heart Media Network, Inc. v. Soetoro</i> , No. 1:09-mc-00442-RCL (D.D.C. Sept. 10, 2009) (rejecting request to convene grand jury to investigate Obama’s eligibility; dismissing petition for lack of jurisdiction);			
127. <b><i>In re Paul Andrew Mitchell</i></b> <sup>24</sup> Paul Andrew Mitchell	E.D. Pa. (Federal) 2:08-cv-04083-RBS <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Circuit Writ of Mandamus (08-4443) (Original Proceeding) <b>Writ DENIED</b> 304 Fed.Appx. 113, 2008 WL 5381436	<i>Not applicable (original proceeding in 3rd Cir.)</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In Re Paul Andrew Mitchell</i> , 304 F. App’x. 113 (3rd Cir., Dec. 22, 2008) (denying petition for writ of mandamus regarding challenge to Obama’s eligibility);			

<sup>24</sup> *Note:* Paul Andrew Mitchell has sought to intervene in multiple birther cases of which this is just one. Because he filed “substantive” briefs with this case/appeal, it is included as a separate case.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff’s full name					
128. <b>Powell v. Obama</b> Kevin Richard Powell	Georgia Office of State Administrative Hearings OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1216823-60-MALIHI	<b>Objection DENIED - Obama Eligible (ALJ)</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>Secretary of State Final Decision</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC (Adopting ALJ Decision)</b>	<i>See below</i>	<i>See below</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Powell v. Obama</i> , No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1216823-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama’s eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a “natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec’y State Feb. 7, 2012);			
Powell v. Obama Kevin Richard Powell	Georgia Fulton County Superior Ct 2012CV211528	Appeal of SOS Decision <b>DISMISSED</b>	Ga. Supreme Court. No. S12D1077 <b>DENIED</b> <b>Emergency Motion for Injunction DENIED</b>	---	<i>Yes in trial court</i> <i>No in GA. Sup. Ct</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Powell v. Obama</i> , No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1216823-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama’s eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a “natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec’y State Feb. 7, 2012), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 2012CV211528 (Ga. Fulton Cty. Super. Ct., Mar. 2, 2012), <i>motion for injunction denied</i> , No. S12D1077 (Ga. Mar. 13, 2012), <i>appeal denied</i> (Ga. Apr. 4, 2012);			
129. <b>Purpura v. Sebelius</b> <sup>25</sup> Nicholas E. Purpura, Donald R Laster Jr	D.N.J. (Federal) 3:10-cv-04814-FLW-DEA <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	No. 11-2303 <b>DISMISSAL AFFIRMED</b> <b>Costs Taxed against Appellants</b>	No. 11-7275 <b>Writ DENIED</b> <b>Petition for Reh’g DENIED</b>	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Purpura v. Sebelius</i> , No. 3:10-CV-04814, 2011 WL 1547768, (D. N.J. Apr. 21, 2011) (dismissing case challenging Patient Protection and Affordable Care on various grounds, including that was not signed into law by a person eligible to be President of the United States), <i>aff’d</i> , 446 F. App’x 496 (3d Cir. 2011) <i>cert. denied</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1037 (U.S. 2012) <i>reh’g denied</i> , No. 11-7275, 2012 WL 538800 (U.S. Feb. 21, 2012);			

<sup>25</sup> Note: This case challenges the Patients Affordable Health Care Act on multiple grounds, including the charge that because Obama is not a “natural born citizen” his signing of the bill into law violated the Constitution.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
130. <b>Purpura et al v. Obama</b> Nicholas E. Purpura Theodore T.Moran	New Jersey Office of Secretary of State OAL No. STE 04534-12	<b>Challenge DENIED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC Initial Decision Adopted</b>	<i>See below</i>	---	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Purpura v Obama</i> , No. STE 04534-12, 2012 WL 1369003 (N.J. Adm. Apr. 10, 2012) (initial decision rejecting challenge to Obama's 2012 nominating position and finding that, assuming Obama was born in Hawaii, he is a "natural born citizen" eligible for the presidency per <i>Ankeny</i> and <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> ), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (NJ Secy of State Apr. 12, 2012), <i>aff'd</i> , No. A-004478-11-T03, 2012 WL 1949041 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. May 31, 2012) (per curiam).			
<b>Purpura et al v. Obama</b> Nicholas E. Purpura Theodore T. Moran	N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. No. A-004478-11-T03	<b>AFFIRMED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC<sup>26</sup></b>	<b>Petition for Cert DENIED</b> N.J. Sup. Ct. No. 071052	---	TBD
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Purpura v Obama</i> , No. A-004478-11-T03, 2012 WL 1949041 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. May 31, 2012) (per curiam) (affirming N.J. Secy of State decision rejecting ballot challenge to Obama's 2012 nominating position and finding that, assuming Obama was born in Hawaii, he is a "natural born citizen" eligible for the presidency per <i>Ankeny</i> and <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> ), <i>cert denied</i> , No. 071052 (N.J. Sept. 7, 2012);			

<sup>26</sup> The Appellate Court affirmed the Secretary of State's Decision: "We affirm substantially for the reasons set forthin ALJ Jeff S. Masin's thorough and thoughtful written opinion of April 10, 2012, as adopted by the Secretary on April 12,2012."

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
131. <i>Reade v. Galvin</i> William F. Reade	D. Mass (Federal) No. 1:12-cv-11492 Justia   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. <a href="#">No. 12-2406</a> <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a defendants</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Reade v. Galvin</i> , No. 1:12-cv-11492, 2012 WL 5385683 (D. Mass. Oct. 30, 2012) (dismissing complaint filed against Massachusetts Secretary of State for allegedly refusing to consider plaintiff's challenge to Obama's eligibility to be included on 2012 primary ballot; finding that Reade does not have standing to challenge eligibility in court); <i>aff'd</i> , No. 12-2406 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir., June 11, 2013)			
132. <i>Rhodes v. Gates</i> Connie Rhodes	W.D. Tex. (Federal) 5:09-cv-00703-XR Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>TRO DENIED;</b> <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Rhodes v. Gates</i> , 5:09-cv-00703-XR (W.D.Tex., Aug. 28, 2009) (denying TRO seeking to stay military orders due to challenge to Obama's eligibility);			
133. <i>Rhodes v. MacDonald</i> Connie Rhodes	M.D. Ga. (Federal) 4:09-cv-00106-CDL Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>TRO DENIED &amp; CASE</b> <b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
		<b>Sanctions Imposed</b> <b>Final Sanctions Order</b> 670 F.Supp.2d 1363	11 <sup>th</sup> Circuit Appeal of <i>Sanctions Only</i> <b>Sanctions AFFIRMED</b> <b>Petition for Rehearing</b> <b>DENIED</b>	<b>Application (10A56)</b> <b>DENIED</b>	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Rhodes v. MacDonald</i> , No. 409-CV-106CDL, 2009 WL 2997605 (M.D. Ga. Sept. 16, 2009) (denying TRO seeking to stay military orders due to challenge to Obama's eligibility; criticizing complaint as frivolous), <i>recons. denied</i> , 2009 WL 3111834 (Sept. 18, 2009), <i>subsequent order</i> , 670 F. Supp. 2d 1363 (M.D. Ga. 2009) (imposing \$20,000 sanction for violating Rule 11), <i>aff'd</i> , 368 Fed.Appx. 949, (11th Cir. 2010), <i>reh'g denied</i> , No. 09-15418-BB (11th Cir. May 14, 2010), <i>app. for stay denied</i> , 131 S.Ct. 44 (2010), <i>cert. denied</i> , 131 S. Ct. 918 (2011);			

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
134. <i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Alabama</i> Annamarie Riethmiller <sup>27</sup>	M.D. Ala. (Federal Court) No. 2:12-cv-00823-SRW <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Alabama</i> , 2012 WL 5042026 (M.D. Ala. Oct. 2, 2012) <i>report and recommendation adopted</i> , 2:12-CV-823-WKW, 2012 WL 5045219 (M.D. Ala. Oct. 18, 2012) (dismissing claim seeking, among other things, injunction preventing defendants from placing candidate Obama on the ballot for the November 2012 election and declaration that Obama is ineligible to hold office of President as he is not a "natural born" citizen);			
135. <i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Arizona</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	D. Ariz. (Federal Court) No. 2:12-cv-02034 <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Arizona</i> , No. 2:2012-cv-02034 (D. Ariz., Sept. 29, 2012) (order denying declaratory judgment and complaint "seeking to enjoin the electors for the state of Arizona from casting a vote for President Obama because he is not a natural born citizen" on grounds that complaint fails to meet FRCP Rule 8; granting plaintiff 30 days to file amended complaint); <i>see also</i> 2012 WL 5416603 (D. Ariz. Nov. 6, 2012) (order dismissing amended complaint);			

<sup>27</sup> Multiple cases remain pending. For a complete list of the *Riethmiller* cases located to date, see [below](#).



## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff’s full name					
136. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Delaware</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	D. Del. No. 1:12cv1197-UNA <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Riethmiller) v.. Electors for the State of Delaware</i> , No. 1:12cv1197-UNA (D. Del., Oct. 9, 2012) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a “natural born citizen”).			
137. <i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain [Reithmiller] v. Electors for State of Illinois</i> Annamarie Riethmiller <sup>28</sup>	C.D. Ill. (Federal Court) No. 1:12-cv-01373-JES-JAG <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Reithmiller) v. Electors for State of Illinois</i> , No. 1:12-cv-01373-JES-JAG (C.D. Ill. Oct. 1, 2012) (dismissing and finding that birther-related complaint “is frivolous, fails to state a legal claim over which this Court would have proper jurisdiction, and fails to even minimally comply with [FRCP 8]”);			
138. <i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Indiana</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	N.D. Ind. (Federal) No. 1:12-cv-00335 <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	7 <sup>th</sup> Circuit No. 12-3361 <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Indiana</i> , No.1:2012-cv-00335 (N.D. Ind. Sept. 24, 2012) (order <i>sua sponte</i> dismissing, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), frivolous complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a “natural born citizen” and imposing filing restrictions on plaintiff for filing frivolous actions);			

<sup>28</sup> For more information on the *Riethmiller* cases, see [below](#).

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
139. <i>Annamarie [Reithmiller] v. Electors for State of Kentucky</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	W.D. Ky (Federal) No. 3:12-cv-00602 <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie ? Last Name Uncertain v. Electors for Kentucky</i> , 3:12-cv--602-H, 2012 WL 5398565 (W.D. La. Nov. 5, 2012) (relying on <i>Berg v. Obama</i> , 586 F.3d 234, 239 (3d Cir. 2009) to dismiss complaint on standing grounds, which sought (among other things) to prevent Obama's placement on 2012 general election ballot);			
140. <i>Annamarie [Reithmiller] v. Electors for State of Louisiana</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	M.D. La. (Federal) No. 1:12-cv-601 <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie v. Electors ex rel. Louisiana</i> , 1:2012-cv-601-BAJ, 2012 WL 5878153 (M.D. La. Oct. 15, 2012) (recommending dismissal of complaint which included birther claims, as "both fanciful and delusional," which "fail as a matter of law") Order Adopting Recommendations and Dismissing Case (Nov. 21, 2012)			
141. <i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain [Reithmiller] v. Electors for State of Maine</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	D. Me. (Federal Court) No. 1-12-cv-00293-DBH <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain v Electors for the State of Maine</i> , No. 1-12-cv-00293-DBH (D. Me. Sep 27, 2012) (magistrate recommendation to dismiss case <i>sua sponte</i> pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (e)(2)(B) because the action is frivolous and fails to state a claim) Sept. 27, 2012 (order adopting recommendation and dismissing case with prejudice).			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
142. <i>Annamarie v. 619 Others</i>	D. Md. (Federal Court) No. 1-12-cv-2934-JKB <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	
143. Annamarie Riethmiller	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie v. 619 Others</i> , 1-12-cv-2934-JKB, 2013 WL 363778 (D. Md. Jan. 29, 2013) (dismissing complaint which included birther claims as "the product of fantasy or delusional thinking that cannot be addressed by this court.").			
144. <i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain [Reithmiller] v. Electors for State of Michigan</i>	D. Mich. (Federal Court) No. 1:12-cv-01043 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
Annamarie Riethmiller	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Riethmiller) v. Electors for the State of Michigan</i> No. 1:12-cv-01043 (W.D. Mich., Oct. 12, 2012) (magistrate report and recommendation that complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a "natural born citizen" be dismissed), Order Dismissing Case (Nov. 1, 2012);			
145. <i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain [Reithmiller] v. Electors for State of Mississippi</i>	S.D. Miss. (Federal Court) No. 3:12-cv-00671 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
Annamarie Riethmiller	<i>Citation</i>	<i>[Reithmiller] Annamarie LNU v. Electors for State of Mississippi</i> , No. 3:12-cv-00671-TSL-MTP (S.D. Miss. Oct. 10, 2012) (magistrate's order recommending dismissal of birther complaint), Order (Oct. 30, 2012) adopting recommendation and dismissing case as frivolous);			
146. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Missouri</i>	E.D. Mo. (Federal Court) No. 4:12-cv-01739-CAS <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
Annamarie Riethmiller	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Riethmiller v. Electors for State of Missouri</i> , No. 4:12-cv-01739-CAS (E.D. Mo. Sept. 27, 2012) ( <i>sua sponte</i> and summarily dismissing, as frivolous, case seeking, among other things, declaration that Obama is not a "natural born citizen" and finding that appeal could not be taken in good faith)			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
147. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Montana</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	D. Mont. (Federal Court) No. 9:12-cv-00164 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain v. Electors ex rel. Montana</i> , No. 9:12-cv-00164-DLC-JCL, 2012 WL 5879607 (D. Mont. Oct. 17, 2012) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a "natural born citizen," as frivolous).			
148. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Nebraska</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	D. Neb. (Federal Court) No. 8:12-cv-00348 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Riethmiller) v. Electors for the State of Nebraska</i> , No. 8:12-cv-00348-RGK-PRSE, 2012 WL 5874371 (D. Neb., docketed Sept. 27, 2012) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency; "The 52-page Complaint submitted by Plaintiff consists of, at best, nonsensical and rambling statements regarding President Barack Obama's citizenship and his eligibility to be President of the United States on grounds he is not a "natural born citizen")			
149. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of New York</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	W.D.N.Y. No. 1:12-cv-906-RJA <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Riethmiller) v. Electors for the State of New York</i> , No. 1:12-cv-906 (W.D.N.Y. Oct. 3, 2012) (order <i>sua sponte</i> and summarily dismissing, as frivolous, complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a "natural born citizen"; finding that appeal cannot be taken in good faith) ;			
150. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Ohio</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	N.D. Ohio No. 5:2012-mc-00122 <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Last Name Uncertain v. Electors for Ohio</i> , No. 5:2012-mc-00122, 2012 WL 5304734 (N.D. Ohio Oct. 25, 2012) (dismissing complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a "natural born citizen");			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
151. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Pennsylvania</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	E.D. Pa. (Federal Court) No. 2:12-cv-05767-ER <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Riethmiller) v. Electors for the State of Pennsylvania</i> , No. 2:12-cv-05767-ER (E.D. Pa. Oct. 17, 2012) (“The complaint, which asserts that President Obama is not a suitable presidential candidate and seeks his removal from the ballot in the 2012 presidential election, is dismissed as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)”);			
152. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Virginia</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	W.D. Va. (Federal Court) No. 1:12-cv-00058-JPJ-PMS <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie Last Name Uncertain (Riethmiller) v. Electors for the State of Virginia</i> , No. 1:12-cv-00058-JPJ-PMS, 2012 WL 4742363 (W.D. Va. Oct 4, 2012) (dismissing case challenging Obama’s eligibility for the presidency as frivolous and finding that plaintiff lacks standing);			
153. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Washington</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	E.D. Washington (Federal) No. 2:12-cv-00548-TOR <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a> .	<b>DISMISSED</b>	9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. No. <b>12-35851</b> <b>DISMISSED</b> <sup>29</sup>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Riethmiller v Electors for the State of Washington</i> , No. 2:12-cv-00548-TOR (E.D. Wash. Sept. 26, 2012) ( <i>sua sponte</i> order dismissing birther complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)); <i>appeal dismissed</i> No. 12-35851 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. April 5, 2013)			
154. <i>Annamarie LNU [Riethmiller] v. Electors for State of Wisconsin</i> Annamarie Riethmiller	W.D. Wis. (Federal Court) No. 1:12-cv-00977-WCG <a href="#">PACER</a>   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. No. <b>12-3364</b> <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Annamarie LNU v. Electors for the State of Wisconsin</i> , No. 1:12-cv-00977-WCG (E.D. Wis. Sept. 27, 2012) ( <i>sua sponte</i> dismissing, for failure to comply with FRCP 8, complaint alleging, among other things, that Obama is ineligible for presidency on grounds he is not a “natural born citizen”); <i>appeal dismissed</i> No. 12-3364 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Nov.8, 2012)			

<sup>29</sup> Feb. 27, 2013 Order: “Filed order (KIM MCLANE WARDLAW and MARY H. MURGUIA) Appellant’s January 2, 2013 filing is construed, in part, as a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. So construed, the motion is denied because we find that the appeal is frivolous. If appellant wishes to pursue this appeal despite the court’s finding that it is frivolous, then within 21 days after the date of this order, appellant shall pay \$455.00 to the district court as the docketing and filing fees for this appeal and file proof of payment with this court. Otherwise, the appeal will be dismissed by the Clerk for failure to prosecute, regardless of further filings.”

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
155. <b>Ripley v. Obama</b> Valeria I. Ripley	Indiana Election Commission No. 2012-163	<b>Challenge DENIED</b>	--- But see <i>Taitz v. Indiana Election Commission</i> , <a href="#">below</a>	---	<i>No</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Ripley v. Obama</i> , No. 2012-163 (Ind. Election Comm'n Feb. 24, 2012) (denying objection seeking to keep Obama off 2012 ballot on grounds that he is not a "natural born citizen");			
156. <b>Robinson v. Bowen (McCain)</b> Markham Robinson	N.D. Cal. (Federal) 3:08cv03836 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Robinson v. Bowen</i> , 567 F. Supp. 2d 1144 (N.D. Cal. 2008) (dismissing case challenging McCain's eligibility);			
157. <b>Roy v. Fed. Election Comm., (Obama &amp; McCain)</b> Kamal K. Roy <sup>30</sup>	W.D. Wash. (Federal) 2:08cv01519 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED Recommendation &amp; Order</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Roy v. Fed. Election Comm'n</i> , 2:08cv01519, 2008 WL 4921263 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 14, 2008) (dismissing case challenging eligibility of Obama and McCain);			

<sup>30</sup> Note: Kamal Kama Roy has filed **well over a dozen lawsuits**, many naming Obama. Although the pleadings are utterly unintelligible, at least a few of his pleadings have included references to various birther claims. The courts always dismiss these cases, typically *sua sponte*, a frivolous and unintelligible, shortly after he files them.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.					
Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff’s full name					
158. <i>Scheveck v. Obama</i> Thomas Scheveck	South Dakota Board of Elections	<b>OBJECTION DISMISSED</b>	---	---	Unknown
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Scheveck v. Obama</i> , No Number Assigned (S.D. Bd. of Elections May 11, 2011) (dismissing HAVA complaint challenging Obama’s eligibility to appear on ballot);			
159. <i>Schneller v. Cortes</i> James D. Schneller	Pennsylvania Supreme Court 199 MM 2008	Action filed in Supreme Court <b>Application DENIED</b>	N/A: Original filing in Supreme Court	<b>Application (08A592) DENIED Writ (08-9797) DISMISSED with comments</b>	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Schneller v. Cortes</i> , No. 199 MM 2008 (Pa. Jan. 8, 2009) (denying emergency application challenging Obama’s eligibility), <i>pet. for cert. dismissed</i> , 129 S. Ct. 2830 (2009) (finding that Schneller has “repeatedly abused this Court’s process” and directing Clerk to reject any further petitions in noncriminal matters from Schneller unless he docketing fee is paid and petition is submitted in compliance with Court rules);			
160. <i>Schneller v. Obama et al</i> James D. Schneller	Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court 75 MD 2012	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>DISMISSED Reconsideration DENIED</b> <i>See Note in 212 MD 2012</i>	---	Yes
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Schneller v. Obama</i> , No. 75 MD 2012 (Pa. Commw. Ct., Mar. 2, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama’s eligibility to be on 2012 ballot), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 24 MAP 2012 (Pa. Mar. 23, 2012), <i>recons. denied</i> (Pa. Apr. 26, 2012).			
161. <i>Schneller v. Corbett, et al</i> James D. Schneller	Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court 212 MD 2012	<b>DISMISSED</b>	PA S. Ct. <b>55 MAP 2012</b> Application for Emergency Stay and Injunction <b>DENIED Dismissal AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Schneller v. Corbett et al</i> , No. 212 MD 201 (Pa. Commw. Ct., May 25, 2012) (dismissing multiple claims relating to “natural born citizen” issue, including purported “quo warranto” action on grounds that Obama is ineligible to be President and action to compel state election board to hold hearing on Obama’s eligibility), <i>aff’d</i> , No. 55 MAP 2012 (Pa., July 16, 2013).			

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
162. <i>ex rel Sibley v. Obama</i> Montgomery Blair Sibley (Quo Warranto)	D.D.C. (Federal) <a href="#">1:12-cv-00001-JDB</a> Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	Petition for Writ of Mandamus No. <a href="#">12-5040</a> <b>DENIED</b>	Petition for Writ of Certiorari No. <a href="#">11-1185</a> <b>DENIED</b>	<b>No</b> <i>Government Defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. Obama</i> , 866 F.Supp.2d 17 (D.D.C. Jun. 6, 2012) (dismissing complaint containing, among other things, a "petition for writs "quo warranto" to remove President Obama from his current office and, also or alternatively, to bar him from running for the office of president again in the upcoming November election"), <i>affirmed per curiam</i> , No. 12-5198, 2012 WL 6603088 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 6, 2012) (granting summary affirmance and stating that Sibley's claims are without merit), <i>cert denied</i> , No. 12-736, 2013 WL 598531 (U.S. Feb. 19, 2013); <i>see also Sibley v. Obama</i> , No. 12-5040 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 6, 2012) (denying petition for writ of mandamus to compel district court to rule on complaint and various motions), <i>reh'g and reh'g en bank denied</i> (May 4, 2012), <i>cert denied</i> , No. 11-1185 (U.S. Jun. 4, 2012);			
163. <i>Sibley v. DC Bd. of Elections</i> Montgomery Sibley	D.C. Court of Appeals No. 12 AA 516	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>n/a – case brought in Court of Appeals</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. D.C. Board of Elections &amp; Ethics</i> , No. 12 AA 516 (D.C. Ct. App. May 29, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking to prevent Obama from appearing on 2012 primary ballot), <i>cert denied</i> , 133 S.Ct. 851 (2013).			
164. <i>Sibley v. DC Bd. of Elections</i> Montgomery Sibley	D.C. Superior Court No. 2012 CA 004892	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. D.C. Board of Elections and Ethics</i> , No. 2012 CA 004892 B (D.C. Super. Ct., Sept. 7, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking to prevent Obama from appearing on 2012 general election ballot);			
165. <i>Sibley v. DC Bd. of Elections</i> Montgomery Sibley	D.C. Court of Appeals No. 12 AA 1498	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>n/a – case brought in Court of Appeals</i>	Petition for Writ of Certiorari No. 12-467 <b>DENIED</b>	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. D.C. Board of Elections &amp; Ethics</i> , No. 12 AA 1498 (D.C. Ct. App., Sept. 18, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 general election ballot); <i>reh'g denied</i> (Oct. 2, 2012), <i>cert denied</i> , 133 S.Ct. 851 (2013).			



## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
166. <i>Sibley v. Obama</i> Montgomery Sibley	D.C. Cir. (Federal) 1:12-cv-01832 Justia   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	D.C. Cir No. <b>13-5017</b> <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>		<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. Obama</i> , No. 1:12-cv-01832, 2012 WL 6625813 (D.D.C. Dec. 19, 2012) (dismissing purported <i>quo warranto</i> suit asking court to compel Obama to demonstrate that he is a "natural born citizen").			
167. <i>Sibley v. Alexander et al</i> Montgomery Sibley	<a href="#">D.C. Superior Court</a> No. 2012-CA-008644 B	<b>Motion for TRO Denied</b> <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. Alexander, et al</i> , No. 2012-CA-008644 B (D.C. Super. Ct., Mar. 5, 2013) (denying motion for preliminary injunction and dismissing purported <i>quo warranto</i> suit attempting to compel Obama to demonstrate that he is a "natural born citizen").			
168. <i>Sibley v. Alexander et al</i> Montgomery Sibley	D.C. Cir. (Federal) <b>1:12-cv-01984-JDB</b> <sup>31</sup> Justia   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>TRO DENIED</b> <i>Cause remanded to D.C. court</i> 2012-CA-008644 B	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. Alexander et al</i> , No. 12-cv-01984, 2013 WL 76286, --- F.Supp.2d ---- (D.D.C. Jan. 8, 2013) (dismissing purported <i>quo warranto</i> suit attempting to compel Obama to demonstrate that he is a "natural born citizen" dismissed on jurisdictional grounds; case remanded to District of Columbia courts).			
169. <i>Sibley v. DC Bd. of Elections</i> Montgomery Sibley	<a href="#">D.C. Court of Appeals</a> No. 12-AA-1906B	<b>DISMISSED</b> <sup>32</sup>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sibley v. D.C. Board of Elections &amp; Ethics</i> , 12-AA-1906B (D.C. Ct. App., Sept. 18, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's 2012 election);			

<sup>31</sup> Removed to federal court; case originally filed in [D.C. Superior Court](#) (No. 2012-CA-008644 B).

<sup>32</sup> While docket is unavailable, Sibley has sued judges in federal court for dismissing without opinion. *See Sibley v. Macaluso et al*, No. 1:13-cv-00319-JDB (D.C. Cir. filed Mar. 12, 2013)

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
170. <i>Sorensen v. Riley (Obama &amp; McCain)</i> Harold Sorenson	Alabama State Court Montgomery County <a href="#">CV-2008-001906.00</a> <sup>33</sup>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>State defended; No Obama atty appeared</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sorensen v. Riley</i> , No. CV-2008-001906.00 (Ala. Montgomery Cty. Cir. Ct. Jan. 1, 2009) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's and McCain's eligibility);			
171. <i>Sorenson v. Kennedy</i> Harold Sorenson	Alabama State Court Jefferson-Birmingham County <a href="#">01-CV-2011-0023.00</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sorenson v. Kennedy</i> , No. 01-CV-2011-0023.00 (Ala. Montgomery Cty. Cir. Ct. Jan. 19, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot);			
172. <i>Spuck v. Secretary of State</i>	Ohio State Court Erie County C.P. 2008CV1116	<b>DISMISSED</b> ( <a href="#">SOS Report, p. 15</a> )	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Spuck v. Secretary of State</i> , No. 2008CV1116 (Ohio Erie County Ct. Com. Pl. ____, 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
173. <i>Stamper v. US</i> Gordon Allen Stamper	N.D. Ohio (Federal) 1:08-cv-02593-JG <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <i>"Appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith"</i>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Stamper v. United States</i> , No. 1:08 CV 2593, 2008 WL 4838073 (N.D. Ohio Nov. 4, 2008) (dismissing case challenging McCain's and Obama's eligibility, finding that appeal could not be taken in good faith);			
174. <i>Strunk v. Patterson</i> Christopher Earl Strunk	New York King County Supreme (Trial) Court <a href="#">029641/2008</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Strunk v. Patterson</i> , No. 029641/2008 (N.Y. King County Supr. Ct. Nov. 3, 2008) (dismissing case seeking to stay 2008 election on various grounds);			

<sup>33</sup> Note: Requires paid subscription to access court documents: [Complaint](#); [Motion to Dismiss](#); [Motion to Dismiss Granted](#).

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
175. <b>Strunk v. Patterson</b>  Christopher Earl Strunk	New York King County Supreme (Trial) Court 029642/2008	<b>MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER DENIED</b> <sup>34</sup>	Consolidated app. for appeal (w/ 2011 case below) N.Y. App. Ct. (2nd Dept) Case No. 2011-11561 <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Strunk v. Patterson</i> , No. 029642/2008 (N.Y. King County Supr. Ct. Nov. 24, 2009) (denying motion for subpoenas to multiple government agencies for documents allegedly relating to Obama's eligibility and denying motion for protective order), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , <i>Strunk v. Paterson</i> , 18 N.Y.3d 945, 967 N.E.2d 693 (2012) ("Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court of Appeals, sua sponte, upon the ground that it does not lie (see CPLR 5601)").			
176. <b>Strunk v. NY State Board of Elections</b>  Christopher Earl Strunk	E.D.N.Y. (Federal Court) 1:08cv04289 Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b>	2d Circuit: 08-5422 <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Strunk v. N.Y. State Bd. of Elections</i> , No. 1:08-cv-04289-ARR-LB (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 30, 2008) (dismissing case challenging, among other things, Obama's eligibility, as irrational and frivolous and finding that appeal could not be taken in good faith), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 08-5422 (2d Cir. Nov. 14, 2008);			

<sup>34</sup> Note: On November 24, 2009, the Trial Court [declined to enter a protective order](#) requested by Strunk in this case. In 2010 and 2011, the docket reflects multiple orders denying Strunk motions. Defendants have never appeared in the case. Thus, while the docket does not indicate that the "case" is closed, all motions have been ruled upon and Strunk's request for relief has been denied.

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
177. <b><i>Strunk v U.S. Dept. of State (FOIA)</i></b> Christopher Earl Strunk <sup>35</sup>	D.D.C. (Federal Court) 1:08cv02234 Justia - RECAP	<b>PARTIALLY DISMISSED</b> as to all Obama records <b>PARTIALLY DISMISSED</b> as to all requests to State Dept. (related to Dunham records only) <b>PARTIALLY DISMISSED</b> as to requests to Customs & Border Patrol (related to Dunham records only) <b>DISMISSED</b> as to all remaining claims	<i>Pre-Trial Disposition</i> DC Circuit: 08-5503 <b>Writ DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b> DC Circuit: 09-5322 <b>Writ of Mandamus DENIED</b> D.C. Circuit: 10-5092 <b>APPEAL DISMISSED</b> <b>Motion to Reconsider DENIED</b> D.C. Circuit: 12-5414 <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<p><i>Strunk v. U.S. Dept. of State</i>, 693 F. Supp. 2d 112 (D.D.C. Cir. 2010) (FOIA case seeking records from various agencies relating to Obama and his mother) (granting motion to dismiss to extent Strunk sought information relating to Obama; denying Strunk’s request for mandamus relief and for quo warranto action; granting stay of discovery) <i>mandamus denied</i>, No. 08-5503 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 8, 2009), <i>mandamus denied</i>, No. 09-5322 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 25, 2009), <i>appeal dismissed</i>, No. 10-5092, (D.C. Cir. Aug. 26, 2010); <i>see also Strunk v. U.S. Dept. of State</i>, 770 F.Supp.2d 10 (D.D.C. 2011) (granting summary judgment as to Department of State; finding that agency had “demonstrated full compliance with the FOIA”; determining that CBP had not yet demonstrated that its search for responsive records was adequate); 845 F. Supp. 2d 38, 47 (D.D.C. 2012) (finding that CBP demonstrated its search for responsive records was adequate and reasonable and that it properly withheld information under FOIA Exemption 6; however, CBP had not demonstrated that it properly withheld information under Exemption 7(E)); 2012 WL 577763 (D.D.C. Feb. 15, 2012) (ordering CPB to file renewed motion for summary judgment with respect to the information withheld under Exemption 7(E)); --- F. Supp. ----, 2012 WL 5875653 (D.D.C. Nov. 21, 2012) (finding that CBP properly has withheld information from the one-page document containing travel information about Stanley Ann Dunham and granting summary judgment on that issue; further finding that since all agencies had demonstrated compliance with the FOIA and entitlement to judgment as a matter of law, final judgment in favor of defendants was proper);</p>			

<sup>35</sup> Note: Strunk has filed multiple additional “nonbirther” cases against Obama and/or the Federal Government, including, but not limited to the following: 1:2008cv01196; 1:2009cv01249; and 1:2009cv01295.

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
178. <i>Strunk v. Obama</i> Christopher Earl Strunk	D.D.C. Federal Court No. 1:10-cv-00486-RCL	<b>DISMISSED</b>	D.C. Cir. No. 12-5289 <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Strunk v. Obama</i> , No. 1:10-cv-00486-RCL (Jan. 1, 2011) (dismissing purported quo warranto and qui tam action which alleged that Obama was not eligible to be President), 2012 WL 3113947 (D.D.C. Aug. 1, 2012) (denying as frivolous motion for leave to amend to reassert claims and supplement claims); No. 12-5289, <a href="#">Apr. 2, 2013 Order</a> (D.C. Cir.) (order denying motion or leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis be denied on grounds that "[t]he district court correctly determined that the appeal is not taken in good faith."); May 23, 2013 Order (D.C. Cir.) (order dismissing appeal for lack of prosecution).			
179. <i>Strunk v. NY State Board of Elections</i> Christopher Earl Strunk	New York Kings County Supreme (Trial) Court 006500/2011	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Rejecting Birther "2-Parent"</b> <b>NBC Argument</b> <b>OSC re Sanctions Issued</b> <b>SANCTIONS IMPOSED</b> <b>(\$177,707.88)</b>	N.Y. App. Ct. (2nd Dept) No. 2011-11561 Appeal as of Right <b>DISMISSED</b> Consolidated app. for appeal (w/ 2008 case above) N.Y. App. Ct. (2nd Dept) Case No. 2011-11561 <b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Appeal Pending</b> <b>2nd Division</b> No. 2012-05515 <sup>36</sup>		Yes
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Strunk v. NY Bd. of Elections et al</i> , 35 Misc. 3d 1208(A), 2012 WL 1205117, 2012 N.Y. Slip Op. 50614 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Apr. 11, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging, among other things, President Obama's eligibility to his office; expressly rejecting the birther claim that Obama is ineligible on the basis of his father's citizenship as frivolous, and issuing a show cause order as to why sanctions should not be imposed upon plaintiff); <i>See also Strunk v. New York State Bd. of Elections</i> , No. 6500/11, 2013 NY Slip Op 50445(U), 2013 WL 1285886 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Mar. 29, 2013) (imposing \$177,707.88 in sanctions (\$167.7K in defendant attorneys' fees, plus \$10K in sanctions to be paid to state fund).			

<sup>36</sup> Per Oct. 26, 2012 order on Motion No. M145473, Strunk has until February 13, 2012 to perfect his appeal/file briefs.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
180. <i>Strunk v. Obama</i> Christopher-Earl: Strunk	New York Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>Objection OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Objection of Christopher-Earl: Strunk</i> , No Number Assigned, (N.Y Board of Elections Determination Feb. 28, 2012) (rejecting petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and finding that petition designating Obama as candidate is valid);			
181. <i>Strunk v. NY State Board of Elections</i> Christopher Earl Strunk	New York Kings County Supreme (Trial) Court 021948/2012	<b>DISMISSED</b> as to NY Electors (Apr. 3, 3013) <b>Pending</b> as to other defendants <?>			
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Strunk v. NY Bd. of Elections et al</i> , No. 021948/2012 (filed Nov. 14, 2012), Order declining to sign application to stay post-election proceedings (Nov. 13, 2012); Order dismissing case as to New York electors (Apr. 3, 2013);			
182. <i>In re Christopher Strunk</i> Christopher Earl Strunk	D.C. Cir. (Appeals) No. 13-5005	D.C. Cir. No. 13-5005 <b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>n/a</i> <i>filed in Appellate Court</i>		
	<i>Citation</i>	In re: Christopher Strunk, No. 13-5005 (D.C. Cir. docketed Jan. 7, 2013) (original proceeding/petition for writ of mandamus relating to, among other things, birther challenge)			
183. <i>Stumpo v. Gov. of Michigan</i> Paul Joseph Stumpo, John Carlos Cruz	Michigan State Court 30 <sup>th</sup> Dist. Court (Ingham County) No. 08-140-MM	<b>DISMISSED</b>	Michigan App. Ct. No. 291681 <b>Appeal DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Stumpo v. Gov. of Michigan</i> , No. 08-140-MM (Mich. Dist. Ct. (30th) Mar. 31, 2009) (granting summary disposition in case filed challenging Obama's eligibility), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 291681 (Mich. Ct. App. June 3, 2009), <i>recons. denied</i> (Oct. 1, 2009);			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
184. <i>Sullivan v. Secretary of State</i> Lt. Col. Donald Sullivan	North Carolina Superior Court 08-cv-1076 <a href="#">Citizen Wells</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> (per <a href="#">Citizen Wells</a> )	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sullivan v. N.C. Sec'y of State</i> , No. 08CV-1076 (N.C. Super. Ct. Oct. 29, 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
185. <i>Sullivan v. Marshall</i> <sup>37</sup> Lt. Col. Donald Sullivan	North Carolina Superior Court 08-cvs-021393 <a href="#">RSOL</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> (per <a href="#">RSOL &amp; NBC</a> )	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sullivan v. Marshall</i> , No. 08CVS-021393 (N.C. Super. Ct. Mar. 16, 2009) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility);			
186. <i>Sunahara v. Haw. Dept. of Health</i> Duncan Sunahara <sup>38</sup>	Hawaii State District Court 1st Circuit No. 1cc12-1-000006	<b>DISMISSED</b>	<b>Pending</b> <a href="#">Haw. Ct. App.</a> No. CAAP-12-0000501		<b>No</b> <i>Not a defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Sunahara v. Haw. Dept. of Health</i> , No. 1cc12-1-000006 (Haw. 1st Dist. Ct., Mar. 8, 2012) (complaint seeking access to birth/death records of Sunahara based on alleged connection to Obama's eligibility);			
187. <i>Super American Grand Jury</i> Carl Swensson	D.D.C. (Federal Court) 1:09-mc-00346-RCL <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Motion for Reconsideration Order</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Super Am. Grand Jury</i> , No. 1:09-mc-00346-RCL (D.D.C. July 2, 2009) (denying leave to file grand jury presentment challenging Obama's eligibility);			

<sup>37</sup> Note: There appears to have been one other case filed by Sullivan, *Sullivan v. Secretary of State for North Carolina (class action)*, No. #08CV1153.

<sup>38</sup> This is classified as a "birther" case because plaintiffs believe that the birth certificate of Virginia Sunahara – who died shortly after birth – was used for Obama's birth certificate.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
188. <i>Swensson v. Obama</i> Carl Swensson	Georgia Office of State Administrative Hearings OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1216218-60-MALIHI	<b>Objection DENIED - Obama Eligible (ALJ)</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>Secretary of State Final Decision</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC (Adopting ALJ Decision)</b>	See below	Yes (in papers; not at hearing)
	<i>Citation</i>	Swensson v. Obama, No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1216218-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama's eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a "natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec'y State Feb. 7, 2012);			
Swensson v. Obama Carl Swensson	Georgia Fulton County Superior Ct 2012CV211527	Appeal of SOS Decision <b>DISMISSED</b>	Ga. Supreme Court. No. S12D1076 <b>DENIED</b> <b>Emergency Motion for Injunction DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Dismissed before Attorney entered appearance</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Swensson v. Obama, No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1216218-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama's eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a "natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec'y State Feb. 7, 2012)., <i>appeal dismissed</i> , Swensson v. Obama, No. 2012CV211527 (Ga. Fulton Cty. Super. Ct., Mar. 2, 2012), <i>motion for injunction denied</i> , No. S12D1076 (Ga. Mar. 13, 2012), <i>appeal denied</i> (Ga. Apr. 4, 2012);			
189. <i>Swihart v. Obama</i> Karl Swihart	Indiana Election Commission No. 2012-176	<b>Challenge DENIED</b>	--- But see <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , below	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	Swihart v. Obama, No. 2012-176 (Ind. Election Comm'n Feb. 24, 2012) (denying objection seeking to keep Obama off 2012 ballot on grounds that he is not a "natural born citizen");			
190. <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> Orly Taitz	D.D.C. (Federal Court) 1:10-cv-00151 Justia - RECAP	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b> <b>Reconsideration (2nd) DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Gov. defended</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Taitz v. Obama, 707 F. Supp. 2d 1 (D.D.C. 2010) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility), <i>recons. denied</i> , No. 1:10-cv-00151 (D.D.C. June 18, 2010), <i>2d mot. for recons. denied</i> , 754 F.Supp.2d 57 (D.D.C. 2010);			



## BIRTHEr SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEr STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
191. <i>Taitz v. Astrue</i> (FOIA) Orly Taitz	D.D.C. (Federal Court) 1:11-cv-00402 Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b>	D.C. Cir. No. 11-5304 <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>	Application for Stay Reportedly filed Sept 2012 but never on SCOTUS docket	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Astrue</i> , 806 F. Supp. 2d 214 (D.D.C. 2011) (dismissing FOIA complaint seeking documents allegedly related to Obama's eligibility), <i>recons. denied</i> (Oct. 17, 2011), <i>aff'd</i> No. 11- 5304, 2012 WL 1930959 (D.C. Cir. May 25, 2012, <i>rehearing en banc denied</i> Aug. 9, 2012);			
192. <i>Taitz v. Ruemmler</i> (FOIA) Orly Taitz	D.D.C. (Federal Court) 1:11-cv-01421 Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	D.C. Cir. No. 11-5306 <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	D.C. Cir. No. 11-5329 Petition for Writ of Mandamus <b>DISMISSED</b>	<i>Taitz v. Ruemmler</i> , No. 1:11- CV-01421, 2011 WL 4916936 (D.D.C. Oct. 17, 2011) (dismissing FOIA complaint seeking documents allegedly related to Obama's eligibility), <i>mandamus dismissed</i> , No. 11-5329 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 23, 2012), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 11-5306, 2012 WL 1922284 (D.C. Cir. May 25, 2012);		
193. <i>Taitz v. Astrue</i> (Companion to DC FOIA) Orly Taitz	D. Haw. (Federal Court) 1:11-cv-00519-SOM -RLP <sup>39</sup> Justia - <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b> (Motion Denied)	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Astrue</i> , No. 1:11-cv-00519-SOM -RLP (D. Haw. Oct. 26, 2011) (rejecting ex parte application to compel discovery regarding Obama's eligibility in related case pending in the District of Columbia);			

<sup>39</sup> Case originally filed as 1:11-mc-00158.

## BIRTHEr SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEr STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff’s full name					
194. <i>Taitz v. Fuddy (FOIA)</i> Orly Taitz	Hawaii State District Court 1st Circuit 1CC11-1-001731	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Rehearing DENIED</b> Motion [for] Reciprocal Subpoena Enforcement <b>DENIED</b> Ex Parte Amended Motion for Reconsideration <b>DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Fuddy</i> , No. 1CC11-1-001731 (Haw. 1st Cir. Ct. Nov. 10, 2011) (dismissing appeal of agency refusal to grant access to documents allegedly related to Obama’s eligibility), <i>recons. denied</i> (Jan. 6, 2012), <i>recons. denied</i> (Feb. 7, 2012);			
195. <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> Orly Taitz	New Hampshire Ballot Law Commission Case No. BLC 2011-4	<b>PETITION DISMISSED</b> <b>Reconsideration DENIED</b>	--- <i>But see Taitz v. Gardner, below</i>	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , No. BLC 2011-4 (N.H. Ballot Law Comm’n Nov. 18, 2011) (dismissing petition challenging Obama’s eligibility to be on 2012 ballot), <i>recons. denied</i> (Nov. 28, 2011); <i>appeal denied, Taitz v. Gardner</i> , No. 2011-0880 (N.H. Dec. 27, 2011);			
196. <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> Orly Taitz	Hawaii Office of Elections	<b>PETITION REJECTED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Petition Rejected</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , No. Number Assigned (Haw. Office of Elections Dec. 2, 2011) (rejecting petition seeking to challenge Obama’s eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and demand for emergency hearing re: same);			
197. <i>Taitz v. Gardner (NH Ballot Commission)</i> <sup>40</sup> Orly Taitz	New Hampshire Supreme Court Case No. 2011-0880	<b>Application DENIED</b>	N/A: <i>Original filing in Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Gardner</i> , No. 2011-0880 (N.H. Dec. 27, 2011) (denying petition for original jurisdiction and/or appeal challenging order entered by N.H. Ballot Law Comm’n in <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , No. BLC 2011-4 (N.H. Ballot Law Comm’n Nov. 18, 2011)).			

<sup>40</sup> This is a court challenge of the N.H. Ballot Decision referenced [below](#).

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
198. <i>Taitz v. Nishimura</i> Orly Taitz	Hawaii Supreme Court SPCW-12-000014	<b>DENIED</b>	N/A: <i>Original filing in Supreme Court</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Nishimura</i> , No. SPCW-12-000014, 2012 WL 120367 (Haw. Jan. 12, 2012) (denying petition for writ of mandamus to force circuit court judge to issue order forcing Dept. of Health to grant access to documents allegedly related to Obama's eligibility);			
199. <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> Orly Taitz	Indiana Election Commission No Number Assigned	<b>Challenge DENIED</b>	--- <i>But see Taitz v. Indiana Election Commission, below</i>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , No Number Assigned (Ind. Election Div. Feb. 16, 2012) (notice to Taitz that her election challenge could not be accepted due to failure to follow appropriate procedures);			
200. <i>Taitz v. Democrat (sic) Party of Mississippi, Secretary of State, et al</i> Orly Taitz	S.D. Miss (Federal Court) <sup>41</sup> 3:12-cv-00280-HTW-LRA Justia   <a href="#">RECAP</a>	<b>Pending</b> <i>Removed to Fed Court 4/25/12</i>	<i>Writ of Mandamus DENIED</i> 5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. No. <a href="#">13-60024</a>		<b>No</b> <i>Obama named as Defendant but not (yet) served</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Democrat Party of Miss. et al</i> , No. 3:12-cv-00280-HTW-LRA (S.D. Miss., pending case bringing RICO charges and challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot, removed to federal court Apr. 24, 2012); <i>See also In re Orly Taitz</i> , No. 13-60024 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. docketed Jan. 10, 2013) (petition for writ of mandamus to compel lower court to "expedite the "default judgment and postjudgment discovery against defendant Commissioner of Social Security Michael Astrue");			

<sup>41</sup> Originally filed Mississippi Circuit Court (1st Judicial District), [No. 251-12-107CIV](#).

## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
201. <i>Taitz et al v. Indiana Elections Comm'n et al</i> Orly Taitz Karl Swihart, Edward Kesler, Frank Weyl, Bob Kern	Indiana Marion County Superior Court #49D141203MI012046	Motion for Injunction <b>DENIED</b> Case <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz et al v. Ind. Elec. Commn. et al</i> , No. 49D0103MI012046 (Ind. Marion County Super. Ct. June 12, 2012) (dismissing election contest bought by multiple plaintiffs challenging election board's rejection of challenges seeking to exclude Obama from 2012 ballot and seeking to prohibit Indiana Secretary of State from including Obama on ballot; permitting Taitz to amend complaint to allege other causes of action); Order dismissing amended complaint in its entirety (Nov. 5, 2012) (dismissing amended complaint stating claims for declaratory and injunctive relief regarding Obama's eligibility to be on the ballot);			
202. <i>Taitz v. Sebelius et al</i> Orly Taitz	C.D. Cal. No. 8:12-cv-01092-DMG-JC <b>RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Sebelius</i> , No. 12-cv-01092-DMG-JC (C.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2012) (dismissing, on lack of venue grounds, complaint seeking, among other things, to invalidate the Affordable Health Care Act's validity, on grounds that Obama was not eligible to serve as President when he signed it);			
203. <i>Taitz v. Sebelius et al</i> Orly Taitz	N.D. Tex. No. 3:12-cv-03251-P <b>RECAP</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Sebelius</i> , No. 3:12-cv-03251-P (N.D. Tex. Nov. 20, 2012) (complaint seeking, among other things, to invalidate the Affordable Health Care Act's validity, on grounds that Obama was not eligible to serve as President when he signed it), Judgment (Nov. 26, 2012) (dismissing case with prejudice and assessing costs against Plaintiff);			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
204. <i>Taitz v. Obama</i> Orly Taitz	Cal. Superior Court <b>Orange County</b> No. 30-2012 00582135	<b>App for Emergency Stay</b> <b>DENIED</b> <sup>42</sup> <b>Case DISMISSED</b> <b>Sanctions Imposed</b>	<b>Dismissal &amp; Sanctions'</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b> No. G047746		<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Obama</i> , No. 30-2012 00582135 (Cal. Super. Ct. July 13, 2012) (denying "emergency" motion to stay certification of primary election results on grounds that Obama not eligible to serve as President (among others)); Order (Nov. 7, 2012) (dismissing case with prejudice);			
205. <i>Taitz et al v. Kobach et al</i>	Kansas District Court <b>Shawnee County</b> No. 12C 001027	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz et al v. Kobach</i> , No. 12CI027 (Kan. Dist. Ct., Nov. 5, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking, among other things, to prevent placement of Obama on the 2012 general election ballot);			
206. <i>Taitz v. Colvin</i>	Maryland District Court No. 1:13-cv-01878-ELH <b>PACER   RECAP</b>	Dismissed without Prejudice / with Leave to Amend <b>Pending</b>			
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Colvin</i> , No. 1:13-cv-01878 (D. Md., Dec. 13, 2013 Order (dismissing complaint seeking social security records allegedly related to President Obama's eligibility without prejudice and with leave to amend); <i>amended compl filed</i> Jan. 7, 2014 (ECF 20).			
<i>In re Orley Taitz Litigation</i> Orly Taitz	J.P.M.L. No. 2417	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Orley [sic] Taitz Litigation</i> , No. 2417 (JPML Oct. 31, 2012) (order striking motion to transfer and closing case).			
207. <i>Taitz v. Donahue</i> Orly Taitz	Federal – D.D.C. No. 1:13-cv-01020	<b>Pending</b>			<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Donahue</i> , No. 1:13-cv-01020 (D.D.C., filed July 5, 2013) (FOIA case alleging Postmaster General refused to provide requested information regarding the date stamp used on Obama's selective service application)			

<sup>42</sup> Taitz attempted to remove this case to federal court, apparently abandoning the state claims. See *Judd v. Obama et al, supra*. However, the federal court dismissed that lawsuit.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
208. <i>Taitz v. Hawaiian Memorial Park Mortuary</i>	Federal – D. Haw. No. 1:13-cv-00708	<b>Pending</b> Emergency Stay <b>DENIED</b>			<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Taitz v. Hawaiian Memorial Park Mortuary</i> , No. 1:13-cv-00708 (D. Haw., filed Dec. 20, 2013) (emergency petition seeking to stay cremation of body of former Hawaiian Health Department Director Loretta Fuddy on various grounds related to Taitz's various birther claims).			
209. <i>Terry v. Handel</i> Bryan Thomas Terry, Sr	Georgia Fulton County Superior Ct # 2008CV158774	<b>DISMISSED</b> (Docket)	GA Supreme Court No. <a href="#">S09D0284</a> <b>DISMISSED;</b> <b>Reconsideration</b> <b>DENIED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Terry v. Handel</i> , No. 2008cv158774 (Ga., Super. Ct. (Fulton County), Oct. 24, 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama's eligibility), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. S09D0284 (Ga. Dec. 3, 2008), <i>recons. denied</i> , (Ga. Jan. 12, 2009), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. S09A1373 (Ga. May 18, 2009);			
210. <i>Thomas v. Hosemann</i> <sup>43</sup> Daniel Scott Thomas	D. Haw. (Federal Court) 1:08mc00280 <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Voluntarily dismissed</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Thomas v. Hosemann</i> , No. 1:08-mc-00280 (D. Haw. Dec. 18, 2008) (dismissing action seeking to compel Hawaii Dept. of Health to provide access to documents allegedly related to Obama's eligibility);			
211. <i>Thomas v. Hosemann</i> Daniel Scott Thomas	D. Miss. (Federal Court) 2:08-cv-00241-KS-MTP <a href="#">Justia - RECAP</a>	Voluntarily <b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Thomas v. Hosemann</i> , No. 2:08-cv-00241-KS-MTP (S.D. Miss. Dec. 18, 2008) (voluntarily dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility; case dismissed with prejudice);			

<sup>43</sup> *Note*: This case was actually an ancillary case to the Mississippi case noted below. After filing the Mississippi case, Thomas filed a *subpoena duces tecum* seeking to compel discovery in Hawaii. When the Mississippi case was dismissed, the ancillary Hawaii case was likewise dismissed.

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
212. <b>Thompson v. Kennedy</b> Tommy Thompson	Alabama St. Clair-Pell County Cir. Ct. <a href="#">75-CV-2012-000003.00</a>	<b>DISMISSED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Thompson v. Kennedy, No. 75-CV-2012-000003.00 (Ala. St. Clair-Pell Cty. Cir. Ct. Jan. 13, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot);			
213. <b>Thompson v. Obama</b> Julianne Thompson	New York Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>Objection OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	In re Objection of Julianne Thompson, No Number Assigned, (N.Y. Board of Elections Determination Feb. 28, 2011) (rejecting petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and finding that petition designating Obama as candidate is valid);			
214. <b>Tisdale v. Obama</b> Charles Tisdale	E.D. Va. (Federal Court) 3:12-cv-00036-JAG	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>AFFIRMED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC<sup>44</sup></b> No. 12-1124	---	<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	Tisdale v. Obama, No. 3:12-cv-00036-JAG (E.D. Va. Jan. 23, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility; finding that Obama is "natural born citizen" because he was born in Hawaii), <i>aff'd</i> , No. 12-1124 (4th Cir. Jun 5, 2012) (per curiam).			
215. <b>Van Allen v. Obama</b> H. William Van Allen	New York Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>Objection OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	In re Objection of H. William Van Allen, No Number Assigned, (N.Y. Board of Elections Determination Feb. 28, 2011) (rejecting petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and finding that petition designating Obama as candidate is valid);			

<sup>44</sup> The Fourth Circuit affirmed the lower court's decision "for the reasons stated by the district court").

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
Case Caption					
Plaintiff's full name					
216. <b>Van Allen v. N.Y. State Bd. of Elections</b> H. William Van Allen	New York State Supreme Court, Albany County No. 1787-12	<b>DISMISSED</b> 36 Misc. 3d 1212(A)	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Van Allen v. N.Y. State Bd. of Elections</i> , 36 Misc. 3d 1212(A) (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Jul. 9, 2012) (dismissing petition for writ of mandamus, emergency injunctive relief and declaratory relief regarding definition of "natural born citizen);			
217. <b>Vestal v. Obama</b>	North Carolina Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>Petition Rejected</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Petition rejected sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Vestal v. Obama</i> , No Number Assigned (N.C. Bd. of Elections Dec. 13, 2011) (rejecting attempt to file election challenge petition and obtain emergency hearing to challenge Obama's eligibility to be on the 2012 ballot).			
218. <b>Voeltz v. Obama et al</b> Michael C. Voeltz	Florida Leon County Circuit Court 37 2012 CA 000467	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>DISMISSED</b> Fla. 1st DCA No. 1D12-3489 Petition for Writ Fla. No. SC13-560 <b>DENIED</b>		<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Voeltz v. Obama ("Voeltz I")</i> , No. 37 2012 CA 000467, 2012 WL 2524874 (Fla. Cir. Ct. June 29, 2012) (dismissing complaint challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot; finding that persons born in US are "natural born citizens" per <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> and <i>Ankeny</i> , regardless of parentage and rejecting birther argument to the contrary), <i>app. dismissed as moot, Voeltz v. Obama</i> , 1D12-3489, 2013 WL 469979 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. Feb. 8, 2013) (per curiam), petition for writ denied, SC13-560 (Sept. 27, 2013)..			



## BIRTHER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue Agency: Green <i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff's full name	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
219. <i>Voeltz v. Obama et al</i> Michael C. Voeltz	Florida Leon County Circuit Court 37 2012 CA 002063	<b>DISMISSED</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	---	---	Yes
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Voeltz v. Obama ("Voeltz II")</i> , No. 37 2012 CA 002063, 2012 WL 4117478 (Fla. Cir. Ct. Sept. 6, 2012) (dismissing complaint seeking declaration that Obama is not eligible for presidency because he was not born in US and was not born to two US citizen parents; finding that persons born in US are "natural born citizens" per <i>Wong Kim Ark</i> and <i>Ankeny</i> , regardless of parentage and rejecting birther argument to the contrary; reserving for later ruling motion for sanctions);			
220. <i>Voeltz v. Obama et al</i> Michael C. Voeltz	Florida Leon County Circuit Court 37 2012 CA 003857	<b>DISMISSED</b> Rehearing <b>DENIED</b>	<b>Pending</b> Fla. 1st DCA No. 1D13-83		Yes
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Voeltz v. Obama ("Voeltz III")</i> , 37 2012 CA 003857, 2012 WL 6967837 (Fla. Cir. Ct., Dec. 21, 2012) (dismissing third birther complaint filed by Voeltz: "President Obama lives in the White House. He flies on Air Force One. He has appeared before Congress, delivered State of the Union addresses, and meets with Congressional leaders on a regular basis. He has appointed countless ambassadors to represent the interests of the United States throughout the world. .... The Electoral College has recently done its work and elected Mr. Obama to be President once again. As this matter has come before the Court at this time of the year it seems only appropriate to paraphrase the ruling rendered by the fictional Judge Henry X. Harper from New York in open court in the classic holiday film <i>Miracle on 34th St.</i> "Since the United States Government declares this man to be President, this Court will not dispute it. Case dismissed."); see also Order Denying Motion for Rehearing (Jan. 4, 2013).			
221. <i>Vogt v.Obama / In Re: Douglas Vogt</i> Douglas Vogt	Federal – W.D. Wash No. 2:13-cv-01880-JLR	<b>DISMISSED</b>			<b>No</b> <i>Court dismissed case sua sponte</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Vogt v. Obama</i> , No. 2:13-cv-01880-JLR (W.D. Wash Nov. 13, 2013) (dismissing complaint alleging Obama's publically released birth certificate was forged and requesting referral of case to grand jury).			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	"Obama Defense"
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i>					
Plaintiff's full name					
222. <b>Volodarsky v. Obama</b> Leonard Volodarsky	New York Board of Elections No Number Assigned	<b>Objection OVERRULED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>In re Objection of Leonard Volodarsky</i> , No Number Assigned, (N.Y Board of Elections Determination Feb. 28, 2011) (rejecting petition challenging Obama's eligibility to be on 2012 ballot and finding that petition designating Obama as candidate is valid);			
223. <b>Welden v. Obama</b> David P. Welden	Georgia Office of State Administrative Hearings OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1215137-60-MALIHI	<b>Objection DENIED - Obama Eligible (ALJ)</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC</b>	<b>Secretary of State Final Decision</b> <b>Finding Obama is NBC (Adopting ALJ Decision)</b>	---	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Welden v. Obama</i> , No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1215137-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama's eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a "natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec'y State Feb. 7, 2012).			
<b>Welden v. Obama</b> David P. Welden	Georgia State Court Fulton County Superior Ct 2012CV211527	Appeal of SOS Decision <b>DISMISSED</b>	Ga. Supreme Court No. S12D1059 <b>DENIED</b> <b>Emergency Motion for Injunction DENIED</b>	Petition for Cert <b>DENIED</b> No. 12-5	<i>Yes in Trial Court</i> <i>No in GA Supreme Court</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Welden v. Obama</i> , No. OSAH-SECSTATE-CE-1215137-60-MALIHI (Ga. Office of St. Admin. Hrg. Feb. 3, 2012) (rejecting challenge to Obama's eligibility to appear on 2012 ballot; finding that Obama was born in U.S. and is a "natural born citizen), <i>decision adopted as final</i> (Ga. Sec'y State Feb. 7, 2012), <i>appeal dismissed</i> , No. 2012CV211527 (Ga. Fulton Cty. Super. Ct., Mar. 2, 2012), <i>motion for injunction denied</i> , No. S12D1059 (Ga. Mar. 13, 2012), <i>appeal denied</i> (Ga. Apr. 4, 2012) , <i>cert denied</i> , No. 12-5 (U.S. Sept. 24, 2012);			
224. <b>Weyl v. Obama</b> Franklin D. Weyl	Indiana Election Commission No. 2012-161	<b>Challenge DENIED</b>	---	---	<b>No</b>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Weyl v. Obama</i> , No. 2012-161 (Ind. Election Comm'n Feb. 24, 2012) (denying objection seeking to keep Obama off 2012 ballot on grounds that he is not a "natural born citizen") (written decision unavailable but video of hearing is available at <a href="http://www.in.gov/sos/022412_Video_3.html">http://www.in.gov/sos/022412_Video_3.html</a> (last visited April 11, 2012));			

## BIRTHEER SCORECARD

[CLICK HERE FOR BIRTHEER STRING CITE.](#)

Court: Blue	Original Tribunal Case No. Docket	Original Decision	Appeal(s)	US Supreme Court	“Obama Defense”
Agency: Green		Total Actions: <b>226</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 220</b> <b>Pending: 6</b>	Total Appellate Court Rulings: <b>&gt;90+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: &gt;90+</b>	Total Supreme Court Rulings: <b>25+</b> <b>Birthers win: 0</b> <b>Birthers lose: 25+</b>	
<i>Case Caption</i> Plaintiff’s full name					
225. <b><i>Wolf v. Fuddy</i></b> William Wolf	Hawaii State Court <b>1st Circuit</b> 1CC11-1-002276	<b>DISMISSED</b>	Haw. Ct. App. CAAP-12-0000499 <b>DISMISSAL</b> <b>AFFIRMED</b>	---	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Wolf v. Fuddy</i> , No. 1CC11-1-002276 (Haw. 1st Cir. Ct. Sept. 30, 2011) (dismissing case seeking to compel disclosure of documents allegedly related to Obama’s eligibility);			
226. <b><i>Wrotnowski v. Bysiewicz</i></b> Cort Wrotnowski	Connecticut Supreme Court SC 18264	<i>Action filed in Supreme Court</i> <b>DISMISSED</b>	N/A: <i>Original filing in Supreme Court</i>	<b>Application</b> <b>DENIED</b> (08A469)	<b>No</b> <i>Obama not a Defendant</i>
	<i>Citation</i>	<i>Wrotnowski v. Bysiewicz</i> , 958 A.2d 709 (Conn. 2008) (dismissing case challenging Obama’s eligibility), <i>application for stay denied</i> , 129 S.Ct. 775 (2008);			

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

### Additional Judd Cases

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
1. <i>Judd v. Secy of State of Alabama</i> , No 2:11-cv-00437 (M.D. Ala. <a href="#">Aug 10, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds );	<b>Alabama</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“It is ORDERED that the motion for relief from judgment, etc. (doc. no. 19) is denied.”
<i>Judd v. Sec’y of State of Alabama</i> , No. 2:11-cv-00333 (M.D. Ala.)	<a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
2. <i>Judd v. State of Alabama</i> , No. 1:11-cv-00282-KD-M (S.D. Ala. <a href="#">Sept. 13, 2012 order</a> )	<a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	[Noting that Judd had previously been declared vexatious litigant] ...”In this circumstance, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed without prejudice on procedural grounds. His complaint would not have been dismissed if he had shown that he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury. He did not do so at that time and does not do so now. Instead, plaintiff raises arguments which may have been appropriate had his complaint been dismissed on the merits. Thus, he has failed to “demonstrate a justification for relief so compelling that the district court was required to grant [the] motion.” Id. at 806. Accordingly, his motion is DENIED.”
3. <i>Judd v. Sec’y of State of Alabama</i> , No. 2:11-cv-01753-KOB-TMP (N.D. Ala. Nov. 20, 2012) (denying motion);	<a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	The court dismissed this pro se § 1983 action without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) on June 6, 2011. (Doc. The Eleventh Circuit dismissed the plaintiff’s appeal on October 18, 2011. (Doc. 10). The Court DENIES the motion. (Doc. 13).
4. <i>Judd v. Office of the Lieutenant Governor of Alaska, Elections Division et al</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00123-JWS (D. Alaska <a href="#">Aug. 24, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Alaska</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“Plaintiff Judd did not pay the filing fee required to proceed with a civil action in federal court. His application for leave to proceed without prepayment of fees was denied. Judd appealed that decision, but his appeal was dismissed as frivolous. This case is closed. The motions filed by Judd at dockets 14, 15, and 16 are therefore DENIED. The court will not entertain any further motions, letters or other documents from Judd. If Judd sends further papers relating to this case, the Clerk of Court shall not file them, but instead shall mail them back to Judd.”
5. <i>Judd v. Arizona Secy of State</i> , No. 2:2011-cv-01058 (D. Ariz. , motion filed Aug. 2, 2012 order)	<b>Arizona</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Plaintiff Keith Russell Judd, who is confined in the Federal Correctional Institution-Texarkana in Texarkana, Texas, filed a pro se civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis. On July 13, 2011, the Court dismissed this action under the “three strikes” provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal. On October 24, 2011, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a mandate dismissing the appeal and stating “because the appeal is so insubstantial as to not warrant further review, it shall not be permitted to proceed.” On August 2, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment (Doc. 15). The Court has reviewed the pleadings, its orders, and the order of the Ninth Circuit and finds no reason to reconsider dismissal of this action. <b>IT IS ORDERED</b> that Plaintiff’s August 2, 2012 Motion for Relief from Judgment (Doc. 15) is <b>denied</b> . This case must remain closed.

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
6. <i>Judd v. Arkansas Sec'y of State</i> , No. 4:11-cv-00447-BRW (E.D. Ark. <a href="#">Aug. 3, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds);	<b>Arkansas</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	"Pending is Plaintiff's pro se Motion for Relief from Judgment and Motion to Amend for Court Order to Register all Convicted and Incarcerated Felons to Vote in all Federal Elections and for Order to Remove Barack Obama from State's 2012 Presidential Primary (Doc. No. 19). The Motion is DENIED."
<i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Arkansas et al</i> , No. 4:2011-cv-04049 (W.D. Ark.)	Arkansas <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	TEXT ONLY ORDER denying <a href="#">23</a> Motion for Leave to File, as the proposed motion does not allege that movant is in imminent danger of serious physical injury, is procedurally improper, and <b>substantively without merit</b> . Signed by Honorable P. K. Holmes, III on August 31, 2012. (jlg) (Entered: 08/31/2012)
7. <i>Judd v. Cal. Secy of State et al</i> , No. 2:2011-cv-01557 (E.D. Cal., docketed Aug. 2, 2012) (pending)	California <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No action taken on Aug. motion</i>
8. <i>Judd v. Cal. Secy of State et al</i> , No. 3:2011-cv-02805 (N.D. Cal. <a href="#">Aug. 27, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds);	California <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>"...Petitioner now moves for relief from judgment under the Twenty-Fourth Amendment, to amend the court order to register all convicted and incarcerated felons, and for an order to remove Barack Obama from the State's 2012 presidential primary election ballot/caucus and award all delegated to himself, a Democratic presidential candidate.</p> <p>* * *</p> <p>The Court finds nothing in Plaintiff's allegations in his motion to reconsider that merits reconsideration. Plaintiff's arguments regarding a convicted felon's right to vote were previously addressed in the order of dismissal. Plaintiff's request that the Court order that he be awarded presidential delegates is not the subject of this action and do not justify reconsideration of the Court's Order of Dismissal. Similarly, President Obama's citizenship status is not at issue in this case."</p>
9. <i>Judd v. Cal. Secy of State et al</i> , No. 3:2011-cv-01287 (S.D. Cal. <a href="#">Aug. 17, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds);	California <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	"...The Court has reviewed its previous order, the Ninth Circuit's order, Plaintiff's present motion, and the other filings in this case. (Doc. Nos. 3, 6 & 9.) The Court concludes that Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate that relief from judgment is warranted. For example, Plaintiff fails to articulate any mistake made by this Court, Plaintiff fails to provide any newly discovered evidence, Plaintiff fails to identify any fraud by an opposing party, and Plaintiff fails to provide any other reason that justifies relief. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Plaintiff's motion does not address[] the Court's previous basis for dismissing this action. Furthermore, Plaintiff is not entitled to proceed in this matter without payment of the filing fee. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). ..."
10. <i>Judd v. Sec'y of State of Colorado</i> , Civil Case No.1:11-cv-01399-LTB (D. Colo. <a href="#">Aug 7, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on "natural born citizen" grounds);	<b>Colorado</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	"Plaintiff's motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 17) is DENIED because Plaintiff fails to present any argument that addresses the basis for the order dismissing this action."

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
11. <i>Judd v. Conn. Sec’y of St. et al</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00879-MRK (D. Conn. Aug. 6, 2012 order) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Connecticut</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Docket Entry Only: “The Court dismissed Mr. Judd’s suit because he did not pay the required filing fee, but Mr. Judd’s motion does not address this issue, much less provide a valid reason for relief from judgment under Rule 60(b).”
12. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Delaware et al</i> , No. 1:11-cv-00512-GMS (D. Del. Aug 6, 2012 order) (remark rejecting attempt to file motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds, because case closed and appeal terminated)	<b>Delaware</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   [ <a href="#">PACER</a> ]	Docket Entry Only: “Not Accepted: ECF #13: “Document titled "Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order Under Twenty Fourth Amendment" - (docketed, but not set up as a pending Motion since case is closed and appeal has been terminated)”
<i>No case found</i>	<b>D.C.</b>	<i>No case found</i>
13. <i>Judd v. Sec’y of State of Florida</i> , Civil Case No. 4:11-cv-00234-SPM-WCS (N.D. Fla. <a href="#">Aug. 6, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);;	<b>Florida</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“Upon consideration, Plaintiff’s motion for relief from judgment (doc. 22) is denied. Plaintiff is not under imminent danger of serious physical injury and he is not entitled to proceed without payment of the filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Any appeal of this matter is not taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).”
14. <i>Judd v. Sec’y of State of Florida</i> , Civil Case No. 6:11-cv-00867-ACC-KRS (N.D. Fla. Aug. 7, 2012 order) (denying, <b>as frivolous</b> , motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)	Florida <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Docket Entry only: “ORDER denying 17 Motion <b>as frivolous</b> . Signed by Chief Judge Anne C. Conway on 8/7/2012. (Conway, Anne) (Entered: 08/07/2012 order).”
15. <i>Judd v. Ga. Sec’y of State. et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-00089-LGW-JEG (S.D. Ga. <a href="#">Aug. 10, 2012 order</a> ) (dismissing motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)	<b>Georgia</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“By Order dated June 1, 2011, this case was transferred to [N.D. Ga.]. As this case is closed in this court, Plaintiff’s motion(s) are dismissed.”
16. <i>Judd v. Ga. Sec’y of State. et al</i> , No. 1:11-cv-01797-WSD (N.D. Ga. <a href="#">Sept. 26, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)	Georgia <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration is untimely and inappropriate. The Court entered its order on the Magistrate Judge’s Final R&R more than a year before Plaintiff filed his Motion for Reconsideration. Plaintiff does not present any coherent explanation or legal basis for reconsideration based on the existence of newly discovered evidence, an intervening development or change in controlling law, or a need to correct a clear error of law or fact. Justice does not here require granting Plaintiff relief from the Court’s Order, and Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration is denied.”

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
17. <i>Judd v. Ga. Sec’y of State. et al</i> , No. 5:11-cv-00233-CAR-CHW (M.D. Ga. Sept. 25, 2012 order) (denying, as “patently frivolous,” motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	Georgia <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Docket Entry: “This is a text only entry; no document issued. ORDER denying as <b>patently frivolous</b> 18 Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order Under Twenty Fourth Amendment, etc. On June 16, 2011, this Court denied Plaintiff motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> and dismissed his case without prejudice. Should Plaintiff wish to proceed on his claims, he must submit a new complaint, together with the required filing fee. Ordered by Judge C. Ashley Royal on 9/25/2012. (ssh) (Entered: 09/25/2012 order)”
<i>Judd v. Office of Elections of Hawaii</i> , No. 11-cv-00365 (D. Hi.)	<b>Hawaii</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
18. <i>Judd v. Idaho</i> , 1:11-cv-00277-EJL (D. Idaho <b>Oct 1, 2012 order</b> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Idaho</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“Plaintiff, a Texas inmate, has accumulated three strikes, and was ordered to pay the filing fee if he wished to proceed with his suit. (Dkt. 12.) Plaintiff failed to pay the filing fee, and his case was dismissed. (Dkt. 15, 16.) Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment. (Dkt. 19.) While Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60 outlines several bases for relief from judgment, Plaintiff’s Motion fails to set forth any factual basis that would support relief from judgment in this instance. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Motion for Relief from Judgment (Dkt. 19) is DENIED.”
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Illinois</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Indiana</b>	<i>No case found</i>
19. <i>Judd v. Sec’y of State of Iowa</i> , No. 5:11-cv-04050-MWB (N.D. Iowa <b>Aug. 27, 2012 order</b> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Iowa</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“This matter is before the court on the plaintiff’s motion for relief from judgment (docket no. 19). The plaintiff filed such motion on August 1, 2012. The court dismissed this case over a year ago. Moreover, given the fact that the plaintiff never properly commenced a civil action, there is no reason to address the plaintiff’s reasserted claims; the fact that the plaintiff is not entitled to the advantages of proceeding in forma pauperis has not changed. Accordingly, the plaintiff’s motion for relief from judgment (docket no. 19) is denied.
20. <i>Judd v. State of Iowa</i> , Civil Case No. 4:11-cv-00253-JAJ (S.D. Iowa <b>Aug. 3, 2012 order</b> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	Iowa <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“Plaintiff is an inmate of the Federal Correctional Institution in Texarkana, Texas. He brought this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, requesting injunctive relief to have his name added to the 2012 Presidential Primary Election Ballot as a Democratic Candidate for President of the United States of America. The Court determined Plaintiff had three or more civil actions or appeals dismissed as frivolous, and as such, was barred from bringing a civil action without prepayment of fees “unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff did not show he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury, and the case was dismissed. His appeal was dismissed for failure to prosecute. . . .</p> <p>Plaintiff now moves for relief from judgment, requesting the restoration of the right to vote for felons (released or incarcerated) and to remove President Barack Obama from the 2012 Presidential ballot.</p> <p>Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b), the court may relieve a party from a final judgment for mistake, inadvertence, newly discovered evidence, fraud, void judgment, satisfaction of judgment, or any other reason that justifies relief. Plaintiff does not specify the reason for seeking relief from judgment, and neither is the court able to identify any meritorious basis for granting relief from judgment.</p>

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
		The motion [ECF No. 16] is denied.“
21. <i>Judd v. Kansas, State of et al</i> , No. 5:2011-cv-03104 (S.D. Kan. <a href="#">Sept. 18, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Kansas</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“This action was dismissed and all relief was denied by order entered July 15, 2011, on account of plaintiff’s failure to pay the filing fee. Mr. Judd is a three-strikes litigant and made no showing of imminent danger. Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal, and on October 4, 2011, his appeal was dismissed.</p> <p>This matter is currently before the court upon another post-judgment motion filed by plaintiff, which generally re-argues his claims and argues new claims. He has also filed a second notice of appeal purportedly to the Supreme Court with respect to the pending post-judgment motion.</p> <p>The court finds that the post-judgment motion contains no valid ground for relief from judgment or for any of the other orders sought therein. * * *”</p>
22. <i>Judd v. Ky. Sec’y of St. et al</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00346-JGH (W.D. Ky. <a href="#">Aug. 20, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Kentucky</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“...Plaintiff has now filed a motion for relief from judgment under the 24th Amendment (DN 22). He does not address his failure to pay the filing fee or comply with the Orders of this Court. <b>He simply discusses the Democratic primary and posits his theory that President Obama is not a citizen of the United States.</b> As such, Plaintiff offers no reason that he should be entitled to relief from the prior judgment of this Court...”
23. <i>Judd v. La. Sec’y of St. et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-01501-KDE-JCW (E.D. La <a href="#">Aug. 24, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Louisiana</b> <b>*Judd prohibited from filing here.<sup>45</sup></b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	"It is ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment is denied as petitioner has presented no legal grounds for relief."
24. <i>Judd v. La. Secy’ of St. et al</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00870-RGJ-KLH (W.D. La. <a href="#">Aug. 10, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	Louisiana <b>*Judd prohibited from filing here.</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff Keith Russell Judd’s “Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order Under Twenty Fourth Amendment; And Motion to Amend for Court Order to Register All Convicted and Incarcerated Felons to Vote in All Federal Elections and Caucuses and Democratic National Convention; and For Order to Remove Barack Obama from State’s 2012 Presidential Primary Election Ballot/Caucus and Award All Delegates to Keith Judd, Democratic Presidential Candidate” [Doc. No. 21] is DENIED. As of this date, Judd has not provided the Court with evidence that he paid the sanctions ordered by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. Further, his case is now on appeal to that court.”

<sup>45</sup> See *Judd v. Sec’y of State of Louisiana*, No. 11–30920, 461 F. App’x 399, 401 (5th Cir. 2012) (“Judd is ORDERED to pay a sanction in the amount of \$500 to the clerk of this court. Even after satisfaction of all sanction orders, Judd may not file any civil action in a district court of this circuit, or any pleading or notice of appeal with this court, without first obtaining leave of the court in which he seeks to file such action, pleading, or notice. When seeking leave of court, Judd must certify that the claim he wishes to present is a new one that has never before either been raised and disposed of on the merits or remains pending in any federal court. Upon failure thus to certify or upon false certification, Judd may be sanctioned and punished accordingly.”)



## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
<i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Louisiana et al</i> , No. 3:2011-cv-00393 (M.D. La.)	Louisiana <b>*Judd prohibited from filing here.</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
25. <i>Judd v. Sec'y of State of Maine</i> , No. 11-cv-00212 (D. Me. <a href="#">Aug. 3, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Maine</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“On June 30, 2011, I adopted the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge and Ordered this lawsuit dismissed for lack of prosecution. Judgment entered against the plaintiff on July 1, 2011. On July 12, 2011, I denied his motion to reopen the case and his motion to stay. The plaintiff appealed and on January 10, 2012, the Court of Appeals for the First Circuit dismissed his appeal as untimely.</p> <p>Now on August 2, 2012, he has filed a “Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order Under Twenty Fourth Amendment; and Motion to Amend for Court Order to Register All Convicted and Incarcerated Felons to Vote in All Federal Elections and Caucuses and Democratic National Convention; and for Order to Remove Barack Obama from State’s 2012 Presidential Primary Election Ballot/Caucus and Award All Delegates to Keith Judd, Democratic Presidential Candidate.” (ECF No. 23).</p> <p>The motion is DENIED. Final judgment has already been entered in the case and his appeal was dismissed. The Twenty-fourth Amendment provides no basis for opening a case that has already been closed.”</p>
26. <i>Judd v. State Board of Elections of Maryland</i> , No. 11-cv-01447-RDB (D. Maryland Aug. 3, 2012 order) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Maryland</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Docket Text Only: “ORDER Denying <a href="#">15</a> Motion for Relief From Judgment. Signed by Judge Richard D Bennett on 08/03/2012. (Entered: 08/03/2012)”
<i>Judd v. Sec’y of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts</i> , No. 4:11-mc-009407 (D. Mass.) (dismissed as frivolous)	<b>Massachusetts</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Michigan</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Minnesota</b>	<i>No case found</i>
27. <i>Judd v. Missouri et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-04146-ODS (W.D. Mo. <a href="#">Aug 27, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Missouri</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment and other various motions. Defendant has not responded and the time for doing so has passed. <b>Plaintiff’s motions raise arguments that have been repeatedly rejected and offers no reason justifying a different outcome.</b> Plaintiff’s motions are denied.

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
28. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Mississippi et al</i> , No. 3:2011-cv-00351 (S.D. Miss. <a href="#">Aug.23, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Mississippi</b> <b>*Judd prohibited from filing here.</b> <sup>46</sup> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“It is hereby ordered that plaintiff’s motion for relief from judgment is denied as <b>patently frivolous.</b> ”
29. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Mississippi et al</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-00138 (N.D. Miss., Motion filed Aug. 6, 2012)	Mississippi <b>*Judd prohibited from filing here.</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>Docket does not reflect an order addressing motion, but appeal – apparently re: pending motion – has been filed – and subsequently dismissed for want of prosecution.</i>
30. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Montana et al</i> , No. 11-cv-00080 (D. Mont. <a href="#">Aug. 2, 2012 order</a> ) (striking motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Montana</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“On May 27, 2011, this Court issued an Order denying Mr. Judd's Motion to Proceed <i>in Forma Pauperis</i> based upon the three strikes provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). This Order provided that no motions for reconsideration or rehearing would be entertained. Dkt.4. Judgment was entered on May 27, 2011. Dkt. 5.</p> <p>Mr. Judd filed a Notice of Appeal on September 21,2011. Dkt. 9. On October 18, 2011, the Ninth Circuit issued an Order finding that the appeal was so insubstantial as to not warrant further review and no motions for reconsideration would be considered. Dkt. 10.</p> <p>This matter is has been closed for over a year and this Court and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals have indicated that no motions for reconsideration would be considered.”</p>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Nebraska</b>	<i>No case found</i>
31. <i>Judd v. Nev. Sec’y of St. et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-00853-JCM –PAL (D. Nev. <a href="#">Aug. 6, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Nevada</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	“Mr. Judd has failed to establish any of the three factors for reconsideration. Instead, approximately eight months after this court entered its order, Mr. Judd has filed a motion seeking to reinstate the lawsuit on the same grounds, and arguing the same theories <sup>47</sup> , that were previously dismissed as frivolous. The court conducted a de novo review of Mr. Judd’s contentions at the time it affirmed the magistrate’s report and recommendation, has done so again, and <b>continues to find Mr. Judd’s contentions lacking ‘an arguable basis in law and fact.’</b> ”
32. <i>Judd v. N.H. Sec’y of St. et al</i> , No. 1:11-fp-00259 (D.N.H., motion filed Aug. 6, 2012)	<b>New Hampshire</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<a href="#">Motion Denied</a> (Jan. 9, 2013); Recommendation and Order re: dismissal (Dec. 14, 2012)
<i>No case found</i>	<b>New Jersey</b>	<i>No case found</i>

<sup>46</sup> See *Judd v. Sec’y of State of Louisiana*, No. 11–30920, 461 F. App’x 399, 401 (5th Cir. 2012) (“Judd is ORDERED to pay a sanction in the amount of \$500 to the clerk of this court. Even after satisfaction of all sanction orders, Judd may not file any civil action in a district court of this circuit, or any pleading or notice of appeal with this court, without first obtaining leave of the court in which he seeks to file such action, pleading, or notice. When seeking leave of court, Judd must certify that the claim he wishes to present is a new one that has never before either been raised and disposed of on the merits or remains pending in any federal court. Upon failure thus to certify or upon false certification, Judd may be sanctioned and punished accordingly.”)

<sup>47</sup> Note that prior order did not address any claim regarding Obama’s status as “natural born citizen,”

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
<i>Judd v. Lappin et al</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-00400 (D.N.M.)	<b>New Mexico</b> RECAP   PACER	<i>Motion not reflected on docket.</i>
<i>Judd v. Lappin et al</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-00290 (D.N.M.)	New Mexico RECAP   PACER	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
33. <i>Judd v. State Election Board of New York et al</i> , No. 1:11-cv-00571-DNH-RFT (N.D.N.Y., motion filed Aug. 2, 2012) RECAP   PACER	<b>New York</b>	<i>No action taken on Aug. motion Appeal of apparent rejection (No. 12-3602) denied and mandate issued Dec. 14, 2012</i>
<i>Judd v. State Election Board of New York et al</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-04242 (S.D.N.Y.)	New York RECAP   PACER	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
<i>Judd v. State Election Board of New York et al</i> , No. 6:2011-cv-06286 (W.D.N.Y.)	New York RECAP   PACER	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
<i>Judd v. State Bd. Of Elections of N. Carolina</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-00488 (M.D.N.C.)	<b>North Carolina</b> RECAP   PACER	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>
34. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of North Dakota et al</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-00053 (D.N.D. Aug. 13, 2012 <a href="#">recommendation and order</a> ) (recommendation to deny motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds), <a href="#">order adopting Recommendation</a> (Sept. 4, 2012)	<b>North Dakota</b> RECAP   PACER	<p>Recommendation:                      “...On August 6, 2012, Judd filed a document with the court captioned “Motion for Relief from Judgement or Order Under Twenty Fourth Amendment; and Motion to Amend for Court Order to Register All Convicted and Incarcerated Felons to Vote in All Federal Elections and Caucuses and Democratic National Convention; and for Order to Remove Barack Obama from State’s 2012 Presidential Primary Election Ballot/Caucus and Award All Delegates to Keith Judd, Democratic Presidential Candidate.”                      * * *</p> <p>In his motion, Judd does not assert any of above enumerated grounds for granting him relief or otherwise show exceptional circumstances warranting such relief. Rather, he reasserts the claims set forth in his proposed complaint and further asserts a challenge to President Obama’s citizenship.</p> <p>Rule 60(b) is “not a vehicle for simple reargument on the merits.” <i>Broadway v. Norris</i>, 193 F.3d 987, 990 (8th Cir. 1999). Given Judd’s failure to demonstrate the existence of any exceptional circumstances, the undersigned finds no basis for granting Judd the relief he seeks. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that his motion (Docket No. 19) be DENIED.”</p>
35. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Ohio et al</i> , No. 1:11-cv-01200-SL (D. Ohio Aug. 6, 2012 <a href="#">order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Ohio</b> RECAP   PACER	Order summarized in docket: “Order Plaintiff’s Motion for Relief from Judgment (Doc. No. 14 ) is denied. Further, <b>the Clerk is directed to return, unfiled, any additional filings tendered by Plaintiff in this case.</b> Finally, Plaintiff is hereby forewarned that the filing of any new frivolous actions in this Court may, without further notice, result in an order enjoining him from filing cases here without obtaining leave of court.”

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
<p>36. <i>Judd v. Oklahoma State Election Board et al</i>, No. 5:11-cv-00624 (W.D. Okla. <a href="#">Oct. 1, 2012 order</a>) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Oklahoma</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a></p>	<p>The August 3, 2012 Motion [Doc. No. 32] is denied. The judgment [Doc. No. 33] from which Plaintiff purports to seek relief is a judgment dismissing this action without prejudice because Plaintiff failed to respond to the Court’s Order denying his motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> and directing payment of the filing fee required to proceed with this action. In the current motion, Plaintiff fails to address any of the bases for the Order and the subsequent Judgment. Instead, he asserts arguments having nothing to do with the requirements for <i>in forma pauperis</i> status or the findings set out in the July 30, 2012 Order and subsequent Judgment. The August 23, 2012 Motion [Doc. No. 38] is stricken, as it is merely a duplicate of the August 3, 2012 Motion.</p>
<p>37. <i>Judd v. Oklahoma State Election Board et al</i>, No. 4:11-cv-00331-GKF-PJC (N.D. Okla. <a href="#">Aug. 29, 2012 order</a>) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Oklahoma</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a></p>	<p>On August 8, 2012, or more than ten (10) months after dismissal of the appeal, Plaintiff filed a “motion for relief from judgment or order under Twenty Fourth Amendment” (Dkt. # 16). He requests the Court to order, <i>inter alia</i>, that all convicted and incarcerated felons be registered to vote, that President Barack Obama be removed from the 2012 presidential ballot, and that all delegates be award to Plaintiff. Plaintiff never paid the \$350 filing fee for this action. This Court has determined, on numerous occasions, that Plaintiff is subject to the three-strikes rule of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). He has not provided any relevant legal authority to support a claim that the statute is unconstitutional or does not apply to him. For all the reasons discussed in prior Orders (Dkt. #s 3 and 7), the Court finds that Plaintiff’s arguments asserted in his motion for relief from judgment under the Twenty Fourth Amendment are <b>frivolous and without merit</b>. His motion shall be denied.”</p>
<p>38. <i>Judd v. Oklahoma State Election Board et al</i>, No. 11-cv-00183 (E.D. Okla. Aug. 7, 2012 order) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Oklahoma</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a></p>	<p>Docket Entry: “MINUTE ORDER by Judge Ronald A. White denying <a href="#">17</a> Motion for Relief (dma, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 08/07/2012)”</p>

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
39. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Oregon et al</i> , No. 6:11-cv-06176-HO (D. Or. <a href="#">Sept. 7, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds)	<b>Oregon</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“Plaintiff initiated this suit on May 25, 2011, seeking an injunction forcing his placement on Oregon's 2012 presidential primary election ballot and a declaration that convicted felons have a right to vote in the primary. Plaintiff also sought <i>in forma pauperis</i> (IFP) status which the court denied without prejudice due to a failure to provide the correct form. After the court provided plaintiff with the correct form, plaintiff refused to complete the application and the court dismissed the case on July 15, 2011.</p> <p>On September 16, 2011, plaintiff appealed. On October 18, 2011, the Ninth Circuit found the appeal "so insubstantial as to not warrant further review." On October 20, 2011, plaintiff sought leave to appeal IFP. The court denied the motion in light of the previous orders in the case.</p> <p>Plaintiff now seeks relief from the judgment and an order to register all convicted/incarcerated felons to vote in all 2012 elections and to remove Barack Obama from Oregon's presidential ballot. To date, plaintiff has not paid a filing fee or obtained IFP status. However, in anticipation of a denial of the motion, plaintiff has already filed a notice of appeal to the Supreme Court. To the extent this court still has jurisdiction to rule on the motion, the motion is denied.”</p>
40. <i>Judd v. Pa. Dept. of St. et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-00773-JFC-CRE (W.D. Pa. Sept. 10, 2012 Order) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Pennsylvania</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Text only entry in docket: “ORDER denying as moot <a href="#">17</a> Motion to Amend/Correct for the reasons stated in this Court's Order of December 21, 2011. Signed by Magistrate Judge Cynthia Reed Eddy on September 10, 2012. Text-only entry; no PDF document will issue. This text-only entry constitutes the Order of the Court or Notice on the matter. (Eddy, Cynthia) (Entered: 09/10/2012)”
41. <i>Judd v. Pa. Dept. of St. et al</i> , No. 2:11-cv-03849-CMR (E.D. Pa. <a href="#">Aug. 1, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	Pennsylvania <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“AND NOW, this 1st day of August 2012, upon receipt of Plaintiff’s Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order Under the Twenty-Fourth Amendment [Doc. No. 11], and upon review of the Docket in this case, the Court finds it is without jurisdiction to rule on Plaintiff’s Motion as Plaintiff has not filed a Complaint, and thus has not properly raised a case and controversy upon which the Court can grant relief. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Motion is DENIED.”</p> <p>[1] The case was closed on June 21, 2011, as Plaintiff had failed to pay the filing fee or properly request <i>in forma pauperis</i> status. On November 17, 2011, Plaintiff’s Motion to Reopen and Stay proceedings was also denied for failure to pay the filing fee or properly request <i>in forma pauperis</i> status. This deficiency was never corrected, and no complaint has ever been filed.”</p>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Puerto Rico</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Rhode Island</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>South Carolina</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>Judd v. Secretary of State of South Dakota et al</i> , No. 4:2011-cv-04080 (D.S.D.)	<b>South Dakota</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
42. <i>Judd v. Dept. of State of Tennessee et al</i> , No. 3:2011-cv-00555 (M.D. Tenn. <a href="#">Aug. 8, 2012 order</a> ) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	<b>Tennessee</b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<p>“Plaintiff Keith Russell Judd is an inmate at the Federal Correctional Institute in Texarkana, Texas. After the court denied the plaintiff’s motion to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the plaintiff failed to submit the \$350 filing fee, this matter was dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. The Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed the plaintiff’s appeal for want of prosecution on January 20, 2012.</p> <p>Now before the court is a motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 25). The plaintiff does not specify under which rule of civil procedure the motion was filed, but the court construes the motion as filed pursuant to Rule 60(b). * * *</p> <p>The present motion does not present any basis for reconsideration of the judgment previously entered against the plaintiff, and certainly does not address any of the grounds recognized by Rule 60 as providing a legitimate reason for relief from judgment. The plaintiff’s motion is utterly frivolous, and the court declines to consider it.</p> <p>The motion (ECF No. 25) is hereby DENIED.</p> <p>Further, the plaintiff is given notice that this case is CLOSED and he SHALL NOT submit any more filings in this action. The Clerk of Court is is DIRECTED not to accept any additional filings in this action.”</p>
43. <i>Judd v. Dept. of State of Tennessee et al</i> , No. 11-cv-00244 (E.D. Tenn. Motion filed Aug. 6, 2012)	Tennessee <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Motion denied (Mar. 4, 2013)
<i>Judd v. Secretary of State for the State of Texas</i> , No. 3:2012-cv-01113 (N.D. Tex.)	<b>Texas</b> <b>*Judd prohibited from filing in TXt.<sup>48</sup></b> <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>  But see:
44. <i>In re Judd</i> , No. 03-12-00617-CV, 2012 WL 6097294 (Tex. App. Dec. 7, 2012)		<i>In re Judd</i> , No. 03-12-00617-CV, 2012 WL 6097294 (Tex. App. Dec. 7, 2012) (denying petition for writ of mandamus to appellate court which asserted same birther claims as presented in the various August 2012 “motions for reconsideration” filed in federal courts)
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Utah</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>No case found</i>	<b>Vermont</b>	<i>No case found</i>
<i>Judd v. State Board of Elections of Virginia et al</i> , No. 1:2011-cv-01325 (E.D. Va.)	Virginia <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No motion re: Obama/NBC issue currently on file.</i>

<sup>48</sup> See *Judd v. Sec’y of State of Louisiana*, No. 11–30920, 461 F. App’x 399, 401 (5th Cir. 2012) (“Judd is ORDERED to pay a sanction in the amount of \$500 to the clerk of this court. Even after satisfaction of all sanction orders, Judd may not file any civil action in a district court of this circuit, or any pleading or notice of appeal with this court, without first obtaining leave of the court in which he seeks to file such action, pleading, or notice. When seeking leave of court, Judd must certify that the claim he wishes to present is a new one that has never before either been raised and disposed of on the merits or remains pending in any federal court. Upon failure thus to certify or upon false certification, Judd may be sanctioned and punished accordingly.”)

## KEITH JUDD 2012 FILINGS RAISING BIRTHER ISSUE AFTER JUDGMENT ENTERED

Case Citation / Status	Jurisdiction	Court Decision on Motion
45. <i>Judd v. State Board of Elections of Virginia et al</i> , Civil Case No. 7:11-cv-00258-JCT (W.D. Va., motion filed Aug. 2, 2012)	Virginia <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	The court summarily dismissed this civil action without prejudice because plaintiff, a prisoner, has three strikes against him under U.S.C. § 1915(g) and did not pay the \$350.00 filing fee or establish an exception to the “three strikes” provision. Plaintiff’s appeal of that dismissal has also been dismissed. Plaintiff has since brought two frivolous motions, seeking reconsideration of his claims in this action. Because the motions are frivolous and offer no ground upon which this court finds relief to be warranted, it is now ORDERED that plaintiff’s motions (ECF Nos. 17 & 18) are DENIED. (Feb. 7, 2013 Order)
46. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of Washington et al</i> , 2:11-cv-00214-WFN (E.D. Wash., motion filed Aug. 1, 2012 )	Washington <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	<i>No action taken on Aug. motion</i>
47. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of West Virginia et al</i> , No. 1:11-cv-00093-IMK –JES (N.D. W. Va., Oct. 5, 2012 order) (denying motion for relief from judgment seeking, among other things, removal of Obama from 2012 general election ballot on “natural born citizen” grounds);	West Virginia <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	On January 18, 2012, the Court entered an Order and Judgment adopting the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert, and dismissing without prejudice the pro se petitioner Keith Russell Judd’s (“Judd”) 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint (dkt. no. 14). More than six months later, on August 2, 2012, Judd filed the pending motion seeking, inter alia, relief from judgment. (Dkt. No. 18).  Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) provides that a court may, upon motion or upon such terms as are just, relieve a party from a final judgment, order, or proceeding ... * * * Here, Judd has failed to specify what provision of Rule 60(b), if any, he relies upon for his motion. Instead, he simply reiterates the same frivolous arguments the Court rejected over six months ago. Accordingly, the Court DENIES his motion (dkt. no. 18).”
48. <i>Judd v. Secretary of State of West Virginia et al</i> , No. 2:2011-cv-00369 (S.D. W.Va. motion filed Aug. 2, 2012);	West Virginia <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Plaintiff does not allege any basis for relief under 60(b)’s subparts. Though his renewed argument that the Twenty-Fourth Amendment trumps the PLRA’s application in this case could perhaps be interpreted as alleging legal error in the Court’s previous Memorandum Opinion, legal error is not a ground for relief under Rule 60(b). <i>See Russell v. Delco Remy Div. of Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 51 F.3d 746, 749 (7th Cir. 1995). Further, this argument is as utterly meritless now as it was when the Court issued its earlier Memorandum Opinion. Having previously held that Plaintiff cannot proceed in this action without paying the requisite filing fee, the Court will not revisit its September 6, 2011 Memorandum Opinion or its accompanying Judgment Order.
49. <i>Judd v. Obama</i> , No. 5:2013-cv-00040	West Virginia	
50.		
<i>No case found</i>	Wisconsin	<i>No case found</i>
51. <i>Judd v. Wyoming Sec’y of State</i> , No. 11-cv-00202 (D. Wyo. Nov. 26, 2012) (denying motion as patently frivolous)	Wyoming <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	The motion by Plaintiff is, on it's face, patently frivolous. ... The motion by Plaintiff is thus not only utterly frivolous, it is, after the November 6, 2012, general election, clearly moot.
52. <i>Judd v. St. Bd. of Elections</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00063-CVG-RM (D. Virgin Islands, motion filed Aug. 6, 2012);	Virgin Islands <a href="#">RECAP</a>   <a href="#">PACER</a>	Motion denied (Feb. 23, 2013)