

Restoring the Republic
Arguments for a Second American Revolution
By
Lawrence Sellin

Text Copyright © 2013 Lawrence Sellin
All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents

Text Copyright © 2013 Lawrence Sellin

Table of Contents

Chapter 1

Introduction – America’s Cold Civil War

Chapter 2

The Government Seceded from the American People

Chapter 3

The Corrupt Political Status Quo

Chapter 4

Political-Media Collusion

Chapter 5

Obama’s Dystopia

Chapter 6

A Corrupt Congress

Chapter 7

The Corrupt Media

Chapter 8

A Corrupt Electoral Process

Chapter 9

A Corrupt Presidency

Chapter 10

Constitutional Cancer

Chapter 11

Taking Back Our Government – Political Insurgency

Citations

Chapter 1

Introduction – America’s Cold Civil War

"To usurp supreme and absolute authority...in a free state, and subject it to tyranny, the people must have already become corrupt by gradual steps from generation to generation." - Niccolo Machiavelli

There is a Cold Civil War underway in the United States to determine who should control the federal government. It is not a contest between the Democrat and Republican ideologies, but a battle between the entrenched power of the bipartisan political establishment versus the rights and liberties of the American people.

The Cold Civil War is a conflict between those who want to adhere to the Constitution and the rule of law and the party leaders, who wish to continue the practices of political expediency and crony capitalism. It is a choice between a government of the people, by the people and for the people envisioned by the Founding Fathers and eloquently stated by President Abraham Lincoln in his Gettysburg Address or something akin to Sheldon S. Wolin’s *“managed democracy”*, where a governmental cartel stages elections every few years to obtain voter acquiescence in a:

“Country where citizens are politically uninterested and submissive--and where elites are eager to keep them that way.”

The US no longer has representative government. Members of Congress seek election, not to uphold the Constitution and serve the American people, but to obtain

power, and to use that power to accrue professional and financial benefits for themselves and their major supporters. All the traditional means for the American people to seek the redress of grievances have now been blocked by a self-absorbed permanent political elite unaccountable to the American people.

The attributes that best describe how America is now governed are executive over-reach, legislative complicity, judicial partisanship and journalistic decadence.

The erosion of the Constitution and the theft of our Constitutional rights have been happening incrementally and quietly over a long period of time. With the election of Barack Obama in 2008, those efforts have accelerated.

The tenant in the White House leads a dishonest and lawless cabal of anti-American ideologues, who engage in activities and foster policies that risk the survival of the American republic, undermine the security of its citizens and abrogate their unalienable rights.

Barack Obama, a coffeehouse communist, was always doomed to replay the tragic political and economic mistakes of the past because he lacks any sense of history beyond the clichés of fashionable and comfortable college Marxism.

In contrast to what Thomas Paine wrote in the *"Rights of Man"* (1791), the Obama *"utopian"* model sees individual rights as privileges, not endowed by God, but granted via political charter, and, thereby, legally revocable to ensure the *"good order"* of society. It is a collectivist philosophy that directly conflicts with the principles outlined in the Constitution, where government

is a construct of and accountable to its citizens, as Paine noted:

“The fact, therefore, must be that the individuals, themselves, each, in his own personal and sovereign right, entered into a contract with each other to produce a government: and this is the only mode in which governments have a right to arise, and the only principle on which they have a right to exist.”

The political and cultural cancer of corruption is spreading through our republic. The forbidden and unspoken fact is that Congress, the American media and the courts are engaged in a conspiracy to conceal their complicity in the greatest fraud ever perpetrated on the American people - an illegal and, quite likely, criminal Presidency. Our elected officials have chosen to risk the survival of the country rather than risk the truth.

The ruling elites of a now hopelessly corrupt political system are just one major blunder away from revolution. When blatant and outrageous lies are no longer sufficient to soothe the electorate into complacency, such a government must begin to curtail liberty and oppress the people in order to remain in power.

Chapter 2

The Government Seceded from the American People

“Government is instituted for the common good; for the protection, safety, prosperity, and happiness of the people; and not for profit, honor, or private interest of any one man, family, or class of men; therefore, the people alone have an incontestable, unalienable, and indefeasible right to institute government; and to reform, alter, or totally change the same, when their protection, safety, prosperity, and happiness require it.” - John Adams

The Preamble explicitly declares that

“We the people...do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”

The federal government is entirely a creature of the Constitution. It is a government of delegated powers, possessing no authority not expressly or by implication granted to it by the instrument that created it.¹

Edwin Vieira² wrote that, without exception, “*We the people*” are the sole source of whatever legal status the Constitution, the federal government and public officials have. “*We the people*,” therefore, are personally responsible for maintaining and protecting the Constitution and seeing to its enforcement even when the government is impotent or worse and our representatives prove to be incompetent or dishonest. The republic could fall through usurpation and tyranny when evil or misguided men corrupt, subvert and pervert the government by misusing the law to break the law, while,

simultaneously, claiming to act under the law and in the interest of the American people.

According to Angelo Codevilla³, the United States already has a bona fide ruling class that transcends government, sees itself as distinct from the rest of society and as the only element that may act on its behalf. The ruling class considers those who resist it as having no moral or intellectual right, and, only reluctantly, any civil right to do so.

Republican leaders neither contest that view nor vilify their Democrat counterparts because they do not want to challenge the ruling class, they want to join it. The GOP leadership has gradually solidified its choice to no longer represent what had been its constituency, but to adopt the identity of junior partners in the ruling class. By repeatedly passing bills that contradict the views of Republican voters, the leadership has made political orphans of millions of Americans. In short, at the outset of 2013, a substantial portion of America finds itself unrepresented, while Republican leaders increasingly represent only themselves. The differences between the Bushes, Clintons, and Obamas are of degree, not kind.

There is now a sharp division between the bipartisan ruling class and the rest of Americans, who are considered retrograde, racist, and dysfunctional unless properly controlled by the dictates of central authority.

The present political environment, that is, the separation between the rulers and the ruled, bears comparison to the events leading up to the American Revolution.

On January 10, 1776, nearly six months before the Declaration of Independence, Thomas Paine published the

forty-eight page pamphlet "[Common Sense](#)," which openly presented arguments supporting the freedom of the American colonies from oppressive British rule. In "*Of the Origin and Design of Government in general with concise Remarks on the English Constitution*," the first of four sections, Paine described the difference between the positive human instincts that drive the formation of a society and its limitations that require a society to create a government.

"SOME writers have so confounded society with government, as to leave little or no distinction between them; whereas they are not only different, but have different origins. Society is produced by our wants, and government by our wickedness; the former promotes our happiness POSITIVELY by uniting our affections, the latter NEGATIVELY by restraining our vices...The first is a patron, the last a punisher."

According to Paine, a society arises because individuals living alone in nature find shared benefit by living together rather than remaining isolated. In an ideal society, where each individual acts in a morally just fashion toward every other individual in the society, there is no need for laws and government. Only when moral virtue is inadequate to restrain human evil, do laws become necessary; and only when a society becomes too large to operate by collective agreement and as individual enforcers of those laws do governments become necessary.

“Society in every state is a blessing, but Government, even in its best state, is but a necessary evil; in its worst state an intolerable one: for when we suffer, or are exposed to the same miseries BY A GOVERNMENT, which we might expect in a country WITHOUT GOVERNMENT, our calamity is heightened by reflecting that we furnish the means by which we suffer. Government, like dress, is the badge of lost innocence; the palaces of kings are built upon the ruins of the bowers of paradise. For were the impulses of conscience clear, uniform and irresistibly obeyed, man would need no other lawgiver; but that not being the case, he finds it necessary to surrender up a part of his property to furnish means for the protection of the rest; and this he is induced to do by the same prudence which in every other case advises him, out of two evils to choose the least. Wherefore, security being the true design and end of government, it unanswerably follows that whatever form thereof appears most likely to ensure it to us, with the least expense and greatest benefit, is preferable to all others.

Thus necessity, like a gravitating power, would soon form our newly arrived emigrants into society, the reciprocal blessings of which would supersede, and render the obligations of law and government unnecessary while they remained perfectly just to each other; but as nothing but Heaven is impregnable to vice, it will unavoidably happen that in proportion as they surmount the first difficulties of emigration, which bound them together in a common cause, they will begin to relax in their duty and attachment to each other: and this

remissness will point out the necessity of establishing some form of government to supply the defect of moral virtue.”

In such circumstances, the balance between society and government will determine the balance between individual liberty and functionality of the society. In complex societies, in the absence laws and government, chaos will prevail. When laws become too numerous and government too large, individual liberty suffers. Ultimately, it is, as Paine noted, a balance of freedom and security.

“Here then is the origin and rise of government; namely, a mode rendered necessary by the inability of moral virtue to govern the world; here too is the design and end of government, viz. Freedom and security.”

The efficiency and effectiveness of government are directly dependent upon the trustworthiness of government officials as representatives and executors of the views and desires of the people.

“If the colony continue encreasing, it will become necessary to augment the number of representatives, and that the interest of every part of the colony may be attended to, it will be found best to divide the whole into convenient parts, each part sending its proper number: and that the ELECTED might never form to themselves an interest separate from the ELECTORS, prudence will point out the propriety of having elections often: because as the ELECTED might by that means return and mix again with the general body of the ELECTORS in a few months, their fidelity to the public will be secured by the prudent reflection of not making a rod for themselves. And as this frequent interchange will establish a common interest with

every part of the community, they will mutually and naturally support each other, and on this, (not on the unmeaning name of king,) depends the STRENGTH OF GOVERNMENT, AND THE HAPPINESS OF THE GOVERNED.”

In other words, whenever the interests of government officials divert from or are in conflict with those of the people, tyranny ensues.

Today the federal government has become an entity unto itself operating outside of Constitutional constraints and accountability to the American people.

The conflict of interests between the rulers and the ruled represented by the corrupt political status quo is unsustainable.

Chapter 3

The Corrupt Political Status Quo

“Politics: A strife of interests masquerading as a contest of principles. The conduct of public affairs for private advantage.” - Ambrose Bierce

Thomas Jefferson warned Americans of the danger of “*elective despotism*” now fostered by corrupt politicians, enabled by wealthy special interests and facilitated by a compliant press:

“They should look forward to a time, and that not a distant one, when a corruption in this, as in the country from which we derive our origin, will have seized the heads of government, and be spread by them through the body of the people; when they will purchase the voices of the people, and make them pay the price.”

In his 1952 book “*The Origins of Totalitarian Democracy*,” Israeli historian J. L. Talmon described a political system in which lawfully elected representatives rule a nation state whose citizens, although granted the right to vote, have little or no participation in the decision-making process of government.

The United States now has a government, which has been transformed from one based on traditional values, articles of faith and the support of individual liberty into one in which moral relativism, political expediency and social utility take precedence.

America is controlled by a Democrat and Republican ruling class, where power rests, not with the American people, but with a relatively small group of politicians and financiers, who enhance their personal wealth and privilege by looting the country through a self-interested legislative process. They maintain their authority by adjusting the levers of government and using the establishment media to manipulate public perception and opinion.

From the perspective of the ruling class, elections are nothing more than an occasion to redistribute power between select Democrat and Republican elites. To the financiers, it does not matter who wins as long as they can continue to influence policy through their lobbies and political donations.

The arrogance of political establishment has only gotten more brazen since 1993, when Lewis H. Lapham (“*A Wish for Kings*”) wrote:

“The politicians dress up the deals in the language of law or policy, but they’re in the business of brokering the tax revenue, and what keeps them in office is not their talent for oratory but their skill at redistributing the national income in a way that rewards their clients, patrons, friends and campaign contributors.”

Driven solely by a craving for personal power and profit, while remaining unconstrained by the Constitution or the rule of law and unaccountable to the American people or even common sense, the conduct of the Democrat and Republican establishments and their facilitators in the media has become pernicious to the health of the nation.

Chapter 4

Political-Media Collusion

“The press is our chief ideological weapon.” - Nikita Khrushchev

Malfeasance is defined as the performance by a public official of an act that is legally unjustified, harmful, or contrary to law.

Federal governmental malfeasance fueled by political corruption is the single, most important issue of our time.

In the service of the ruling class, politicians preserve the illusion of democracy for the now disenfranchised voters, while journalists, falsely proclaiming themselves as the tireless defenders of liberty, distort the news to advance their partisan political agenda.

For the politicians and the media, business as usual is a metaphor for corruption as usual.

Together, the politicians and the media have become purveyors of a conventional wisdom defined along narrow political lines and dominated by self-interest.

In 1920, Russian revolutionary and communist, Vladimir Lenin, predicted our present political-media collusion:

“Why should freedom of speech and freedom of the press be allowed? Why should a government which is doing what it believes to be right allow itself to be

criticized? It would not allow opposition by lethal weapons. Ideas are much more fatal things than guns."

Lenin feared both the truth and the people, as do American politicians and journalists.

It would indeed embarrass the U.S. government and both the Democrat and Republicans leadership, if the truth was revealed about their violations of the Constitution, their contempt for the rule of law and how they have perverted representative government to maintain their exclusive grip on power.

The Democrat Party is now controlled by a cadre of far-left ideologues, whose goal is to promote socialist dogma nationwide, which can only be implemented at the expense of personal liberty.

Alexis de Tocqueville, author of "[Democracy in America](#)" (1835) wrote:

"Democracy extends the sphere of individual freedom, socialism restricts it. Democracy attaches all possible value to each man; socialism makes each man a mere agent, a mere number. Democracy and socialism have nothing in common but one word: equality. But notice the difference: while democracy seeks equality in liberty, socialism seeks equality in restraint and servitude."

Yet the opposition offers little more than sclerotic big government Republicanism hardly distinguishable from the policies proffered by the Democrats and also designed to limit rather than expand liberty.

Both parties adhere to the notions de Tocqueville described:

“After having thus successively taken each member of the community in its powerful grasp and fashioned him at will, the government then extends its arm over the whole community. It covers the surface of society with a network of small, complicated rules, minute and uniform, through which the most original minds and the most energetic characters cannot penetrate, to rise above the crowd. The will of man is not shattered, but softened, bent, and guided; men are seldom forced by it to act, but they are constantly restrained from acting. Such a power does not destroy, but it prevents existence: it does not tyrannize, but it compresses, enervates, extinguishes, and stupefies a people, till each nation is reduced to nothing better than a flock of timid and industrious animals, of which the government is the shepherd.”

In order to preserve a timid citizenry, both the Democrat and Republican establishments, together with their enablers in the establishment media, remain willing to smother the truth, ignore the Constitution and flout the rule of law.

In particular, the American establishment media follow a script supplied by the Obama Administration to support its radical policies. Rather than restraining the power of the federal government, they have become an extension of it, which primarily benefits the greedy and ambitious ruling elites. The media enable tyranny by misinforming and misleading citizens. They insert emotional slogans in the heads of the naïve or low-information voters to replace the reasoned debate of issues that would otherwise stymie their efforts toward one-party rule. It is not a democracy that they wish to foster, but what Edmund Burke described as a liberal “mobocracy,” dedicated to the absolute authority of King Obama and his government of one-world progressives.

Chapter 5

Obama's Dystopia

"The worst government is often the most moral. One composed of cynics is often very tolerant and humane. But when fanatics are on top there is no limit to oppression." - H. L. Mencken

Throughout history, in many cultures and societies, from Plato's *"Republic"* to the French Revolution to *"The Communist Manifesto"*, humankind has sought to design and construct an ideal society, a utopia, where humanity lives in harmony with nature, where people's desires are limited and their needs equitably fulfilled and where there would be no motives for war or oppression.

The road to utopia is always paved with good intentions, but in every case the opposite, a dystopia, has been the inevitable result and the external reality of all failed utopian agenda.

A dystopia is characterized by a cataclysmic decline of a society, in which a totalitarian government enforces ruthless egalitarianism by suppressing or denouncing ability and accomplishment, or even competence, as forms of inequality. It creates dependency on the state and attempts to eradicate the family by deploying continuing efforts to keep it from reestablishing itself as a social institution.

In his article, "[Why Americans Are Not Taught History](#)," Christopher Hitchens, inadvertently identified the vulnerability of America's present-tense culture to the

utopian myth and the means by which Obama is executing his fundamental transformation of the United States.

That is, for the true blissed-out and vacant servitude required by the Obama strategy, you need an otherwise sophisticated society to lose any sense of itself through an understanding of its own history and traditions. Through media deception and historical revisionism, the low-information voter will slouch towards Obama's utopia by a combination of governmental coercion as in George Orwell's *"Nineteen Eighty-Four"* and the hedonist nihilism of a painless, amusement-sodden, and stress-free consensus managed by the nanny-state found in Aldous Huxley's *"Brave New World."*

In his book *"Amusing Ourselves to Death,"* Neil Postman notes that in *"Nineteen Eighty-Four,"* people are controlled by inflicting pain; in *"Brave New World,"* they are controlled by inflicting pleasure. Orwell feared we would become a captive culture. Huxley feared we would become a trivial culture. Obama plans to do both.

Like *"Nineteen Eighty-Four,"* Obama's dystopia will be a world of perpetual crisis, omnipresent government surveillance, misinformation and manipulation by state-controlled media; all governed by a privileged, hedonistic and shallow political elite led by a quasi-divine party leader who enjoys an intense cult of personality and considers free will as a source of unhappiness.

In a dystopia, the government does not derive its power by consent of the governed, but by the control and extortion of them and where truth becomes a commodity of the state.

When a government is ruled by men unconstrained by law, there are few things that power cannot do. It can

make political allies rich or political enemies paupers. It can waste billions of dollars without accountability and destroy an economy without responsibility. It can make incompetent men high officials or make extraordinary men corpses.⁴

No matter how idealistic are the foundations of the United States or how honorable its previous history, the accumulation of excessive power in the federal government transforms it into a dysfunctional super-state dedicated to maintaining its own power irrespective of truth or the well-being of the country. The bureaucrats of the super-state can ignore both morality and the lessons of history, in order to protect their appetite for and the use of raw power. Under such circumstances, the government need not fear that any of its agencies will intervene on behalf of the people, nor will it hear any protests from their elected representatives, nor anything but polite questions from the national media.

In a dystopia, history, truth and the law are just chalk-writing on a blackboard, which can be erased and rewritten whenever it suits the convenience and desires of a government of perfidious men.

Chapter 6

A Corrupt Congress

“No man who is corrupt, no man who condones corruption in others, can possibly do his duty by the community.” – President Theodore Roosevelt

Members of Congress, once elected, do not represent their constituents, but become party foot-soldiers, whose sole purpose is to maintain the personal power and profit of an entrenched permanent political class and their wealthy supporters at the expense of the well-being of the country.

The Code of Ethics for U.S. Government Service, adopted by the House of Representatives on July 11, 1958 with the Senate concurring, resolved that it is the sense of the Congress that the following Code of Ethics should be adhered to by all Government employees, including officeholders.

The first two requirements state that any person in Government service should:

1. Put loyalty to the highest moral principles and to country above loyalty to Government persons, party, or department.
2. Uphold the Constitution, laws, and legal regulations of the United States and of all governments therein and never be a party to their evasion.

No informed American can believe that the Congress, as an institution, adheres to those principles.

The conduct of Congress is driven purely by political expediency and winning elections for the sole purpose of obtaining power and profit. There is, in fact, no difference between the aims of Big Government Republicans and Big Government Democrats. The bigger the government, the larger and more numerous the public parasites become.

The permanent political class has brought our country to the brink of bankruptcy, has opened our borders to illegal immigration, has permitted a fifth column promoting Sharia law to infiltrate our society and the judicial system and continues to pass laws from which they exempt themselves.

In April 2013, a law making it again easier for congressional and top executive branch staffers to engage in stock trading practices, illegal to ordinary citizens, was signed by Barack Obama.

The law, which is a [modification](#) of the Stop Trading on Congressional Knowledge (STOCK) Act, was passed in the dead of night by unanimous consent of the House and the Senate with no debate or even discussion.⁵

According to an article, The STOCK Act, which became law in 2012 and was designed to discourage insider trading by members of Congress and top government officials, has now been gutted of its chief enforcement clauses. In theory if not in practice, our get-rich quick Congress can again mix business with governing by trading stocks and making land deals while considering major pieces of legislation.⁶

Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D-CA) – When Visa went public in March 2008, then-Speaker of the House Pelosi and her husband bought some of their shares at the opening IPO price—access that would be virtually impossible for the average individual investor.

Sen. Max Baucus (D-MT) – Baucus played a central role in forging the health-care-reform bill. As he was negotiating with pharmaceutical companies and putting his imprint on the legislation, Baucus was also buying and selling health-care stocks.

Sen. John Kerry (D-MA) – John and Teresa Heinz Kerry made numerous trades in health-care stocks, buying almost \$750,000 in Teva Pharmaceuticals in November 2009 alone, while Kerry actively pushed Obama-care as a member of the health-care subcommittee.

Rep. Jared Polis (D-CO) – Polis sat on two committees central to the crafting and language of Obama’s health-care bill. At the same time, he invested millions of dollars in stocks and funds related to health care and pharmaceuticals.

Rep. Spencer Bachus (R-AL) – After learning in a September 2008 briefing with Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson and Fed chairman Ben Bernanke that the financial markets were in deep trouble, Bachus began aggressively buying and selling stock options.

Rep. John Boehner (R-OH) – On Dec. 10, 2009, then-House Minority Leader Boehner bought tens of thousands of dollars of health-care stocks, including in

health-insurance companies. On Dec. 15, The Washington Post declared that the “public option” for health insurance was dead.

Rep. Shelley Capito (R-WV) – After a briefing with Paulson and Bernanke, Capito sold between \$100,000 and \$250,000 shares of Citigroup; she and her husband accrued as much as \$50,000 in capital gains from Citigroup transactions made throughout the crisis.

Rep. Dennis Hastert (R-IL) – When Hastert was Speaker of the House, he inserted a \$207 million earmark into a federal highway bill for a parkway near land he owned in rural Illinois. When he first went to Congress in 1986, Hastert’s net worth was less than \$300,000. When he retired in 2007, it was close to \$11 million.

Chapter 7

The Corrupt Media

“The press should be not only a collective propagandist and a collective agitator, but also a collective organizer of the masses.” - Vladimir Lenin

Having anointed themselves the final arbiter of what the public has a right to know, the American establishment media, although vigorous in their pursuit of Republican scandals, have been far less enthusiastic about investigating and reporting on those involving Democrats.

According to The Newspaper Guild document [“Professionalism and Honesty in the News Media,”](#) the goal of newsgathering institutions is to report the news in a truthful, accurate and impartial way in order to inform the public. It specifically states the following:

“Those who gather and present the news shall at all times strive for honesty, accuracy, fairness and balance in order to maintain their credibility as guardians of the public’s right to know...”

Stories, photographs, audio recordings and video material shall not be altered so as to deliberately distort what was said or present a knowingly misleading version of an event...

It is the shared duty of newsgathering institutions and those who work for them to oppose any attempts to suppress news and prevent important stories from being told. No employee shall be disciplined for exposing such

unethical conduct, regardless of the level at which it takes place.”

In addition to other Obama and liberal scandals such as [Fast & Furious](#)⁷, [Climate-gate](#)⁸, [Journalist](#)⁹, [Pigford](#)¹⁰, and [ACORN](#)¹¹, nothing demonstrates better how the American media establishment has abandoned any pretense of objectivity or fairness than their corrupt, openly biased attempts to bury one of the biggest presidential scandals in US history.

As Robert Moon notes in his hard-hitting [article](#), on September 11, 2012 in Benghazi, Libya:

“All indications are that four Americans were deliberately left to be savagely murdered in the streets of Benghazi by a sitting U.S. president who easily had all the means and opportunity to intervene and save their lives, but simply sat back and chose not to...and then lied about it and even publicly apologized to the terrorists for Americans ‘provoking’ them.”¹²

According to Moon, as of this moment, the news media have:

- Openly lied about the facts of Benghazi from start-to-finish.
- Attacked any reporter who dared to actually investigate it.
- Painted all congressional inquiries into it as a partisan GOP witch hunt.

- Allowed Obama to silence witnesses, no questions asked.

- And blindly parroted doctored, fraudulent talking points from the White House falsely blaming it on a film.

The media facilitate government corruption by misinforming and misleading citizens. Rather than challenging power, they have become the mouthpiece of the Democrat Party, defending and serving the interests of its far-left agenda.

Chapter 8

A Corrupt Electoral Process

“Every election is a sort of advance auction sale of stolen goods.” - H. L. Mencken

We have elections, but we no longer have representative government.

National elections are not about Washington, D.C. responding to the will of the American people, they are about the distribution of power between the two political establishments.

They parlay that power into influence, privilege and affluence by redistributing the wealth generated by ordinary Americans into their own pockets and those of their friends.

The Democrat establishment is composed of the Democratic Party, left-wing financiers, unions and the liberal media.

The Republican establishment includes big government Republicans, their financiers and pundits masquerading as conservative media.

The objective of both establishments is the same; to maintain the political status quo unconstrained by the Constitution and the rule of law.

The method by which both establishments maintain the status quo is the same; fostering a culture of dependency.

The Democrat establishment creates a culture of dependency by expanding government control and entitlements. It is predominantly fed by tribal politics, which divides America into a collection of minority groups, and where the only thing that we have in common is our differences.

The Republican establishment produces a culture of dependency by limiting choice.

They want to remain the sole alternative to the Democrats. When grass root movements like the Tea Party arise or independent thinkers speak out, they are co-opted or crushed.

Republicans also limit choice by pre-selecting candidates and carefully managing primary elections to produce a pre-determined outcome.

There are many things obvious to ordinary Americans that cannot be mentioned in the polite political company of the Republican and Democrat establishments or with their press agents in the mainstream media, who obligatorily pirouette around the truth.

The fact is that both establishments are composed of hopelessly corrupt, procrastinating control freaks, who seek fame and fortune through spending money they didn't earn and enthusiastically destroying any spontaneous outbreaks of democracy among U.S. citizens.

There is substantial evidence that the outcome of the 2012 Presidential election was determined by

[significant voter fraud](#).¹³ This was done in two ways (1) illegal voters and (2) erasing votes.

It was estimated that more than 20% of registered Ohio voters weren't eligible.¹⁴ According to authorities, in two counties, the number of registered voters actually exceeded the voting-age population. The report said, in 31 other counties, registrations were above 90 percent of the population, "*a rate regarded as unrealistic by most voting experts.*"

In pivotal [Wood County](#)¹⁵, Ohio, which Obama won, 106,258 people were registered to vote out of an eligible 98,213.

In 21 of the 1,022 precincts in [Cuyahoga County](#)¹⁶, Ohio, the statistically impossible happened. Barack Obama beat Mitt Romney by 5,978 to zero. Even third party and write-in candidates got more votes than Romney. In 202 precincts Obama tallied 95% of the vote and in 20 precincts he received over 99%. Furthermore, the average number of voters per precinct decreased by more than half as you move from precincts where Obama won less than 50% of the vote (714) to those where he won 95% (356). In precincts where Romney received zero votes, the average number of voters was 288. These data suggest that Romney votes were being systematically erased.

The same type of voting pattern was reported in [Philadelphia, Pennsylvania](#)¹⁷, where in 59 precincts Mitt Romney got zero votes. It is also a statistical improbability that out of 19,605 votes, not even one vote was cast in error for Romney.

Obama also received 99% of the vote in some precincts in [Broward County](#), Florida.¹⁸

In addition, thousands of votes from [members of the military](#), who were believed to be mostly Romney supporters, went uncounted or missing.¹⁹

It does not require [nationwide voter fraud](#) to change the outcome of a Presidential election. A significant change in the votes in several cities or counties in a few key swing states like Ohio, Pennsylvania and Florida would produce a fraudulent result.

In the face of numerous signs and symptoms of a stolen election, however, there was no investigation. There was only silence.

An explanation resides in a [report](#) claiming that the Republican Party signed a legal agreement, [New Jersey Consent Decree](#), with the Democrat Party in 1982 not to pursue suspected vote fraud, where, in the language of the Consent Decree, there is *“a substantial proportion of racial or ethnic populations.”* The term *“substantial proportion”* is not defined. The agreement, in effect, gives a carte blanche to the Democrat Party to commit vote fraud in every voting district across America²⁰

If true, the Republican Party is dead or should be, because, if there is no guarantee of election integrity, then elections become only window dressing for tyranny.

Chapter 9

A Corrupt Presidency

"If ever time should come, when vain and aspiring men shall possess the highest seats in Government, our country will stand in need of its experienced patriots to prevent its ruin." - Samuel Adams

In an effort to force a radical transformation of the United States, the rogue Obama Administration, enabled by a complicit Congress and a compliant media, has attempted to undermine the Constitution, usurp the power it reserves for the States and deny the rights it guarantees to the American people.

[Mandi Campbell](#)²¹, an attorney with the non-profit Liberty Counsel law firm focusing on constitutional law, provided a long and troubling list of Obama's unconstitutional overreaches since occupying the Oval Office. The list includes the following:

- On January 4, 2012 Obama made four appointments without the advice or consent of the U.S. Senate.
- Obamacare violated the Constitution by forcing individuals to purchase health insurance.
- Obama's Department of Health and Human Services violated the First Amendment by requiring employers to cover contraceptives, abortifacients and sterilization in the health insurance they provide their employees, despite their religious and moral objections.

- Obama told the Department of Justice not to defend the Defense of Marriage Act, which was passed by a bipartisan Congress.

- Obama signed Dodd-Frank into law, which allows the government's seizure of property, violating the Due Process Clause of the Constitution and creating administrative positions that are not vetted by the Senate as required by the Appointments Clause.

- When the Democrat-led Congress would not pass net neutrality, Obama's Federal Communications Commission violated the Constitution by contravening Congress to restrict the freedom of Internet service providers to manage their network transmissions.

- When the Democrat-led Congress could not pass cap and trade, Obama's Environmental Protection Agency decided to auction greenhouse gas allowances, essentially imposing a tax on emissions, which, according to the Constitution, can only be done by Congress.

- When the Democrat-led Congress did not pass the Employee Free Choice Act, Obama contravened Congress by pushing the National Labor Relations Board to pass regulations that allowed for "ambush elections" and required employers to provide employee e-mail addresses and phone numbers to union organizers.

- When the Democrat-led Congress refused to pass the Dream Act, the Obama White House and the Department of Homeland Security decided to implement a policy of granting illegal aliens stays of refusal, which is

essentially amnesty by fiat. The Obama policy even gives local immigration officials the authority to dismiss deportation cases against illegal alien criminals convicted of violent crimes.

- Without the approval of Congress, as required by the Constitution, Obama ordered the U.S. military to attack Libya.

[During Obama's Operation Fast and Furious](#) "gunwalking" scheme, about 2,000 firearms were allowed to be illegally smuggled into Mexico and, among others, some eventually found their way into the prominent Mexican Sinaloa Cartel.²² Obama's contribution to the Mexican drug gangs included a .50 caliber rifle, an anti-aircraft machine gun and grenade launcher. It was reported that Fast and Furious weapons were found at the murder scenes of US Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement agent Jaime Zapata and Mexican beauty queen Maria Susana Flores Gamez. According to Humberto Benítez Treviño, former Mexican Attorney General and chair of the justice committee in the Chamber of Deputies, related firearms have been found where at least [150 Mexican civilians](#) were maimed and killed.²³

The [Sept. 11, 2012 terrorist assault](#)²⁴ targeting the U.S. diplomatic post in Benghazi and the Central Intelligence Agency annex claimed the lives of the U.S. ambassador to Libya, Christopher Stevens, and three other Americans. According to a House Republican report, the Obama Administration failed to provide adequate security for US personnel and perpetuated a deliberately misleading and incomplete narrative that the attacks evolved from a political demonstration, while minimizing

the role played by al Qaeda-affiliated entities and other groups.

Chapter 10

Constitutional Cancer

“We are not afraid to entrust the American people with unpleasant facts, foreign ideas, alien philosophies, and competitive values. For a nation that is afraid to let its people judge the truth and falsehood in an open market is afraid of its people.” – John F. Kennedy

Politicians and the media are deliberately hiding the greatest fraud ever perpetrated on the American people, because exposure of the facts would rock the foundations of the political-media establishment.

In 2008, pressured by a biased, left-leaning media, a spineless Republican Party leadership joined the Democrats in refusing to fully vet Obama and, in violation of the Constitution and common sense, permitted an ineligible candidate of questionable background to run for President.

With the full backing of the liberal media, Obama supporters have been successful in applying one of the most effective tactics neo-Marxist Saul Alinsky outlined in his book *“Rules for Radicals”*:

“Ridicule is man's most potent weapon. It is almost impossible to counteract ridicule.”

Since 2008, out of fear or complicity, a conspiracy of silence has descended upon the public discourse

regarding all questions related to Obama's eligibility and personal history. Despite the truly enormous historic Constitutional implications, the politicians and the media, not only remain silent, but actively suppress legitimate inquiry.

Stated simply, Barack Obama, according to the Constitution, has [never been eligible](#) for the office of President of the United States because he is not a natural born citizen, that is, a second generation American; a US born citizen of parents who were citizens at the time of his birth.²⁵

His father was Kenyan and a British subject at the time of Obama's birth. In addition, The British Nationality Act of 1772 declares unequivocally that children born of British Subjects, regardless of their birth location, are themselves British Subjects.

Article II, Section I, Clause 5 of the Constitution states:

"No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States."

The Founding Fathers' understanding of the term "natural born citizen," originated from the 1758 book "The Law of Nations" by Emerich de Vattel, who wrote:

"... natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents who are citizens. ... children naturally

follow the condition of their fathers, and succeed to all their rights. ... The country of the fathers is therefore that of the children..."

The reason for requiring the President and Vice President to be natural born citizens or second generation Americans was allegiance to the republic and to prevent a usurper from attaining the highest office in the land.

On July 25, 1787, John Jay wrote to George Washington, presiding officer of the Constitutional Convention:

"Permit me to hint whether it would not be wise and reasonable to provide a strong check to the admission of Foreigners into the administration of our national Government, and to declare expressly that the Command in chief of the American army shall not be given to, nor devolve on, any but a natural born Citizen."

Although not defined in the Constitution, the term "natural born citizen" was made clear in the U.S. Supreme Court binding precedent of *Minor v. Happersett* (1874) as being a U.S. citizen born to two citizen parents:

"The Constitution does not, in words, say who shall be natural-born citizens. Resort must be had elsewhere to ascertain that. At common-law, with the nomenclature of which the framers of the Constitution were familiar, it was never doubted that all children born in a country of parents who were its citizens became themselves, upon their birth, citizens also. These were natives, or natural-born citizens, as distinguished from aliens or foreigners."

There was no dissent or opposition among the Justices as to the findings and the Supreme Court decision

and the definition contained in *Minor vs. Happersett* (1874) has never been superseded.

Despite politically-based arguments to the contrary, there is no ambiguity; Barack Obama is not and never has been eligible for the office of President of the United States.

Since 1975, both Democrat and Republican politicians have been trying unsuccessfully to amend Article II, Section I, Clause 5 of the U. S. Constitution. The election of Obama has allowed them to do so, not legally, but by *fait accompli*.

By electing Obama without an Amendment, the Constitution was severely undermined and a precedent has been established to eliminate the true meaning of “natural born” from law.

Now complicit with the Democrats in violating the Constitution, the Republicans joined them in a deliberate campaign of disinformation to hide the truth about Obama and the natural born requirement; an effort which continues to this day.

Given the effort to prevent discussion of Constitutional ineligibility, it should come as no surprise that the politicians and media also protected Obama from investigation of [allegations](#)²⁶ involving a [forged birth certificate](#),²⁷ a [forged Selective Service registration](#),²⁸ and the use of a [Social Security Number not issued to him](#).²⁹

The Los Angeles Times³⁰ reported that Arizona's Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio claimed that volunteer investigators working for him concluded that The Certificate of Live Birth presented by Barack Obama as his original birth certificate is fraudulent.

“At the very least, I can tell you this, based on all of the evidence presented and investigated, I cannot in good faith report to you that these documents are authentic... My investigators believe that the long-form birth certificate was manufactured electronically and that it did not originate in a paper format as claimed by the White House.”

Both the Democrat and Republican parties know that exposing Obama would reveal their dereliction of duty, their complicity to undermine the Constitution and their continuous flouting the rule of law. They know that the truth would topple the corrupt status quo and terminate their exclusive grip on political power, allowing the American people to regain control of their government. The political and media elite will do anything to prevent that.

Chapter 11

Taking Back Our Government – Political Insurgency

“Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did, and it never will. Find out just what people will submit to, and you have found out the exact amount of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them; and these will continue until they are resisted with either words or blows, or with both. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress.” — Frederick Douglass

Barack Obama can be removed from office at any time simply by telling the truth.

To do so, however, would expose the rampant corruption of our political and media elite, reveal their complicity in Obama’s violations of Constitution, uncover their willful ignorance of his alleged felonies and confirm their participation in the greatest election fraud and Constitutional crisis in American history.

Rather than tell the truth, many in power have chosen to succumb to political expediency or blackmail and, thereby, have endorsed permanent corruption in Washington, D.C.

Even conservative media have chosen self-censorship and political correctness to protect their personal financial interests.

Now that our elections have proven to be a sham and our political system has been shown to be hopelessly corrupt, Americans who believe in the Constitution and

the rule of law must now choose either to succumb or to fight.

The Obama regime, the ideologues of the Democrat Party and much of the mainstream media have made themselves the enemies of honest government. You cannot collaborate or compromise with the enemy.

Events leading up to and after the 2012 election should leave no doubt in the minds of anyone that the Obama regime is antithetical to the principles upon which the United States was founded and that voter fraud is now considered an integral component of many Democrat campaign strategies. In parallel, the mainstream media will censor or manipulate the news in order to promote a partisan political agenda at the expense of their journalistic integrity and the well-being of the country.

Ordinary Americans need to recognize that there is no longer representative government in the United States. Once elected, members of Congress serve only their interests, and that of their party and its wealthy donors. Petitioning members of Congress on important issues is an exercise in futility.

The status quo is no longer defensible. Unless there is a significant reversal soon, it is only a matter of time that a catastrophe will be upon us, which will inevitably lead to national collapse and fragmentation.

Any country ruled by a small group without broad, popular participation by its citizens provides a political cause for an insurgency. It is an axiom of unconventional warfare that insurgents succeed through chaos and disorder appearing anywhere. The regime fails unless it maintains a degree of order everywhere.

The Obama regime, the far-left Democrats and the mainstream media have declared political war on us. It is now time to take the fight directly to them, ruthlessly and relentlessly.

The creation of Democrat Party-free zones is a bottom-up strategy of ever-expanding geographic areas. With a few exceptions, Democrat politicians must be removed from positions of power.

Starting at a local level, all biased newspapers, all radio and TV stations affiliated with NBC, CBS and ABC, and all their sponsors should be subjected to continuous public challenge whenever partisan reporting exists and sued whenever a legal argument can be made against them.

The Republican Party should be abandoned as a political vehicle capable of providing an effective opposition to the Obama regime, the Democrats or the mainstream media. It too is a party of big government, which has become unresponsive and unaccountable to its constituency.

The objective of the political insurgency is to restore the Constitution as the basis of our government, in particular the 10th Amendment, which states:

“The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.”

A county by county battle is the means by which the American people can restore the Constitution, establish responsible fiscal policies and take back control of the political process. Patriots need to organize locally, outside of the party apparatus to exert political pressure.

No county officials, in particular the Sheriff, should be elected or maintained in office, if he or she does not fully honor their oath to support and defend the Constitution.

Fundamental to this effort are the rights guaranteed under the Second Amendment. Each county should establish elements of an organized and disciplined militia with sufficient arms and ammunition to maintain independence and individual liberty from any entity that might attempt to abuse them.

To prevent the current situation from ever again establishing itself, fundamental changes in government operations and the political environment will be required.

Such measures may include:

- A strict constructionist interpretation of the Constitution.
- Limiting Congressional terms to a total of 12 years either in the House of Representatives or the Senate or a combination thereof.
- All current members of Congress who have exceeded the 12-year limit cannot run for reelection.
- Elimination of special benefits for members of Congress and government officials beyond those available to ordinary citizens.
- Establishment of a simplified flat tax system for individuals and business to limit severely both the Internal Revenue Service and the impact of lobbyists.
- Securing our borders and firm enforcement of current immigration laws.

- Removing energy and financial dependence, freeing our foreign policy from overseas control.

- With the exception of defense, establish a yearly across-the-board 10% reduction in the funding for the federal government with the immediate elimination of the Departments of Homeland Security, Energy, Education and the Environmental Protection Agency.

- A yearly 10% reduction in the funding for foreign aid.

- Revoking broadcast licenses of media outlets that deliberately mislead or misinform for partisan political purposes.

- Strictly enforcing Equal Opportunity against the discriminatory practices of affirmative action and diversity policies,

- Declaring Sharia incompatible with the U.S. Constitution and made illegal.

- Declaring English as the one and only official language of the United States.

- Rejecting political correctness and multiculturalism as an infringement of the rights guaranteed under the First Amendment and contrary to the American principle of E pluribus Unum.

Ordinary Americans must band together and take a stand to restore the Constitution and the rule of law, to establish political and fiscal sanity and to return the government to the people.

Otherwise, our republic may be lost forever.

Citations

¹Putney, Albert H. "United States Constitution, State Constitutions, Statutory Law, Cree Publishing Company, Chicago, 1910, pp. 11-12.

²Vieira, Edwin, "Constitutional 'Homeland Security': A Call for Americans to Revitalize "the Militia of the Several States," 2007.

³Codevilla, Angelo, "As Country Club Republicans Link Up With The Democratic Ruling Class, Millions Of Voters Are Orphaned," Forbes, February 20, 2013.

⁴Garrison, Jim, "Power," <http://www.prouty.org/jfk/power.html>

⁵Carney, John, "Insider Trading in DC Just Got Easier," CNBC, April 16, 2013.

⁶Schweizer, Peter, "Throw Them All Out," Houghton, Mifflin, Harcourt, Boston, New York, 2011.

⁷Shapiro, Ben, "Exclusive: How The MSM Covered Up Fast and Furious" Breitbart, 16 April 16, 2012.

⁸Delingpole, James, "Climategate: how the MSM reported the greatest scandal in modern science," The Telegraph, November 21, 2009.

⁹Strong, Jonathan, "Documents show media plotting to kill stories about Rev. Jeremiah Wright," The Daily Caller, July 20, 2010.

¹⁰Pollack, Joel B., "NYTimes Confirms: Massive Fraud at USDA in Pigford; Breitbart Vindicated," Breitbart, April 26, 2013.

¹¹Miller, Joshua Rhett, "News Outlets Largely Ignoring ACORN Scandal, Critics Say," Fox News, September 15, 2009.

¹²Moon, Robert, "Benghazi: Why Americans no longer trust the news media," Examiner, May 10, 2013.

¹³"Rob the Vote: THE BIG LIST of vote fraud reports - 108% of voting population endorses Obama," World Net Daily, November 13, 2012.

¹⁴Rowland, Darrel, "Voter rolls in Ohio are bloated, experts say," The Columbus Dispatch, September 16, 2012.

¹⁵Exner, Rich, "Ohio voter registration list nearly 500,000 smaller than in 2008: Statistical Snapshot," The Plain Dealer, November 15, 2012.

¹⁶"Statistical Analysis of Cuyahoga County Ohio 2012 Election Results 99% Obama Precincts," Real Deal Politics, November 12, 2012.

¹⁷Hill, Miriam, Seidman, Andrew and Duchneskie, John "In 59 Philadelphia voting divisions, Mitt Romney got zero votes," Philadelphia Inquirer, November 12, 2012.

¹⁸"In Florida: Obama Got Over 99% in Broward County Precincts," Pundit Press, November 9, 2012.

¹⁹Stallings, Susan, "Cast aside: Thousands of votes from our military troops uncounted or missing," Examiner, November 8, 2012.

²⁰"Why the GOP won't challenge vote fraud," Fellowship of the Minds, November 15, 2012.

²¹Cambell, Mandi, "Obama's doormat: the U.S. Constitution," Daily Caller, February 16, 2012.

²²Jonsson, Patrik, "How Mexican killers got US guns from 'Fast and Furious' operation," The Christian Science Monitor, July 26, 2011.

²³Murphy, Kim and Ellingwood, Ken, "Mexico demands answers on guns," Los Angeles Times, March 11, 2011.

²⁴Interim Progress report for the House Republican Conference on the Event Surrounding the September 11, 2012 Terrorist Attack in Benghazi , Libya. Published April 23, 2013.

²⁵Apuzzo, Mario, "Barack Obama: The De Facto President of the United States- Maybe a Born Citizen But Not A "Natural Born Citizen," January 21, 2013.

²⁶<http://www.scribd.com/collections/3166684/Birth-Certificate-Other-Obama-ID-Docs-Forged-Expert-Reports>

²⁷<http://www.mcso.org/MultiMedia/PressRelease/Media%20Supplemental%20Report.pdf>

²⁸http://theobamafile.com/_eligibility/DraftRegistration.htm

²⁹<http://www.scribd.com/doc/65979313/Obama-SSN-Fraud-Report-Fraudulent-Use-of-SSN-042-68-4425-and-Selective-Service-Number-Fraud-by-Susan-Daniels-Licensed-PI>

³⁰Muskal, Michael, "Sheriff Joe Arpaio: Obama's birth certificate is fraudulent," Los Angeles Times, July 18, 2012.